

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 795 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record. There is delay of 20 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 696 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 23.10.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="339 2263 802 2333"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) UKT/-</p> </div> <div data-bbox="802 2263 1476 2333"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 698 of 2024

Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)

Ex. L D Krishna Chandra Satpathy
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate
Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this Original Application is not maintainable before this Tribunal due to territorial jurisdiction. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to file objection on maintainability of the case.</div><div>4. List on 03.09.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 699 of 2024

L/DfrMirtunjay Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this Original Application is not maintainable before this Tribunal due to territorial jurisdiction. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to file objection on maintainability of the case.</div><div>4. List on 03.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 700 of 2024 with M.A. No. 797 of 2024

Ex. Sgt Ravi Prakash Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. There is delay of 01 month and 16 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</div><div>4. List on 06.08.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 29.08.2024</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 703 of 2024

NK AT Avnish Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed by the applicant with the prayer to direct opposite party to allow the applicant to resume/report/rejoin army duties within the stipulated period.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents has raised objection regarding maintainability of this Original Application before this Tribunal. He prays for and is granted one week time to file objection, if any.</div><div>5. List on 14.08.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 707 of 2024 with M.A. No. 801 of 2024

Sgt. Ajit Kumar Mishra (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>M.A. No. 801 of 2024</div><div>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsand perused the documents available on record. There is delay of 01 month and 14 days in filing of Original Application.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</div><div>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</div><div>O.A. No. 707 of 2024</div><div>6. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 23.10.2024.</div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 711 of 2024 with M.A. No. 806 of 2024

JWO Madan Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div> <div>24.07.2024</div> <div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div> </div> <div> <div>1.</div> <div>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div> </div> <div> <div>M.A. No. 806 of 2024</div> <div>2.</div> <div>Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record. There is delay of 02 month and 16 days in filing of Original Application.</div> </div> <div> <div>3.</div> <div>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</div> </div> <div> <div>4.</div> <div>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</div> </div> <div> <div>5.</div> <div>We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</div> </div> <div> <div>O.A. No. 711 of 2024</div> <div>6.</div> <div>This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter needs adjudication.</div> </div> <div> <div>7.</div> <div>Admit.</div> </div> <div> <div>8.</div> <div>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div> </div> <div> <div>9.</div> <div>List on 22.10.2024.</div> </div> <div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>Member (A) Member (J)</div> <div>UKT/-</div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 261 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 491 of 2023

Ex. NC (E) Satyavir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order dated 08.08.2023 passed in O.A.No 491 of 2023 is in process.He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to seek instructions and file compliance report.</div><div>4. List on 22.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)

Ex.A. No. 262 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 664 of 2017

Ashok Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr SN Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri K K Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr SN Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order dated 01.07.2021 passed in O.A.No 664 of 2017 is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to seek instructionsand file compliance report.</div><div>4. List on 27.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>Ukt/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 11)

Ex.A. No. 263 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 612 of 2022

Ex Ris Santosh Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order dated 14.12.2022 passed in O.A.No 612 of 2022 is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to seek instructionsand file compliance report.</div><div>4. List on 22.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

Ex-A. No. 170 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 64 of 2019

Ex. Sgt. Om Prakash Ojha
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D S Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri D S Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Affidavit of status report has also not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks' time to file compliance report.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report on the condition of payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit failing which additional costof /Rs. 2,000/- shall be imposed. Respondents are also directed to deposit balance cost imposed earlier.</div><div>4. List on 30.08.2024.</div><div>5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) UKT/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex-A. No. 390 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 846 of 2021 with M.A. No 816 of 2024

