

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1058 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1184 of 2024

Sgt Punit Singhal (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</div><div>3. There is delay of 02 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</div><div>4. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection towards the maintainability of the O.A. He prays for and is allowed two weeks’ time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any, to the maintainability of the O.A.</div><div>6. List on 10.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
RK/-	

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1059 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1185 of 2024

HFO Shridhar Mishra (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. There is delay of 01 month and 15 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that this O.A is premature because disability rejection letter has not been challenged and findings of RMB has also not been challenged. He prays for and is allowed two weeks’ time to seek instructions and to file objection to the maintainability of the O.A.</div><div>6. List on 10.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1062 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1189 of 2024  
Ex Rect Rahul Sharma Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus  
Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.10.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1189 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 02 years 01 month and 13 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</p> <p>5. <b>Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</b></p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1062 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This application has been filed for grant of invalid pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>10.02.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
RK/-	

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 4)  
O.A. No. 1064 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1190 of 2024

Sgt Rakesh Kumar Suman (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1190 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 03 months and 09 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</p> <p><b>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1064 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>6. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>11.02.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 5)  
O.A. No. 1065 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1191 of 2024

Hav Rajen Das (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri RK Singh, Advocate  
Shri Mridul Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsels for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1191 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 01 year 03 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. <b>Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1065 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>5. This application has been filed for grant of disability element. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>6. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on <b>11.02.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>3. This application has been filed for premature discharge of the applicant.</li> <li>4. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>5. Admit.</li> <li>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>7. List on <b>11.02.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="448 1919 818 1981" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </div> <div data-bbox="1068 1919 1307 1981" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl SI. No. 7)**

**Ex-A. No. 417 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 752 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Ghanshyam Shukla** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>3. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li><li>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 04.01.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 752 of 2023.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</li><li>6. List on <b>11.02.2025</b>.</li></ol> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. Clarification sought by the respondents has been filed which is taken on record. Reply, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>28.01.2025</b>.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>



**Ex.A. No. 210 of 2023 with M.A. No. 138 of 2024 along with Ex-A. No. 32 of 2024  
Inre: O.A. No. 317 of 2022**

**Ex Nb Sub Aditya Prakash Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li> <li>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Heard the Ld. counsel for the respondents and perused the records.</li> <li>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that cost of Rs. 15,000/- has been deposited on 12.09.2024. Cost so deposited by the respondents shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>5. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which has not yet been listed.</li> <li>7. Since there is no stay order passed by the Hon'ble Court, respondents are directed to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, within four weeks failing on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>8. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</li> <li>9. List on <b>12.02.2025</b>.</li> <li>10. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="350 2408 831 2486"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div data-bbox="844 2408 1474 2486"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

**Ex. A. No. 224 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 907 of 2022**

**Ex Nb Sub Manesh Shanker NS**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the respondents and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which stay order has been passed by the Hon'ble Court.</p> <p>5. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file status report of this execution case.</p> <p>6. List on <b>12.02.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

**Ex.A. No. 253 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 63 of 2022**

**Lt Col Rajeev Devgan (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SG Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SG Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on <b>13.02.2025</b>.</p> <p>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 39 of 2024 Inre: T.A. No. 3 of 2023

Ex MWO Rajendra Prasad

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Affidavit regarding present status of the case has also not been filed. Cost due against the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the present status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on <b>14.02.2025</b>.</p> <p>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 83 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 339 of 2023

Ex WO Virendra Kumar Pandey  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost has also not been deposited.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 14.02.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

**Ex.A. No. 92 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 846 of 2022**

**Ex Sep Bolarikh Singh Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the respondents. Applicant was granted time to file objection, if any, which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that order of this Tribunal has been complied with.</p> <p>4. Since the order has been complied with and no objection has been raised by the applicant, this execution application is <b>disposed of in full satisfaction.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 184 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 484 of 2022

Ex Hav Inder Pal Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the present status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. List on 03.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)**

**Ex. A. No. 261 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 491 of 2023**

**Ex NC (E ) Satyavir Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit and also an affidavit with regard to the present status of the case.</p> <p>4. List on <b>04.02.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**Ex.A. No. 263 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 612 of 2022**

**Ex Ris Santosh Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Purna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav holding brief for Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit and present status report of this execution case failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>04.02.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

**Ex-A. No. 264 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1109 of 2022**

**Ex MWO Akhilesh Kumar Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit and present status report of this execution case.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.02.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

Ex-A. No. 265 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 569 of 2023

Ex Sep Diwan Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri JN Mishra , Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit and present status report of this execution case.</div><div>4. List on 05.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

M.A. No. 1905 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1906 of 2023  
Inre: O.A. No. 278 of 2021

