

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 964 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1061 of 2024

Ex Nk/ DMT Kuber Singh Namdev  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 05 months and 18 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks’ time to seek instructions regarding rejection of disability element of the applicant.</p> <p>6. List on <b>15.01.2025</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 2)**  
**O.A. No. 965 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1062 of 2024**

**Ex Sub (Hony Lt) Sidh Nath Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriAshish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> </ol> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1062 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. Heard the Ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>4. There is delay of 02 years and 18 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li> <li>5. <b>Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 965 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6. This application has been filed for grant of disability element. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li> <li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>8. Admit.</li> <li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>10. List on <b>15.01.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 2411 784 2491"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>            RK/-</p> </div> <div data-bbox="855 2411 1211 2491"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 966 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1065 of 2024

Sub CkLatoori Singh (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>M.A. No. 1065 of 2024</div><div><div>3. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. There is delay of one month and 17 days in filing of Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay Condonation Application stands disposed off.</div><div>O.A. No. 966 of 2024.</div><div><div>5. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file CA. RA, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 16.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div></div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 967 of 2024

Sub Azad Singh (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff& Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court andDr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. This original application has been filed for grant of disability element. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A on the ground of territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. He submitted that the applicant is permanent resident of District – Karnal, Haryana. It has also been submitted that the applicant has not preferred second appeal against the rejection of the first appeal.</div><div>5. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>6. Perusal of the file reveals that applicant's initial claim for grant of disability pension was rejected by the Competent Authority which was communicated to the applicant by Army Medical Corps Record Office vide their letter dated 04.01.2024, annexed as Annexure A-2 to this O.A.. Further, against the rejected order, first appeal was preferred by the applicant and the decision on the first appeal was communicated to the applicant by the AMC, Record Office, Lucknow vide letter dated 13.07.2024 (Annexure A-4 to the O.A). Accordingly, the cause of action has been partly arisen at Lucknow. As such, this Tribunal has territorial jurisdiction to entertain this original application.</div></div></div>

	<p>7. Objection raised by the Id. Counsel for the respondents with regard to maintainability of the case is rejected.</p> <p>8. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10.Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11.List on <b>16.01.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>
--	--

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 968 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1066 of 2024

MWO Anand Kumar Tiwari (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India& Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearingShri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing. Departmental Representative is present on behalf of the respondents.</div></div><div><div>M.A. No. 1066 of 2024</div><div><div>2.</div><div>Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3.</div><div>There is delay of 01 year 01 month and 22 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>4.</div><div>Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div><div><div>O.A. No. 968 of 2024</div><div><div>5.</div><div>This original application has been filed for grant of Honorary Commission to the applicant.</div><div>6.</div><div>Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7.</div><div>Admit.</div><div>8.</div><div>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9.</div><div>List on 17.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 6)**  
**O.A. No. 969 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1070 of 2024**

**Ex Nk (TS) Kamal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</li> </ol> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1070 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. Heard the Ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>4. There is delay of 03 years 02 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li> <li>5. <b>Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 969 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6. This original application has been filed for grant of service element of disability pension.</li> <li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>8. Admit.</li> <li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>10. List on <b>17.01.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) RK/-</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 970 of 2024

Sub (Hony Capt) Krishnarjuna Rao V (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col R C Jain (Veteran), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearingCol RC Jain (Veteran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court andMs. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. This original application has been filed for grant of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>5. Admit.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>7. List on <b>20.01.2025</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 971 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1071 of 2024

Ex HFO Nijamuddin Ansari (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Abhay Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Yogesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1071 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 08 years 06 months and 17 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. It is submitted that the applicant had preferred his representation dated 15.01.2015 (Annexure A-2 to the O.A) which was not replied, then on 31.07.2024, an appeal was preferred which was replied by the respondents stating that the appeal is time bound.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon’ble Apex Court in the case of <b>Union of India and Others Vs. Tarsem Singh (2008 (8) SCC 646)</b>, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p>

	<p><b><u>O.A. No. 971 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>7. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>8. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on <b>16.01.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>
--	---

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 972 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1072 of 2024

Hav Raj Kumar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India& Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1072 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 07 years 04 months and 20 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon’ble Apex Court in the case of <b>Union of India and Others Vs. Tarsem Singh (2008 (8) SCC 646)</b>, delay is condoned.</p> <p><b>7. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 972 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>8. This O.A has been filed for grant of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p>

	<div>9. Case needs adjudication.</div> <div>10. Admit.</div> <div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div> <div>12. List on <b>15.01.2025</b>.</div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>
--	--

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 973 of 2024

**Ex Hav Naresh Chandra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India& Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present before the Court.</p> <p>3. This original application has been filed for grant of disability element.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding premature filing of this O.A. He prays for and is allowed four weeks’ time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any.</p> <p>5. List on <b>20.12.2024</b>.</p> <div><div><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 11)**

