

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex. A. No. 02 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. 269 of 2015

Ex. Nb. Sub. Radhey Shyam Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.2. Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj is present.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents was granted time to file clarification regarding para 10B of the reply filed by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant.4. That clarification has not been filed.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as last opportunity to file clarification regarding payment/non-payment of amount given in para 10B of the reply of the applicant.6. List on 15.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex. A. No. 46 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 264 of 2019

Ex. Nk. Raghuraj Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing assisted by Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.3. Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.4. Applicant may file objection, if any, within two weeks.5. List on 26.03.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 334 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 871 of 2022

Ex. Nb. Sub. Arvind Bhushan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant for filing affidavit of compliance.4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of execution case and cause of delay within two weeks failing which additional cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them by the next date fixed.6. List on 02.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 386 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 601 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Ashok Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing assisted by Maj. Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative.3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of Rs.10,000/- as cost which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of execution case and cause of delay in implementation of the order within two weeks.6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them by the next date fixed.7. List on 16.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 8 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 202 of 2022

Col. Surya Prakash Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court in which Hon'ble High Court has passed stay order on 06.02.2024.5. Respondents are directed to file copy of stay order till next date of listing.6. List on 27.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 198 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 196 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Rishi Agnihotri Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>2. Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj is present.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued on 06.02.2024 and copy of PPO has been provided to the applicant. He prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 10.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 304 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 896 of 2022

Col. Kamlesh Chauhan (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey and Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant are present.2. Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of Tribunal. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. List on 16.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 1127 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1128 of 2023 Inre : Diary No. 331 of 2023

Ex. Nk. Mahavir Singh
In Person

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Defects raised by the registry have not been removed by the applicant.4. Applicant was granted last opportunity to remove the defects but still defects have not been removed.5. An application has been received today through post praying therein that the case may be listed at Circuit Bench at Nainital.6. Accordingly, list this case on 12.03.2024 at Circuit Bench, Nainital. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 1009 of 2022

Col. Mahipal Singh Deopa (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Time was granted to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents to file Supplementary Affidavit.4. Supplementary Affidavit has not been filed.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one more opportunity as last opportunity to seek instructions and to file Supplementary Affidavit, if any.6. List on 08.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 1017 of 2022

Prahlad Ram Meena Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D.S. Kauntae, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.2. Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned.4. List on 29.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 259 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Jagat Pal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Matter is pending for adjudication before the Larger Bench, Armed Forces Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on 21.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 362 of 2023

Ex. Nk. Anirudh Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents.2. Original Application is dismissed.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 398 of 2023

Ex. Spr. Lakshman Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos.1 to 4.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 412 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Nirbhay Bux Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. It is last opportunity to respondents to file Counter Affidavit but Counter Affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as second last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit failing which opportunity to file Counter Affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on 20.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 500 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2089 of 2023

Ex. HFO Kamal Chandra Das Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.2. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Gp. Capt. Amulya Dayal, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Amendment application has been moved by the applicant which is taken on record.4. List on 02.04.2024 for hearing on amendment application. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 522 of 2023

Ex. Nb. Ris. Nawab Singh Bharangar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D.S. Kauntae, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing the applicant Ex. Nb. Ris. Nawab Singh Bharangar is present in person.2. Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.3. Applicant submits that his Counsel is not well today and prays for adjournment.4. The case is adjourned for the day.5. List on 29.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 545 of 2023

Ex. Ris. (RT) Tribhuan Narayan Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing assisted Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Original Application is partly allowed.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 600 of 2023

Ex. Nk. (TS) Mujavar Habib Husen Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets</p> <p>4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 620 of 2023

Col. Anshuman Vivek (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate
Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate
Shri Suyush Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.4. List on 15.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 756 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Lalit Mohan Joshi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. It is last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit but Counter Affidavit has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he will file Counter Affidavit during the course of the day.4. One week time is granted to Ld. Counsel for the respondents to file Counter Affidavit failing which opportunity to file Counter Affidavit shall stand closed.5. List on 14.03.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 802 of 2023