**Devanand Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1905 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. Reply to objection on delay condonation application filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. We have heard learned counsel for the parties on delay in filing recall application and perused the record</p> <p>4. This Misc Application has been filed by the applicant for recall of order 12.10.2021 by which Original Application was dismissed for non- prosecution. There is delay of 01 year, 11 months and 19 days in filing of recall application for which application for condonation of delay has been filed by the applicant. In his application for condonation of delay applicant has stated that he was busy in treatment of his five years old son who was suffering from brain tumor. Applicant has annexed medical documents for treatment of his son.</p> <p>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that delay in filing of recall application is entirely due to circumstances which were beyond his control. The cause shown for the delay in filing recall application is sufficient. Objection raised by learned counsel for the respondents is rejected. Delay in filing recall application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p>

**M.A. No 1906 of 2023**

6. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that order dated 12.10.2021 has not been passed on merit. Due to sickness of his son, applicant could not contact his lawyer and case was dismissed for want of prosecution. We are of the view that it will be just and proper to decide the case on merit. Recall Application is liable to be allowed.

7. Accordingly, recall application is allowed on payment of cost of Rs. 2,000/- which shall deposited in the Registry and shall be remitted in Bar Association Library of this Tribunal.

8. List on **12.02.2025**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

M.A. No. 733 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 200 of 2024

**Agniveer Auto Tech A Veh Gaurav**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Anupama Bhadauriya, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>22.10.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>3. None appears to present the case of the applicant, nor is there any mention made on his behalf to pass over or adjourn the case.</div><div>4. Accordingly, we <b>dismiss</b> the application for non-prosecution.</div><div>5. Interim order, if any, shall stand vacated.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1247 of 2023

Ex Cadet Milind Menon  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for further two weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 04.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 120 of 2024

Ex L/NK Manoj Purohit  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Despite availing number of chances, rejoinder affidavit has not been filed by learned counsel for the applicant. His opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit is closed.</div><div>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>5. This Original Application has been filed with the prayer to grant disability element for disability No 2 i.e. <b>Retinitis Pigmentosa Both Eyes</b> of the applicant.</div><div>6. Release Medical Board (RMB) of the applicant has not been filed by either parties. Only Appeal Medical Board has been annexed with counter affidavit.</div><div>7. For final adjudication of the Original Application, perusal of RMB report seems to be necessary.</div><div>8. List on <b>13.02.2025</b> for hearing.</div><div>9. On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original RMB report for perusal of the Bench.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 127 of 2024

Ex L/NK Vinod Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Shri Arvind K Tripathi, Advocate

Smt. Nisha, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>22.10.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>2. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</div> <div>3. List on 28.11.2024.</div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 266 of 2024

Ex Sgt Prabhat Kumar Sahu  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for further two weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 06.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 270 of 2024

Col Vijay Manral (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have not been received. He prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 06.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 339 of 2024 with M.A. No. 415 of 2024

Ex Sgt Prem Kant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Reply to objection on maintainability of the case has not been filed by learned counsel for the applicant. He prays for further two weeks time to file reply.</div><div>3. As a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to file reply to objection on maintainability of the case.</div><div>4. List on 02.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 430 of 2024 with M.A. No. 509 of 2024

Smt. Madhuri Devi W/o Ex Hav M Santosh Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Siddharth Dayal, Advocate  
Shri Swatantra Dev, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ankur Rawat holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Defects raised by Registry have been rectified.</div><div>3. Shri Ankur Rawat submits that his senior Shri Virat Anand Singh is not available today and prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</div><div>4. List on 04.12.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 454 of 2024 with M.A. No. 907 of 2024 & M.A. No. 532 of 2024

Hav Dinesh Singh (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate  
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 907 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties on amendment application and perused the record.</p> <p>3. By means of this amendment application, applicant has prayed for modification in prayer clause. He wants to add para (a) to quash and set aside impugned order dated 13.04.2019 in prayer clause of the O.A. This is a routine nature of amendment and will not change the nature of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Amendment application is allowed. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to make proposed amendment within two weeks. Reply, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the respondents within four weeks, thereafter. Misc Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 454 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>4. List on <b>30.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	UKT/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)**

**O.A. No. 553 of 2024 with M.A. No. 638 of 2024**

**Ex Sub Ashwani Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.10.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>30.01.2025</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>UKT/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 679 of 2024

MWO (HFO) Gyanendra Singh (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant  
Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate  
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents  
Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>22.10.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further two weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div> <div>3. As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div> <div>4. List on 10.02.2025.</div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 681 of 2024

Sgt Gyanender Singh Yadav (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate  
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for further two weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 10.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 682 of 2024

Ex WO Awadhesh Kumar SinghApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri RK Singh, Advocate  
Shri DK Dixit, Advocate  
Shri Mridul Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further two weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 11.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 711 of 2024

JWO Madan Singh (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant  
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents  
Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further two weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 11.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 684 of 2017

Col Mukul SinghalApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mohan Kumar, Advocate  
Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. List on 03.02.2025.</div><div>3. On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 658 of 2021

**Rect Sonveer** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate  
Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.10.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is physically present. Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Today case was listed for physical hearing. Learned counsel for the respondents is not physically present.</p> <p>3. List on <b>19.11.2024</b> for physical hearing.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>