Ex. NK (MACP HAV) Somveer Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R. C. Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. In this case notice was issued to Lt Thangamuniyandi, Adjutant, 7 Kumaon to appear before the court today in personand filereply why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him for challenging the legality of judgement in his compliance report dated 07.02.2024.</p> <p>2. Application for exemption of personal appearance of the officer has been filed by learned counsel for the respondents in which it has been submitted that officer is in training.Officerhas also prayed for withdrawal of compliance affidavit filed by him in which unconditional apology has also been tendered by him</p> <p>3. Heard Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R. C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>4. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the officer is directed to be present in person in the Court or to appear through video conferencing at 11.00 AM on the next date fixed.</p> <p>5. Put up this exemptionapplication along with application for withdrawal of affidavit on the next date fixed.</p> <p>6. In Execution Application, learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file fresh compliance report.</p> <p>7. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report on the condition of payment of additional cost of Rs. 6,000/- which shall be deposited in Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>8. List on 24.10.2024.</p> <p>9. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) UKT/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A. No. 391 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 223 of 2022

Lt. Col. Mukesh Kumar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri J L Joel, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri J L Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Affidavit of status report has also not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that cost of Rs. 5,000/- has been deposited. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report on the condition of payment of additional cost of Rs. 8,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit. Respondents are also directed to deposit balance cost imposed earlier.</div><div>4. List on 03.10.2024.</div><div>5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) UKT/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 289 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 218 of 2022

Ex Sep Akhilesh Kumar Dixit
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri V P Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</div><div>3. Compliance report has not been filed. Affidavit of status report has also not been filed. Departmental representative submits that cost of Rs. 5,000/- has been deposited. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</div><div>4. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report on the condition of payment of additional cost of Rs. 6,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit. Respondents are also directed to deposit balance cost imposed earlier.</div><div>5. List on 09.10.2024.</div><div>6. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 370 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 248 of 2018

Ex. NK Shyam SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. On the last date, learned counsel for the applicant was granted time to file objection on compliance report but the same has not been filed. He prays for further two weeks’ time to file objection on compliance report.</div><div>3. As a last chance, two weeks’ time is granted to file objection on compliance report, if any.</div><div>4. List on 29.08.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No.414 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 756 of 2022

Col. Arun Shukla (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S G Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri G S Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that stay order dated 16.12.2023 has been passed by Hon’ble High Court against the order under compliance dated 26.04.2023 passed in O.A. No 756 of 2022. The stay order of the Hon’ble High Court is still effective.</div><div>3. List on 12.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) UKT/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 156 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 227 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Prabhaker Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri V P Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order dated 13.07.2023 passed in O.A.No 227 of 2023 is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to seek instructions and file compliance report.</div><div>3. List on 16.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

Ex.A. No. 157 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 264 of 2020

Ex. Hav. Narendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K K Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order dated 13.10.2021 passed in O.A.No 264 of 2020 is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to seek instructions and file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on 18.10.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 159 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1046 of 2022

HFO Ram Prakash Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg.Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, holding brief of Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order dated 09.05.2023 passed in O.A.No 1046 of 2022 is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to seek instructions and file compliance report. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 18.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 162 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 534 of 2023

Ex. MWO Satyendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order dated 26.07.2023 passed in O.A. No 534 of 2023 is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to seek instructions and file compliance report. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 23.10.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 163 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 516 of 2022

Ex JWO Bagish Kumar Bajpai

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate
Shri D K Dixit, Advocate
Shri R.K.Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order dated 11.07.2023 passed in O.A.No 516 of 2022 is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to seek instructions and file compliance report. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 23.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 12)

M.A. No. 1905 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1906 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 278 of 2021

Devanand Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. In this case, applicant was granted time to file reply to the objection filed by the respondents. Reply has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted last opportunity of two weeks further time to file reply, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 30.08.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)
O.A. No. 1000 of 2023

Ex MWO Ram Karan Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Navneet Yadav, Advocate
Shri Arvind Kumar Bhandari, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriRaj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. O.A is Allowed.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.14)

O.A. No. 1033 of 2023

Hav. Jadeja PruthvirajsinghJayubha
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 06.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.15)

O.A. No. 1343 of 2023

JWO Jaya Narayan Chauhan (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. O.A is Partly Allowed.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.16)