Ex. Hony. Sub Lt. MCPO MI Jai Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendera Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 15.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 873 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1116 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. (MTD) Ram Sewak Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Reply to preliminary objection filed by the applicant is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Group Capt. Amulya Dayal, Departmental Representative for the respondents on maintainability of Original Application.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection that the applicant has not availed the remedies available under Sections 26 or 27 of the Air Force Act, 1950 as such Original Application is not maintainable.4. In reply, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has approached this Tribunal after his retirement from service thereby after termination of applicability of Air Force Act in terms of Section 3 of the aforesaid Act as such the objection raised by the respondents that applicant has not availed the remedy provided under Section 26 or 27 of Air Force Act is not tenable and objection is liable to rejected.5. After hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both the parties we find that Section 3 of the Air Force Act, 1950 provides that "3. Termination of application of the Act. - Every person subject to this Act under clauses (a) to (c) of section 2 shall remain so subject until duly retired, discharged, released, removed, dismissed or cashiered from the service". In the instant case the applicant was discharged from service on 30.09.2022. As such applicant is not subject to Air Force Act, 1950 for the purpose of Section 26 or 27 of the said Act. Further, In view of the above, the preliminary objection raised by the respondents is rejected and the Original Application is maintainable.6. There is a delay of 10 months and 19 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been

filed.

7. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that the delay in filing of Original Application is not intentional but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.

8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained by the applicant.

9. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay is **condoned**. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

O.A. No. 873 of 2023

10. This Original Application has been filed for quashing the discharge order dated 05.11.2021.

11. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has raised legal points which need adjudication.

12. Admit.

13. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

14. List on **27.05.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 988 of 2023

Hav./ORA Vangala Sreenivasa Reddy Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 04.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 1081 of 2023

Ex. WO Satya Pal Singh Bais Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 08.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 1221 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Kundan Singh Mehra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 22.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 1504 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2179 of 2023

Ex. LAC Raj Kumar Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Atul Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Atul Kumar Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. His name be also shown as Counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Atul Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. Objection on delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.4. Reply may be filed within two weeks.5. List on 10.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 1513 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2180 of 2023

Sub. Shabhu Nath Yadav (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. There is a delay of 01 year, 03 months and 19 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>3. Time was granted to file objection on delay condonation application but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Delay of 01 year, 03 months and 19 days in filing of Original Application has been properly explained. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1513 of 2023</u></p> <p>5. This Original Application has been filed for grant of promotion to the rank of Subedar Major and for grant of Honorary ranks Hony. Lt. and Hony. Capt.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has raised legal points which needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 27.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 182 of 2024 with M.A. No. 230 of 2024

WO Balraj Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension along with benefit of rounding off.3. There is a delay of 01 year, 04 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.4. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 182 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.6. Case needs adjudication.7. Admit.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.9. List on 28.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 608 of 2020

Yogendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 29.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 81 of 2022

Cpl. R.S. Deo

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pradeep Shukla, Advocate
Shri Ajeet Yadav, Advocate
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard arguments of Shri Pradeep Shukla and Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. List on 26.03.2024 as part heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 411 of 2023

Ex. Nb. Sub. Bijaypal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

T.A. No. 19 of 2017 with M.A. No. 799 of 2017

Sepoy Vinod Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D.S. Kauntae, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Prahlad Singh, father of the applicant - Sepoy Vinod Kumar is present in person.</p> <p>2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>3. List on 29.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

T.A. No. 60 of 2023

WO Ramnaresh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri A.K. Chaudhary, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 16.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 34)

T.A. No. 01 of 2024

Ex. AG AA-4 Rahul Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Transferred Application is allowed.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 207 of 2024 with M.A. No. 266 of 2024

Lt. Col. Vishal Singh Bais (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 20 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any.6. List on 14.03.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 208 of 2024

Brig. Shantanu Mukherjee (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Birendera Prasad Singh, Advocate
Shri Devenera Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next2. Heard Shri Birendera Prartap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.5. Legal points have been raised by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents.6. Case needs adjudication.7. Admit.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.9. List on 27.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 209 of 2024

Sub. /Sandeep Kumar Mehta Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant through Video Conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for grant of pre-mature retirement from service on compassionate grounds.4. Matter needs adjudication.5. Admit.6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.7. List on 27.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 79 of 2024 Inre : T.A. No. 72 of 2022

Cmde V.K. Madhusoodanan (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.3. Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.5. This Execution Application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 03.01.2023 passed in Transferred Application No.72 of 2022.6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance.7. List on 24.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 80 of 2024 Inre : T.A. No. 15 of 2022

Ex. MWO (HFO) Keshav Dev Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next .2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.3. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.4. This Execution Application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 22.09.2022 passed in Transferred Application No.15 of 2022.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance.6. List on 24.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 81 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 17 of 2022

Ex. HFO Radharaman Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next .</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</p> <p>3. Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>4. This Execution Application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 22.09.2022 passed in Transferred Application No.17 of 2022.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>9. List on 24.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>