**Ex-A. No. 371 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 949 of 2023**

**Ex WO Krishna Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li> <li>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 16.04.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 949 of 2023.</li> <li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</li> <li>6. List on <b>21.01.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 12)**

**Ex-A. No. 373 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 806 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt. Ajay Kumar Gupta** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</li> <li>3. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 05.04.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 806 of 2023.</li> <li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</li> <li>6. List on <b>21.01.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 13)

Ex-A. No. 374 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 721 of 2022

Lt Col Madhu Mohan Dube (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate appeared on behalf of the applicant and submits that he has already filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant but in the cause list his name is not printed as counsel for the applicant. Registry is directed to print his name as counsel for the applicant in the next cause list.</p> <p>3. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>4. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>5. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 02.02.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 721 of 2022.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>7. List on <b>22.01.2025</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 14)

Ex-A. No. 375 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 799 of 2023

Sgt / MTD Indresh Kumar (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 18.04.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 799 of 2023.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. List on <b>22.01.2025</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 15)**

**Ex-A. No. 377 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1029 of 2023**

**Ex MCELA-1 (Hony / Lt) Ved Prakash Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li> <li>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 06.05.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 1029 of 2023.</li> <li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</li> <li>6. List on <b>23.01.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 1964 784 2032"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div data-bbox="849 1964 1211 2032"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 16)**

**Ex-A. No. 378 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1028 of 2023**

**Ex MCAA-II (Hony S/Lt) Rajendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li><li>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 07.05.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 1028 of 2023.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</li><li>6. List on <b>23.01.2025</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 17)

Ex-A. No. 379 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 508 of 2024

MCPO AF I (Hon SLT) Jitendra Narayan Singh (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate  
Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant andMrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 15.05.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 508 of 2024.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. List on <b>24.01.2025</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 18)**

**Ex-A. No. 380 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 669 of 2023**

**Ex MCEL (R ) I (Hony Lt) Lalji Srivastava** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencingand Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present before the Court.</li><li>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li><li>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 06.05.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 669 of 2023.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</li><li>6. List on <b>24.01.2025</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 149 of 2023

Cpl Pramod Kumar Pathak (Dismissed) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not connected due to non-availability of network.</p> <p>2. This case needs for physical hearing.</p> <p>3. List for physical hearing on <b>07.01.2025</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

Ex- A. No. 114 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 244 of 2021

Ex Hav Narendra Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Manoj Awasthi holding brief for Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicantis present before the Court andShri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present through video conferencing. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed on behalf of the respondents.</p> <p>3. Applicant was granted time to file objection to the compliance affidavit filed by the respondents. Objection has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that the order of this Tribunal has been complied with.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant conceded that the order has been complied with and the payment has been made to the applicant.</p> <p>6. Since the order has been complied with, the instant execution application is <b>disposed of in full satisfaction.</b></p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)

**Ex - A. No. 140 of 2022Inre: O.A. No.420of 2021**

**Ex Sub Rajiv Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued.</li> <li>4. Since there is no stay order passed by the Hon'ble Court, Respondents are granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>5. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</li> <li>6. List on <b>07.01.2025</b>.</li> <li>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex - A. No. 236 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 590 of 2021

Ex L/NK Sunil Kumar Chauhan  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearingShri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued.</div><div>4. Since there is no stay order passed by the Hon'ble Court, Respondents are granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 09.01.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)**

**Ex-A. No. 222 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 109 of 2022**

**Ex Nb Sub Naresh Ram Dulari Bhagan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj is also present for the respondents.</li> <li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>3. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj submits that claim has been received in the Office of PCDA and PPO shall be issued shortly.</li> <li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>5. List on <b>09.01.2025</b>.</li> <li>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 310 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 206 of 2019

Smt. Savitri Chand, W/O  
Late Ex Nb Sub Rami Chand Rajan  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Applicant in person

Applicant

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing,none is present on behalf of the applicant. Learned counsel for the respondents is also not present.</div><div>2. Sri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, PCDA (Pension), Prayagraj is present in the Court. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that certain documents were sought for from the applicant, which have not yet been provided by him and as soon as the documents are provided, order shall be complied with.</div><div>3. List on 10.1.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex -A. No. 484 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 949 of 2022

Ex Sub Maj Ajay Kumar Sharma  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>24.09.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>2. Compliance report has not been filed so far.</div> <div>3. Affidavit of present status filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div> <div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the respondents are going to assail the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court by filing Writ petition.</div> <div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment on additional cost of Rs. 8000/-.</div> <div>6. List on 13.01.2025.</div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>Member (A) Member (J)</div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

Ex - A. No. 230 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1050 of 2022

Ex Hav Satyendra Kumar SinghApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Compliance Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 13.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)**

**EX-A. No. 231 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 24 of 2023**

**JWO Satyavir Singh (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Compliance Affidavit.</li> <li>4. List on <b>14.01.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 232 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 668 of 2023

