Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex. No. 37 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 683 of 2021

Ex Rect Ram Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of	Ordere of the Tribunal
Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	23.10.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	Tion bio vico riammar ricar riamar sam, mombor (rig
	1. List revised.
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents
	and Shri Rajiv Kumar Tiwari, PCDA (P), Allahabad are present.
	3. Shri Rajiv Kumar Tiwari, PCDA (P), Allahabad submits that order of the
	Tribunal dated 16.02.2022 passed in O.A. No 683 of 2021 has been complied
	with and PPO has been issued on 19.08.2024. Learned counsel for the
	respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report.
	4. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to respondents to
	file compliance report failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs.
	15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.
	5. List on 16.01.2025 .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 2)

Ex.A. No. 122 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 241 of 2022

Ex Rfn Kapil Kumar Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	23.10.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav holding
	brief for Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present
	before the Court and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents
	is present through video conferencing.
	2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution
	and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the
	respondents, is taken on record.
	3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued and
	order has been complied with. He prays for and is granted further two
	week's time to file compliance affidavit.
	5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them
	by the next date of listing.
	6. List on 03.02.2025 .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 315 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 750 of 2022

Ex JWO Baban SinghApplicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	<u>23.10.2024</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	Tion bie vice Admiral Atal Ramai Sam, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav holding
	brief for Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN
	Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four
	week's time to file compliance affidavit and an affidavit regarding present
	status of the case, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the
	Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.
	4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them
	by the next date of listing.
	5. List on 04.02.2025 .
	Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 316 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 530 of 2022

Ex Cfn Surya Bhan Giri Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	23.10.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Shyam
	Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr.
	Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj are present before the Court.
	2. Compliance affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on
	record to which the ld. Counsel for the applicant may file objection, if
	any, within two weeks.
	3. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the
	next date of listing.
	4. List on 04.02.2025 .
	5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for
	compliance of the order.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 416 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 23 of 2023

Ex Hav Kamlesh Kumar Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	23.10.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents
	are present through video conferencing. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account
	Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj is present before the Court.
	2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution
	and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the
	respondents, is taken on record.
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the order has been
	complied with and nothing remains in the matter to adjudicate.
	4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant conceded the fact that order has been
	complied with and payment has been made to the applicant.
	5. Since the order of this Tribunal has been complied with, the instant
	execution application is disposed of in full satisfaction.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 463 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1104 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 405 of 2022

Ex Nk (DSC GD) Gambhir Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others

By Legal F	By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate	
Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	M.A. No. 1104 of 2024	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents are present through video conferencing.	
	2. This application has been moved on behalf of the respondents to	
	correction the order dated 26.07.2024 and exempt the respondents from	
	payment of cost of Rs. 5000/- because they have already filed the status	
	report of this execution case on previous date which has not been	
	mentioned in the order sheet due to over sight.	
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that on previous date cost of	

- 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that on previous date cost of Rs. 5000/- was imposed on the respondents. Respondents were directed to deposit cost of Rs. 5000/- for not filing the status report of this execution case but the status report filed by the respondents was available on record.
- 4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant opposed the contentions of the respondents' counsel and submitted that cost has been imposed for not filing of compliance report.
- 5. We have heard the submissions of Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.
- 6. On previous date i.e. 26.07.2024, Rs. 5000/- cost has been imposed on respondents for not filing the compliance report and respondents were further directed to file compliance report and also status report. Therefore, there is no ground to correct the order dated 26.07.2024 regarding imposition of cost on respondents. Correction application moved by the respondents is liable to be rejected, hence, rejected.

Ex.A. No. 463 of 2023

- 7. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
- 8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.
- 9. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.
- 10. List on **25.02.2025**.
- 11. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

RK/

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 24 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 321 of 2022

Ex Hav Mahesh Kumar Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. List revised.
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	3. Progress report filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on
	record. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order
	is under process. He prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report.
	4. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report
	failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs 8,000/- in the Registry which
	shall be remitted to the applicant.
	5. List on 06.02.2025 .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (SI. No. 8)

Ex.A. No. 84 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 90 of 2023

Ex Hav Chandrama Ram Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate

Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
Registry	
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution
	and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the
	respondents, is taken on record.
	Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is to be filed
	against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He
	prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance
	affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in
	the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.
	5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them
	by the next date of listing.
	6. List on 25.02.2025 .
	7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for
	compliance of the order.
	·
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 162 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 534 of 2023

Ex MWO Satyendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	23.10.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant is present before the Court. Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld.
	Counsel for the respondents is not present today. Departmental
	Representative is present on behalf of the respondents.
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
	3. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that a writ petition is to be
	filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.
	Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four
	week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit/ stay order,
	if any, failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 5000/- in the
	Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.
	4. List on 25.02.2025 .
	5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for
	compliance of the order.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 163 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 516 of 2022

