

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)**

**Ex- A. No. 285 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 602 of 2021**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

## Versus

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<p><b>Notes of the Registry</b></p>	<p><b>Orders of the Tribunal</b></p>
	<p><b><u>25.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Departmental Representative assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj are also present on behalf of the respondents.</li> <li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>3. Departmental Representative submits that government sanction has been accorded on 10.04.2024. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj stated that claim has not yet been received at PCDA.</li> <li>4. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further six week's time to file compliance affidavit as a last opportunity failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 20,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>5. List on <b>03.12.2024</b>.</li> <li>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex - A. No. 240 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 720 of 2022

Ex Nb Sub Munna Lal  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Despite availing several opportunities, compliance report has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition has been filed in Hon'ble High Court against the order of this Tribunal, in which notice has been issued.</div><div>4. Since stay order has not been passed, further four weeks' time is granted to respondents to file compliance report/ stay order on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be deposited in the Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. List on 03.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex - A. No. 327 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1089 of 2022

Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Arun Kumar Singh (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav holding brief for Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued.</div><div>5. Since there is no stay order passed by the Hon'ble Court, respondents are directed to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, within four weeks failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>7. List on 09.01.2025.</div><div>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 331 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 16 of 2023

Ex L NK Virendra Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that the respondents are assailing the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>8. List on 21.01.2025.</div><div>9. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 424 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 801 of 2022

Col Shyam Bihari Singh (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that the matter is pending before the PS-4. He prays for and is granted further four weeks' time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 8,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Director-PS-4, IHQ, MoD is directed to be present before this Tribunal through virtual mode on the next date of listing to explain the cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. List on 10.01.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex -A. No. 301 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 801 of 2022

Col Shyam Bihari Singh (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col RN Tiwari (Retd), Advocate  
Ms Vibha Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col RN Tiwari (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to withdraw the instant execution applicant. He seeks the permission of the Tribunal to withdraw the same. He is permitted to withdraw the execution application.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, the instant execution application is <b>dismissed as withdrawn.</b></p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)**

**Ex - A. No. 439 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 968 of 2022**

**Ex JWO Satya Prakash Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri DK Dixit, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</li> <li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Affidavit with regard to present status has also not been filed.</li> <li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, within two weeks failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 2000/- in the Registry.</li> <li>5. List on <b>13.01.2025</b>.</li> <li>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="388 2024 823 2091" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </div> <div data-bbox="1114 2024 1416 2091" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </div> </div> <p data-bbox="349 2118 401 2147">RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 473 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 420 of 2023

Ex Hav Gyanendra Kumar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Affidavit with regard to present status of the case, has also not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. List on 13.01.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 495 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 509 of 2023

Ex Hav Babar Sharad Maruti  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued.</div><div>5. Since there is no stay order passed by the Hon'ble Court, respondents are directed to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, within four weeks failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 6,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. List on 14.01.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 496 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 209 of 2023

Ex Hav Palav Vithoba Kashiram  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court in which notice has been issued.</p> <p>5. Since there is no stay order passed by the Hon’ble Court, respondents are directed to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, within four weeks failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 6,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>6. List on <b>14.01.2025</b>.</p> <p>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**Ex-A. No. 210 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 750 of 2023**

**Ex JWO Chandra Kant Trivedi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li> <li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit as a last opportunity.</li> <li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li> <li>5. List on <b>15.01.2025</b>.</li> <li>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="389 1819 823 1892" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </div> <div data-bbox="1112 1819 1416 1892" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </div> </div> <p data-bbox="349 1921 402 1940">RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)**

**M.A. No. 873 of 2024 with M.A. No. 876 of 2024**  
**Inre: O.A. No. 45 of 2016**

**Ex Sep Yogesh Giri** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Respondents were granted time to file objection to the recall application filed by the applicant. Objection has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file objection, if any.</p> <p>5. List on <b>16.01.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Narayan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>3. This application has been filed by the applicant with prayer to recall of the order dated 22.05.2024 passed in O.A No. 917 of 2023. There is delay of one month and 15 days in filing the recall application for which delay condonation application has been moved by the applicant.</li> <li>4. The case was dismissed in default on 22.05.2024 and the recall application has been filed on 08.08.2024. It is submitted by the applicant's counsel that due to Heat Stroke and ill health he could not approach the Tribunal within stipulated period of time.</li> <li>5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the case was dismissed in default, we are of the view that the grounds mentioned in the delay condonation application are sufficient and it will be just and proper to decide the case on merit.</li> <li>6. Recall application is liable to be allowed but with payment of cost. Recall application is hereby allowed subject to payment of cost of Rs. 1000/- by the applicant.</li> <li>7. Applicant is directed to deposit the cost within four weeks in the Registry which shall be remitted to the AFT Bar Association, Lucknow for upliftment of Library.</li> <li>8. Registry is directed to restore the original application to its original number after payment of cost by the applicant.</li> <li>9. <b>Accordingly, MA Nos. 874/2024 and 875/2024 are disposed of.</b></li> <li>10. List on <b>16.01.2025.</b></li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="389 2408 823 2483"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div data-bbox="1110 2408 1412 2483"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 508 of 2022

Ex L/NK Ram Prabesh Yadav  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate  
Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Versus  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. It is submitted that the similar matter is pending before the Larger Bench of this Tribunal.</div><div>3. At the request of Id. counsel for the parties, list this case for physical hearing on 28.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 566 of 2023

