

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 820 of 2024 with M.A. No. 917 of 2024

Ex Hav Amit Rawat

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri R.C Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div></div> <div><div>M.A. No. 917 of 2024</div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. There is delay of 06 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div> <div><div>O.A. No. 820 of 2024</div><div>5. This original application has been filed for grant of disability element to the applicant.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 09.12.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

O.A. No. 821 of 2024 with M.A. No. 918 of 2024

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents submits that he will file Memo of Appearance on behalf of the respondents during the course of the day. Memo of appearance, if filed, be taken on record and his name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. <p><u>M.A. No. 918 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Heard the Ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. 4. There is delay of 04 months and 10 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 821 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. This original application has been filed for grant of disability element to the applicant. 6. Case needs adjudication. 7. Admit. 8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 9. List on 09.12.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 822 of 2024

Ex Nb Sub Rajpal Singh Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court andDr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This original application has been filed for grant of disability element to the applicant.</div><div>5. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>6. Admit.</div><div>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>8. List on 09.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 294 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 134 of 2023

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. 3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records. 4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 20.02.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 134 of 2023. 5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal. 6. List on 04.12.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 1927 678 1994"> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1021 1927 1349 1994"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 296 of 2024 Inre; O.A. No. 914 of 2023

Lt Col (MNS) Chandra Kanta (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant andMs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 24.01.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 914 of 2023.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 04.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 297 of 2024 Inre; O.A. No. 659 of 2023

Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Prakash
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 15.01.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 659 of 2023.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 04.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 315 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 341 of 2023

Maj Tabish Raza (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri JL Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present before the Court.</div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 16.01.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 341 of 2023.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 05.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 919 of 2024 with M.A. No. 920 of 2024
Inre: O.A. No. 1291 of 2023

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Hony Capt Shri Niwas Sharma (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 29.04.2024 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 1291/2023.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 02 months 21 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay iscondoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 9)

M.A. No. 921 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 770 of 2024

Ex AV/SHT Ankit Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sanjay Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sanjay Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. This application has been moved by the applicant for fixing an early date for hearing of the case. In this case, 08.11.2024 is fixed for hearing. Grounds mentioned in the application for early hearing of the case, are sufficient. Application for early hearing is allowed. List this case for hearing on 05.09.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="350 1706 678 1779" style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="1026 1706 1354 1779" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex- A. No. 6 of 2019 Inre: O.A. No. 290 of 2016

Ex Sep Harendra Vikram Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant andDr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. List this case for physical hearing on 24.10.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex- A. No. 153 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 459 of 2022

Col George Alexander (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition has to be filed against the order of this Tribunal. He prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on 22.11.2024.</p> <p>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex- A. No. 163 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 899 of 2022

Col Arun Kumar (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Cost of Rs. 6000/- has been deposited by the respondents. As prayed by Id. counsel for the applicant, cost so deposited by the respondents shall be remitted to the local AFT Bar for upliftment of the Bar Library.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on 25.11.2024.</p> <p>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex- A. No. 256 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 143 of 2022

Ex Rect Uttam Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant andShri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Departmental Representative submits that cost of Rs. 5000/- has been deposited on 21.08.2024. Half of the cost so deposited shall be remitted to the local AFT Bar for upliftment of the library and rest half of the cost shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that government sanction has been accorded on 09.07.2024 and implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted further fourweek's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. List on 27.11.2024.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex- A. No. 313 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1064 of 2022

Maj Neha Akhoon (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearingShri JL Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant andMs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Status report of the case has also not been filed. Cost of Rs. 6000/- has been deposited by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>5. List on 27.11.2024.</p> <p>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex -A. No. 365 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 116 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub / Clk (SD) Dinesh Singh Parihar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Purna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing. 5. List on 27.11.2024. 6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 1822 678 1889"> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1027 1822 1336 1889"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex - A. No. 384 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.118 of 2023

CdrHimant Hothi (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant andMrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 27.11.2024.</p> <p>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex-A No. 203 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 389 of 2021

Ex Gnr Kaleshwar SahApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriAmit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the applicant and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.</div><div>5. List on 02.12.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex-A No. 204 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 150 of 2021

Ex WO Ravindra Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 1 to 4 are present through video conferencing. 2. Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate put his appearance on behalf of the respondent No. 5 and filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No. 5 which is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for Respondent No. 5 in the future cause list. 3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 4. Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Respondent No. 5 prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit failing which Respondent No. 1 to 4 and also Respondent No. 5 shall deposit cost of Rs. 6000/- each in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 5. List on 08.11.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="350 2037 678 2104"> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1026 2037 1354 2104"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 611 of 2023 with M.A. No. 260 of 2024