Ex JWO Bagish Kumar Bajpai Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri DK Dixit, Advocate Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	23.10.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Shri DK Dixit
	and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsels for the applicant and Shri Shyam
	Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.
	Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is to be filed
	against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He
	prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to
	file compliance affidavit and present status report of this execution case
	failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry
	which shall be remitted to the applicant.
	4. List on 24.02.2025 .
	5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for
	compliance of the order.
	(Vice Admirel Atul Kumer Join) (Lieties Anil Kumer)
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-
	MV-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 11)

Ex.A. No. 267 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 503 of 2023

Ex MWO Rama Shankar Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar Member (1)
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present through video conferencing.
	Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four
	week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit and present
	status report of this execution case.
	4. List on 07.02.2025 .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No.12)

Ex-A. No. 268 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 540 of 2023

Ex WO Kamlesh Prasad Tiwari

Applicant Shri Kashay Sharma Advanctor

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	23.10.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing. Shri
	Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present
	today. Departmental Representative is present on behalf of the
	respondents.
	Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
	3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four
	week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit and present
	status report of this execution case.
	4. List on 10.02.2025.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 13)

Ex-A. No. 269 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 87 of 2022

Ex Hav Durgesh Kumar MishraApplicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashish Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashish Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit and present status report of this execution case. List on 10.02.2025.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 14)

Ex-A. No. 292 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 380 of 2022

Ex Rect Brijesh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	23.10.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	Tion ble vice Admiral Atal Kumai Sam, Wember (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ankur Rawat holding brief
	for Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the
	Court. Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present
	today. Departmental Representative is present on behalf of the
	respondents.
	Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
	3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four
	week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit and present
	status report of this execution case.
	4. List on 11.02.2025 .
	=
	(Vice Admirel Atul Kumen Jain)
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 15)

O.A. No. 768 of 2023

Ex Sub Maj Vibhishan YadavApplicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Shri Ankit Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj is also present before the Court. Counter affidavit has already been filed on behalf of respondent No. 4 which is on record. Today is the last opportunity for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to file counter affidavit but the same has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 prays for and is granted two weeks further time as one more opportunity to file counter affidavit failing which opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed.
	4. List on 10.12.2024. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1281 of 2023

Ex MCPO LOG (MAT) II Pravesh Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate

Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are	
	present.	
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant was granted time to file rejoinder	
	affidavit which has not been filed. He submits that copy of the counter affidavit	
	has not been provided to him.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to provide copy of	
	counter affidavit to learned counsel for the applicant during course of the day.	
	4. List on 30.01.2025 .	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 113 of 2024

Hav / NA Gajendra SinghApplicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. Pleading are complete. List on 05.12.2024 for final hearing.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 20)

O.A. No. 152 of 2024

Ex Hav Santosh Kumar Chauhan

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	23.10.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on
	record. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks
	time to file rejoinder affidavit.
	3. List on 06.12.2024 .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 498 of 2024 with M.A. No. 587 of 2024

Ex Hav Subhash Chandra Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Shri Siddharth Dayal, Advocate Shri Swatantra Dev, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents are present.	
	2. Time was granted to learned counsel for the applicant to file reply to	
	objection on maintainability of the case which has not been filed. He prays for	
	further one week time to file reply.	
	3. As a last chance, one week time is granted to learned counsel for the	
	applicant to file reply to objection on maintainability of the case.	
	4. List on 02.01.2025 .	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 613 of 2024 with M.A. No. 705 of 2024

Sgt Mahaveer Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate

Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Objection on maintainability and delay filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Reply to objection on maintainability and delay filed by learned counsel for the applicant is also taken on record. List on 08.01.2025. 	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 23)

O.A. No. 615 of 2024 with M.A. No. 707 of 2024

Ex Sep Vijendra Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. No one is present on behalf of the applicant. Objection on maintainability of the case filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant may file reply within two weeks. List on 10.01.2025.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 24)

O.A. No. 644 of 2024 with M.A. No. 737 of 2024

Ex Hav Tej Pal SinghApplicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	M.A. No. 737 of 2024
	2. There is delay of 10 years, 10 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.
	3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant lives in village of rural area where no letter was sent to applicant regarding his disability after discharge from service till 09.07.2023. The applicant went ECHS Polyclinic
	Mainpuri to take medicine where some ex-servicemen told him to move RTI application to Record Officer to seek information and obtaining certified copy of
	Release Medical Board and rejection letter of disability pension. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay
	condonation application.
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents was granted time to file objection on
	application for condonation of delay which has not been filed. Learned counsel
	for the respondents has vehemently opposed the submission of applicant and
	submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-
	day basis. He further submits that there is no ground to condone the delay.
	Delay condonation application has been moved on flimsy grounds.
	5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Applicant is in receipt of service pension. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of *Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh* [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands **disposed off** accordingly.

O.A. No. 644 of 2024

- 7. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.
- 8. Case needs adjudication.
- 9. Admit.
- 10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 11. List on **21.01.2025**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

UKT/

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 666 of 2024 with M.A. No. 757 of 2024

WO Harphool Singh Chetan (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes	of
the	
Regist	rv

Orders of the Tribunal

23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)

1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.