O.A. No. 1424 of 2023

Sqn. Ldr. Subodh Dixit Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg.Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate
GP Capt RP Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. 2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. 4. List on 05.09.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 68 of 2024

Ex Hav Braj Raj Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks. 3. List on 25.09.2024. <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) </p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.18)

O.A. No. 252 of 2024

Leading Seaman Avinash Kumar Singh (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Gopal Dwivedi, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 08.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.19)

O.A. No. 254 of 2024
Nb Sub Kamal Bahadur Thapa (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 08.10.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.20)

O.A. No. 255 of 2024

Ex LD Gurjant Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P Datta , Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that she has received para-wise comments. She prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 09.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.21)

O.A. No. 256 of 2024

Ex Hav Prem Shanker Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti P. Bajpai, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Deepti P. Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 09.10.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.22)

O.A. No. 257 of 2024

GpCapt Surya Bhan Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate
Shri DK Dixit, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>24.07.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 10.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.23)

O.A. No. 298 of 2024

Ex Nk Satyendra Kumar Paswan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 10.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.24)

O.A. No. 299 of 2024

Ex JWO Bivek Prakash Srivastava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. 4. List on 10.10.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.25)

O.A. No. 305 of 2024 with M.A. No. 382 of 2024

Ex Sgt Anupam Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 10.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.26)

O.A. No. 310 of 2024 with M.A. No. 387 of 2024

Ex Sub Maj Upendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate
Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 15.10.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.27)

O.A. No. 339 of 2024 with M.A. No. 415 of 2024

Ex Sgt Prem Kant

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file reply to the objection filed by the respondents but the same has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file reply to the objection.</div><div>3. List on 13.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.28)

O.A. No. 487 of 2024 with M.A. No. 571 of 2024

Ex JWO Triveni Narayan Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain , Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. There is a delay of more than 15 years in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</div><div>3. Objection to the delay condonation application has also been filed by the respondents which is taken on record.</div><div>4. Heard the Id. Counsel for the applicant on delay condonation application and perused the records.</div><div>5. It is submitted in Para 5 and 6 of the delay condonation application that in the year 2010, the applicant handed over all relevant documents to Mr. Subhash Chandra Yadav, Advocate, High Court, Allahabad for processing of the case but he misguided the applicant by providing false information inter-alia about filing of the case that case has been filed vide case No. OS/76 of 2012 whereas on query it was revealed that there was no such case filed before the appropriate forum/ court of law by the advocate.</div><div>6. In support of his submission, the applicant has filed the copy of his compliant to the SSP against the advocate which is Annexure-7 (page 43) to the O.A.</div><div>7. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>8. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div><div>O.A. No. 487 of 2024</div></div>

9. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.
10. Case needs adjudication.
11. Admit.
12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
13. List on **24.10.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A) Member

(Justice Anil Kumar)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.29)

T.A. No. 4 of 2024

Ex AC (U/T) (7001988-F) Ganesh Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 02.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.30)

O.A. No. 821 of 2022

Ex Swr Mithilesh Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. This case is listed today for physical hearing. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present physically but the respondents’ counsel is not present before the Bench. He is present virtually.</div><div>3. Respondents were granted time to file written submissions but the written submission has also not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. List this case for physical hearing. Ld. Counsel for the parties are directed to be present before the Bench or file written submissions failing which the case will be heard and disposed of finally on the basis of arguments of Id. Counsel present before the Bench and documents available on record.</div><div>5. List on 03.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.31)

O.A. No. 1112 of 2013

JWO Ritesh Kumar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties at length.</div><div>3. Arguments concluded.</div><div>4. Judgement Reserved.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.32)

O.A. No. 1224 of 2023

Ex Rect Pravin Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. This case needs physical hearing.</div><div>3. List this case for physical hearing on 23.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

TA 60 of 2023

WO Ramnaresh (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra , Advocate
Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate
Ms. Upasana Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri J.N Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>24.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. T.A is Partly Allowed.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>