Ex Gnr Baljeet Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the last date, applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed. He prays for further two weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>3. As a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 18.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 694 of 2023

Ex Hav Ram Singh Basnet Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the last date, applicant was granted time to file supplementary rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. As a last chance, one week further time is granted to file supplementary rejoinder affidavit, failing which opportunity to file the same shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on 01.10.2024 for final hearing.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 695 of 2023 with M.A. No. 841 of 2023

Ex L/NK Sahadev ThapaApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the last date, applicant was granted time to file reply to objection on application for condonation of delay which has not been filed. Shri Vinay Pandey submits that Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant is busy in High Court and prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</div><div>3. List on 01.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 946 of 2023

Ex HFO Harish Chandra Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 19.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 989 of 2023

Ex Sub (Clk SD) Hari Pal SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. Shri Vishwesh Kumar, learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</div><div>3. Heard Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that rejoinder affidavit is ready and he shall file the same in the Registry during course of the day.</div><div>5. Arguments concluded.</div><div>6. O.A is disposed off.</div><div>7. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>8. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1009 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Ram Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Pleadings have been exchanged.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was enrolled in Indian Army on 19.08.1996 as Soldier. In the year Feb 2006, while undergoing routine physical exercise in PT Parade, applicant felt unbearable pain in knees which later diagnosed as 'Osteo Arthritis'. Initial Medical Categorisation Board held his disability as 'Aggravated' by Military service and placed him in temporary low medical category. Later Re-Categorisation Board placed the applicant in Permanent Low medical Category as there was no improvement in his medical condition. The disability was assessed as Aggravated @ 20%.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Ld. counsel for the applicant further submitted that medical condition of the applicant was assessed periodically by Re-Categorisation Boards held time to time and lastly on 28.08.2021 and every Re-Categorisation Board held that the disability is Attributable / Aggravated by Military Service and assessed the degree of disablement as 20% or 30%. It has also been submitted that the term of engagement of the applicant was extended from 01.09.2022 to 31.08.2024 but he was discharged from service w.e.f. 31.08.2022 on completion of term of engagement. The discharge order has also been challenged before this Tribunal vide O.A No. 245/2022 which is under adjudication.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Applicant's counsel also stated that in terms of discharge order, applicant reported to Military Hospital Meerut for RMB. He was routed through BHDC, Delhi for opinion of Specialist wherein he was recommended to be released in A2(P). In the RMB, his disability percentage was reduced to 10%</div></div></div>

	<p>without any justification which had been around @20% to 30% for past several years and even in the last Category Board it was assessed @30%. RMB assessed the disability of the applicant as Aggravated by Military Service and assessed his disability @10% for life long. The applicant has been denied disability element as the disability percentage is less than 20% for life. Applicant preferred appeal dated 20.08.2022 and 20.11.2022 which are still pending before the respondents.</p> <p>6. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that the applicant was discharged from service on 31.08.2022 on completion of terms of engagements under the provisions of Rule 13 (3) I (a) of Army Rules, 1954. He was placed in low medical category for the disease 'Osteoarthritis both Knee Joints'. At the time of discharge, RMB was held in which disability was not found Attributable but Aggravated with net assessment @ 10% for life. In accordance with relevant rules and provisions, it had been decided by the competent authority that the applicant is not entitled for disability element in terms of Para 53 of Pension Regulation for the Army 2008 (Pt. I) since the RMB has assessed the disability of the applicant as less than 20%.</p> <p>7. It has also been submitted by the respondents' counsel that the first appeal of the applicant against the rejection of disability element has been decided by the respondents vide order dated 12.07.2023 which has been communicated to the applicant vide letter dated 05.08.2023.</p> <p>8. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>9. Perusal of the file reveals that the applicant was suffering from the disease 'Osteo Arthritis Bilateral Knee' and was medically downgraded w.e.f. 14.06.2007. He was granted extension of service w.e.f 01.09.2022 to 31.08.2024 but due to disability he was discharged from service on 31.08.2022. Several Re-category Medical Boards have been held since June 2009 to Aug 2021 in which the disability of the applicant was assessed @20% to @30%.</p> <p>10. At the time of discharge, RMB had been conducted by the respondents which held that the disease had no causal relationship with the service/job profile and held not attributable. The disease had been assessed @10% for life.</p> <p>11. It is observed that the last Re-Category Board held on 28.08.2021 assessed the disability @30% while the Release Medical Board held at the time of discharge on 22.08.2022 assessed the same disability @10% only. The disability of the applicant was initially diagnosed in the year 2006 and disablement percentage was assessed @20% and since 2015 onwards till August 2021 the degree of disablement has been assessed @30% but in the RMB held during discharge in 2022 the degree of disablement has been reduced to 10% without giving any justification/reasons for reducing the disability percentage which is mandatory as provided in Regulation for the</p>
--	--