M.A. No. 757 of 2024

- 2. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. There is delay of 10 months and 20 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.
- 3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.
- 4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.
- 5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.

O.A. No. 666 of 2024

- 6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.
- 7. Case needs adjudication.
- 8. Admit.
- 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 10. List on **12.02.2025**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

UKT/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 671 of 2024 with M.A. No. 761 of 2024

Deepak Kumar Rai S/o Ex Late Nk Kuber Rai

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Anand Dubey, Advocate

Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Objection on delay and maintainability of the case filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file reply. Shri Kishav Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant submits that Shri Anand Dubey has submitted No Objection Certificate and he is no counsel in this case. He prayed that his name be deleted from cause list on the next date of listing. Registry is directed to delete name of Shri Anand Debey from cause list on the next date of listing. List on 13.01.2025. 	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 675 of 2024 with M.A. No. 767 of 2024

Ex Nk Asish Kumar Kar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	23.10.202	<u>24</u>		
	Hon'ble I	Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Me	mber (J)	
	Hon'ble \	<u>Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Ja</u>	<u>ain, Member</u>	<u>(A)</u>
	1. On	n the case being taken up fo	r hearing Shr	ri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents			Counsel for the respondents
	are present.			
	2. On	the request of learned	counsel for	the applicant, the case is
	adjourned.			
	3. Lis	st on 13.01.2025 .		
	(Vice Ad	miral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)		(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 28)

O.A. No. 685 of 2024

Lt Col Chhaya Dey (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Ms. Akanksha Gupta, Advocate

Shri Anurag Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	23.10.2024		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Akanksha Gupta, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey along with Ms. Anju Singh, Ld.		
	Counsel for the respondents are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents		
	submits that parawise comments have been received. She prays for further two		
	weeks time to file Counter affidavit.		
	3. As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file		
	Counter affidavit.		
	4. Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents submits that this		
	case has been allotted to Ms Anju Singh. He prayed that his name be deleted		
	and name of Ms Anju Singh be shown as learned counsel for the respondents		
	on the next date of listing.		
	5. List on 03.02.2025 .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 688 of 2024

Ex WO Vinay Kumar Upadhyay Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate Shri Amit Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents		
	submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for further two		
	weeks time to file Counter affidavit.		
	3. As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file		
	the Counter affidavit.		
	4. List on 03.02.2025 .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 692 of 2024

Ex Sep Sanjay Kumar Tiwari

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	23.10.2024		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents		
	submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further four		
	weeks time to file Counter affidavit.		
	3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file		
	the Counter affidavit.		
	4. List on 04.02.2025 .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	UKT/-		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 31)

O.A. No. 696 of 2024

Lt Col Lalit Pandey (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are		
	present.		
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents		
	submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further four		
	weeks time to file Counter affidavit.		
	3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file		
	the Counter affidavit.		
	4. List on 04.02.2025 .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 32)

O.A. No. 707 of 2024

Sgt Ajit Kumar Mishra (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents		
	submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further four		
	weeks time to file Counter affidavit.		
	3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file		
	the Counter affidavit.		
	4. List on 04.02.2025 .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 33)

O.A. No. 792 of 2024 with M.A. No. 892 of 2024

Ex Nk Chandi Prasad Yadav Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri TK Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
		. <u>2024</u> ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Memb ole Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain,	
	1.		earing Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for
		spondents is present. No one is pre	
	2.	Objection on delay condonation a	pplication filed by learned counsel for
	the re	spondents is taken on record.	
	3.	Learned counsel for the applicant	may file reply within two weeks.
	4.	List on 23.01.2025 .	
	(Vic	ce Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 34)

O.A. No. 450 of 2021

Rect Md Nurulhoda Ansari Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shrikant Mishra, Advocate

Shri Amarnath Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Kumar, Advocate,		
	holding brief of Shri Shrikant Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.		
	2. Shri Vinay Kumar, holding brief of Shrikant Mishra submits that his		
	senior is not available today and prays for adjournment of the case. On the		
	last date also he submitted that his senior is not available and prayed for		
	adjournment and case was adjourned.		
	3. As prayed, list this case on 08.01.2025 for final hearing.		
	4. It is clarified that on the date fixed, no adjournment shall be granted and		
	case shall be decided on the basis of argument of learned counsel for the		
	respondents and documents available on record.		
	5. Learned counsel for the parties may file their written submission by the		
	next date fixed.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 35)

O.A. No. 483 of 2023

Ex Nk Ranjeet Kumar Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
	23.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Viced Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	5. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	6. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file		
	rejoinder affidavit. Pleadings are complete.		
	3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.		
	4. O.A is dismissed.		
	5. Detailed order on separate sheets.		
	6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have		
	been disposed of.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 36)

O.A. No. 523 of 2023

Ex Nk Ajeet Verma Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Shri VK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	23.10.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for
	the respondents is present.
	2. None appears to present the case of the applicant, nor is there any
	mention made on his behalf to pass over or adjourn the case.
	3. Accordingly, we dismiss the application for non-prosecution.
	4. Interim order, if any, shall stand vacated.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)