Ex Sgt Krishan Kant Sharma  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Compliance Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 14.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 233 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 766 of 2023

GpCapt Rakesh Ranjan (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Perna Singh, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Perna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Compliance Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 15.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

**Ex-A. No. 234 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 843 of 2023**

**Ex WO Ravi Shankar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Compliance Affidavit.</li> <li>4. List on <b>15.01.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 939 of 2023

Ex Rect Arun Tomar & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chabuey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA filed on behalf of respondents in respect of applicant nos. 1, 2 and 4 is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA in respect of applicant no.3.</div><div>4. List on 18.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No.13)

O.A. No. 1237 of 2023 with MA No. 1750/2023

Ex. Sigmn Kirpal Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. Orders reserved.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1376 of 2023

Ex Sep Hari Singh AleApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate  
Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. RA, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 07.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1404 of 2023

Ex Hav (DVR ) Shailendra Kumar YadavApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Advocate  
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. SCA filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. SRA may be filed within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 08.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1483 of 2023

HFO Ved Prakash Mishra (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file any RA.</div><div>4. List on 09.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

**O.A. No. 1503 of 2023**

**Shailendra Sharma, POELA (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. CA has not been filed so far.</li> <li>3. Despite availing several opportunities even last opportunity, the respondents have not filed any CA till date. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file CA, failing which the opportunity to file CA shall stand closed.</li> <li>4. List on <b>20.12.2024</b>.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)    Member (J)</p> </div> <div style="width: 45%; text-align: right;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> </div> </div> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1529 of 2023

Lt Col Abhishek Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri SP Dorji, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA has not been filed so far.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA.</div><div>4. List on 07.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1530 of 2023

Major Ajay Gupta (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shalani Puar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA has not been filed so far.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA.</div><div>4. List on 09.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1534 of 2023

Lt Col Mukesh Babu Pathak (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant  
Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate  
Shri RK Mishra, Advocate  
Shalani Puar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents  
Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA has not been filed so far.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA.</div><div>4. List on 10.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 16 of 2024

Ex L/NK DSCGD Pankaj Kumar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Reply to the Objection filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. List on 26.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**O.A. No. 95 of 2024**

**Lt Col Shivendra Kumar Pandey**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Applicant in person

Applicant

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing applicant in person and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. RA filed on behalf of the applicant, is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Pleadings are complete.</li> <li>4. List for hearing on <b>25.11.2024</b>.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 171 of 2024

L/NK Laxman (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate  
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA by way of last opportunity.</div><div>3. List on 02.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

**O.A. No. 344 of 2024**

Applicant

## Versus

## Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. CA has not been filed so far.</li> <li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file CA.</li> <li>4. List on <b>03.01.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 384 of 2024

JWO Ram Prahlad Roy (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA has not been filed so far.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file CA.</div><div>4. List on 06.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 535 of 2024

Ex HFO Vindeshwari Prajapati

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri RK Singh, Advocate  
Shri DK Dixit, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA has not been filed so far.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA.</div><div>4. List on 07.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>



Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. CA has not been filed so far.</li> <li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA.</li> <li>4. List on <b>09.01.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 542 of 2024

Sep Aravind Kumar Yadav (Retd)Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwash Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>24.09.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwash Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. CA has not been filed so far. 3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA. 4. List on 10.01.2025.</div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 543 of 2024

Col Maneesh Sharma (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA has not been filed so far.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA.</div><div>4. List on 13.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 544 of 2024

Sub Bulu Jena (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA has not been filed so far.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA.</div><div>4. List on 14.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

**O.A. No. 546 of 2024**

Applicant

## Versus

## Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. CA has not been filed so far.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA.</p> <p>4. List on <b>15.01.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**O.A. No. 625 of 2024**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

## Versus

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. CA has not been filed so far.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA.</p> <p>4. List on <b>16.01.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 751 of 2024 with M.A. No. 839 of 2024

Col Victor Dcruz (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Respondents were granted time to file Objection against maintainability of the O.A. before this Tribunal, which has not been filed so far. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file Objection, if any, by way of last opportunity.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on 19.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 34)

**O.A. No. 852 of 2024**

**Hav (Chief Mess) Samsher Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This case is listed for hearing on admission.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to move amendment application. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file amendment application.</p> <p>4. List on <b>17.01.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>



**O.A. No. 687 of 2022**

Applicant

## Versus

## Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. List for physical hearing on <b>07.01.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 36)

T.A. No. 6 of 2013

**Zamir Ahmad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate  
Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the last occasion, respondents were directed to file destruction certificate alongwith an affidavit, which has not been filed so far.</p> <p>3. Departmental representative prays for and is granted two weeks’ further time to file destruction certificate alongwith the Affidavit</p> <p>4. List for physical hearing on <b>10.12.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>