	<p>Medical Services of the Armed Forces, 2010 Chapter VIII Para 422 (h).</p> <p>12. In view of the above, we are of the considered opinion that there is a requirement to hold Re-survey Medical Board of the applicant to assess his present condition/gravity/ percentage of disability with reasons and to consider for grant of disability element as per revised percentage/ recommendation of RSMB.</p> <p>13. The respondents are directed to hold applicant's Re-survey Medical Board (RSMB) for re-assessing his present medical condition within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and submit the proceedings of the RSMB within 30 days thereafter.</p> <p>14. List on 19.12.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1304 of 2023

Ex WO Ram Prasad Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. In spite of availing several opportunities, counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 4,000/-.</div><div>3. List on 04.10.2024.</div><div>4. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1407 of 2023

GpCapt Siddhartha Srivastava (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Raghuveer Singh, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the last date time was granted to respondents to file counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further two weeks’ time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. As a last chance, two weeks’ further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 25.10.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 5 of 2024

Ex L/NK Ratnesh Kumar SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anand Kumar, Advocate
Shri Sachida Nand Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>23.08.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anand Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. O.A is partly allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 8 of 2024

Sub Raheesh Prasad Yadav (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the last date time was granted to respondents to file counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. As a last chance, four weeks’ further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 11.11.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 67 of 2024

Ex WO Ram Awadh Rai
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VK Dixit, holding brief of Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 19.09.2024.</div></div><div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

WO Kunta Narsimha Reddy (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed today is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counter for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 18.10.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>	

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 452 of 2024 with M.A. No. 530 of 2024

Ex Gnr Mohammad Khalid
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, holding brief of Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file reply to objection on delay condonation application which has not been filed. He prays for further one week time to file reply.</div><div>3. As a last chance, one week time is granted to file reply to objection on delay condonation application failing which opportunity to file the same shall be closed.</div><div>4. List on 04.10.2024.</div></div><div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 599 of 2024 with M.A. No. 681 of 2024

Ex NkSutesh SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file impleadment application which has not been filed.</div><div>3. As prayed by Shri Vinay Pandey, one week further time, as a last chance, is granted to file impleadment application failing which impleadment application shall be dismissed.</div><div>4. List on 04.10.2024.</div></div><div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 608 of 2024 with M.A. No. 695 of 2024

Lt Col Sanjay Kumar Ray (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Respondents was granted time to file objection on maintainability of the case which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file objection on maintainability of the case, if any.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on 15.10.2024.</div></div><div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 622 of 2024 with M.A. No. 715 of 2024

Ex Sep Khim Singh PadiyarApplicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VK Dixit, holding brief of Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Objection on application for condonation of delay, filed by the respondents is taken on record. Reply to objection on delay may be filed by applicant within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 25.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 642 of 2024 with M.A. No. 735 of 2024

Ex MWO (HFO) Jagdish Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file copy of first appeal which has not been filed. He prays for further one week time to file the same.</p> <p>3. As prayed, one week further time is granted to file copy of the appeal failing which adverse order shall be passed.</p> <p>4. List on 11.09.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 712 of 2024 with M.A. No. 807 of 2024

Ex Hav A Murali Krishna

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on maintainability of the case filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply to objection on maintainability of the case.</p> <p>4. List on 13.09.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 162 of 2023

Col (TS) Vinay Kumar Dixit (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. List revised.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents is not present in revised term.</p> <p>3. List on 05.09.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 561 of 2023

Ex AC (U/T) Jai Kant Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Today case was listed for final hearing but learned counsel for the applicant is not present. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</div><div>4. List on 05.09.2024 for final hearing.</div></div><div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 689 of 2023

Ex L/NK Arvind Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singhs, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>23.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. O.A is dismissed.</div><div>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 1151 of 2023

Lt Col Jasvinder Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ajay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p>2. O.A is Allowed.</p> <p>3. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>