**Brig Sameer Bhadauria (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SG Singh, Advocate  
Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. List revised.</p> <p>2. None is present on behalf of applicant. Learned counsel for the respondents is also not present. Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative, Legal Cell is present on behalf of respondents.</p> <p>3. Despite availing several opportunities, counter affidavit has not been filed by learned counsel for the respondents. Cost imposed on respondents has also not been deposited. Departmental representative prays for and is granted two weeks further time as last opportunity to file counter affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 4,000/- failing which his opportunity to file counter affidavit shall be closed.</p> <p>4. List on <b>17.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1043 of 2023

Ex ALD K Ratan Veer SinghApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. None is present on behalf of the applicant. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>3. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed. His opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit is closed.</div><div>4. List on 10.01.2025 for final hearing.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 41 of 2024

**Gp Capt KA Cariappa (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Gp Capt Y Venugopal (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List this case for final hearing on <b>03.12.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 42 of 2024

Ex Rect Akash Singh (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.01.2025</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 43 of 2024

Ex MACP Nk Raj Kumar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Today it is the last opportunity to file counter affidavit which has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time as one more opportunity to file Counter Affidavit failing which opportunity to file counter affidavit shall be closed.</div><div>4. List on 20.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 68 of 2024

Ex Hav Braj Raj Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Pleadings have been exchanged.</div><div>4. Heard learned counsel for the applicant at some length.</div><div>5. List on 27.11.2024 as part heard case.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 78 of 2024

Nk / ORA Chanchlesh Pawar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental representative for the respondents are present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</div><div>2. Despite availing three chances, counter affidavit has not been filed by learned counsel for the respondents. Departmental representative for the respondents prays for four weeks' further time to file counter affidavit. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 21.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 146 of 2024

WO Rishi Kesh Sharma (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Despite availing three chances, counter affidavit has not been filed by learned counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for four weeks’ further time to file counter affidavit. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 21.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

UKT/-

**O.A. No. 304 of 2024**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.09.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shaym Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for four weeks' further time to file counter affidavit. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.01.2025</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 308 of 2024 with M.A. No. 385 of 2024

**Ex Sgt Satya Prakash** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 385 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel; for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Reply to objection on delay condonation filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. Applicant is in receipt of service pension. There is delay of more than 18 years in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>7. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon’ble Apex court in the case of <b><i>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh [2008 (8) SCC 648]</i></b>, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p>



**O.A. No. 308 of 2024**

8. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.

9. Case needs adjudication.

10. Admit.

11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

12 List on **22.01.2025**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 317 of 2024

WO Ram Bahadur Singh (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for four weeks' further time to file counter affidavit. As last chance, four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 23.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 356 of 2024

Ex Sub Sushil Kumar Yadav  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate  
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit and rejoinder affidavit filed by respective parties are taken on record.</div><div>3. Pleadings have been exchanged.</div><div>4. Similar matter is pending before Larger Bench, AFT, Principal Bench for adjudication.</div><div>5. List on 23.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 386 of 2024

Sgt Shiw Kumar Mishra (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Today case was listed for filing counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have not been received. He prays for four weeks’ further time to file counter affidavit. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>24.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 389 of 2024

Ex WO Pavan Kumar Sharma  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate  
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. O.A is <b>allowed</b>.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 532 of 2024

L/Hav Anawar Singh (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have not been received. He prays for four weeks’ further time to file counter affidavit. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 24.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 537 of 2024

Sgt Andrews Antony Dass (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have not been received. He prays for four weeks' further time to file counter affidavit. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 24.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 721 of 2024 with M.A. No. 817 of 2024

**Ex Sep / MT Awdhesh Kumar Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri UKS Chauhan, Advocate  
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri UKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents was granted time to file objection on delay condonation application which has not been filed. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ further time to file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>3. List on <b>03.12.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 729 of 2024 with M.A. No. 823 of 2024

S ShriniwasApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents was granted time to file objection on delay condonation application as well as maintainability of the case which has not been filed. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ further time to file objection on delay as well as maintainability of the case.</div><div>3. List on 03.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 764 of 2024 with M.A. No. 859 of 2024

Ex Nk (TS) Shyam Mani

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preet Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 859 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel; for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. Applicant is in receipt of service pension. There is delay of more than 20 years in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon’ble Apex court in the case of <b>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh [2008 (8) SCC 648]</b>, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p>

**O.A. No. 764 of 2024**

7. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.

8. Case needs adjudication.

9. Admit.

10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11 List on **27.01.2025**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 34)

O.A. No. 832 of 2024 with M.A. No. 932 of 2024

Sub / Hony Capt Basheer T (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.09.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this amendment application with the prayer to change address of the applicant in Original Application. This is a routine nature of amendment and will not change the nature of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Amendment application is <b>allowed</b>. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to make proposed amendment within two days.</p> <p>3. List on <b>03.12.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 35)

T.A. No. 9 of 2024

Ex Sigmn Omkar Singh Dhaka  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have not been received. He prays for four weeks’ further time to file counter affidavit. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</div><div>3. List on 29.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 36)

O.A. No. 81 of 2022

Cpl RS Deo

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Pradeep Shukla, Advocate  
Shri Ajeet Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav,

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>During course of argument, learned counsel for the respondents has produced a copy of signal which was issued in the year 2022. In two years, final decision has not been taken with regard to discharge of the applicant. Today, learned counsel for the respondents again prayed for one week time to seek instructions from concerned authority regarding discharge. Time is granted.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on <b>09.10.2024</b> for physical hearing as part heard case.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 37)

O.A. No. 920 of 2023

Ex LME Vivek Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>25.09.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed by learned counsel for the applicant. He submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit.</div> <div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div> <div>4. O.A is partly <b>allowed</b>.</div> <div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div> <div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>