

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1149 of 2024

Col. Deepak Upreti (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj. S. M. Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Maj. S. M. Mustafa (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and Departmental Representative for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. There is no delay in filing Original Application.</div><div>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element of pension.</div><div>5. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>6. Admit.</div><div>7. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>8. List on 20.03.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1150 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1289 of 2024

MWO (HFL) Dinesh Pratap Singh (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through virtual mode.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1289 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. There is delay of 05 months and 16 days in filing Original Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action.</p> <p>5. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1150 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>6. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element of pension.</p> <p>7. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>20.03.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1151 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1290 of 2024

Sub (Hony Lt) Shive Prakash Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. There is delay of more than 09 years in filing Original Application.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on delay condonation application.</div><div>5. List on 16.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No.1152 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Saket Raj  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. There is no delay in filing Original Application.</div><div>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element of pension.</div><div>5. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>6. Admit.</div><div>7. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>8. List on 20.03.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 1154 of 2024

Nk Razi Ahmad (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, and perused the record.</div><div>3. There is no delay in filing Original Application.</div><div>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension.</div><div>5. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>6. Admit.</div><div>7. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>8. List on 20.03.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 1155 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1294 of 2024

Hav Ramesh Kumar Dhaka (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. There is no delay in filing Original Application, however, delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant, which being not required is rejected. M.A. No. 1294 of 2024 stands disposed of.</div><div>3. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element of pension.</div><div>4. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>5. Admit.</div><div>6. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>7. List on 20.03.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 1159 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1300 of 2024

Ex Sep Harish Chandra  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. There is delay of more than 11 years in filing Original Application.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on delay condonation application.</div><div>5. List on 11.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)**

**Ex.A. No. 457 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 175 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Mohammad Adil Hussain** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, Advocate  
Shri Chandrakant Jha, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate, on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through virtual mode and perused the record.</p> <p>3. This is fresh execution application filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 11.05.2023 passed in OA No. 175 of 2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file compliance report.</p> <p>5 List on <b>21.03.2025.</b></p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl. No.9)

Ex.A. No. 458 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 414 of 2023

Ex L/Nk Amarnath Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This is fresh execution application filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 31.10.2023 passed in OA No. 414 of 2023.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file compliance report.</div><div>5 List on 21.03.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)

M.A No. 1281 of 2024 Inre MA No. 733 of 2024 Inre OA No. 200 of 2024  
Agniveer Auto Tech A Veh Gaurav Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Anupama Bhadauriya, Advocate  
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In this case, Memo of Appearance has already been filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents in the Original Application, which is on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. This application has been moved by the applicant for recall of the order dated 22.10.2024 passed in O.A No. 200 of 2024 by which the case was dismissed for non-prosecution.</li><li>3. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that she could not attend the Tribunal as she was busy in High Court. Her absence was indeliberate. She requested to recall the order dated 22.10.2024.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents vehemently opposed the submission of the applicant’s counsel and contended that this case was dismissed on 30.05.2024 for non-prosecution and it was restored on 08.07.2027 subject to payment of cost of Rs. 1000/-. Again, the instant case has been dismissed for non-prosecution on 22.10.2024.</li><li>6. We are of the view that it will be just and proper to decide the matter on merit.</li><li>7. Accordingly, Recall application is allowed. Order dated 22.10.2024 is hereby recalled subject to payment of cost of Rs. 1000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the AFT, Bar Association, Lucknow for upliftment of the library.</li><li>8. Registry is directed to restore the original application to its original number after payment of cost by the applicant.</li><li>9. Accordingly, MA Nos. 1281/2024 is disposed of.</li><li>10. List on 02.01.2025.</li></ol> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 11)

M.A. No. 1296 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 977 of 2024

LAC Ajay Kumar Elect Fit (R) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. In this case, Memo of Appearance has already been filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents in the Original Application, which is on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. In this Original Application 04.02.2025 is fixed for listing.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1296 of 2024</u></p> <p>5. This application has been moved by the applicant to fix an early date of hearing. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that discharge has been proposed by the respondents and Show Cause Notice has already been issued to the applicant. The applicant may be discharged at any time. It is also submitted that on the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the applicant could not argue the case due to illness.</p> <p>6. There is sufficient ground to allow the application, accordingly, early hearing application is allowed. M.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p>7. List this case for hearing on <b>09.12.2024</b> in place of 04.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

Ex.A. No. 19 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 508 of 2018  
Ex. Sub. Jitender Pal Singh Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate  
  
Versus  
  
Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. This is an old execution application pending before this Tribunal for implementation of the order dated 11.01.2021. Despite availing several opportunities compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court. It is also submitted that cost of Rs. 5,000/- has been deposited in the Registry and rest of the cost shall be deposited by the next date of listing. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 20,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. ADG-PS, IHQ, MoD shall be present before this Tribunal through virtual mode on the next date of listing to explain the cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>7. List on <b>07.02.2025</b>.</p> <p>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)**

**Ex. A. No. 44 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 326 of 2022**

**Ex Ris Satendra Singh Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</li> <li>5. List on <b>18.03.2025</b>.</li> <li>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="409 1932 837 1997" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </div> <div data-bbox="1094 1932 1383 1997" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </div> </div> <p data-bbox="350 1997 402 2016">RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex.A. No. 150 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 269 of 2021

Ex. Sub. (Hony Lt) Manzoor Ali

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Affidavit with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court but there is no stay order of the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 20,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 17.02.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)**

**Ex. No. 169 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 227 of 2022**

**Ex. Sep. Lalit Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued on 24.10.2024. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record and file compliance affidavit within four weeks failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>5. List on <b>02.01.2025</b>.</p> <p>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 181 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 217 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Maj Ajit Kumar Rai

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant are present before the Court and Shri R. C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj and Departmental Representative are also present on behalf of the respondents.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that order has been complied with and payment has been made to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are directed to file compliance affidavit within two weeks positively failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. List on 03.01.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 258 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 615 of 2022

Ex. NK. Jiwan Chandra Pandey  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court but there is no stay order passed by the Hon'ble High Court. She prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 18.03.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 381 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 521 of 2022

Ex NK Chakradhar Majhi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost has also not been deposited.</div><div>3. Affidavit with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 18.03.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

Ex.A. No. 382 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1081 of 2022

Col Anand Kumar Tapadar (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R. C. Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Affidavit with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>4. It is also submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 8,000/- has been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. List on 18.03.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)**

**Ex. A. No. 499 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 200 of 2021**

**Ex WO Dinesh Chandra Sharma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd) , Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi , Advocate holding brief of Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the order has been complied with and compliance affidavit has already been filed.</p> <p>3. Applicant was granted time to file objection, if any, to the compliance affidavit which has not been filed. Today, the Id. Counsel for the applicant is also not present.</p> <p>4. Since the order has been complied with and there is no objection against the compliance affidavit, this execution application is <b>disposed of in full satisfaction.</b></p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex.A. No. 194 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 92 of 2023

Ex Nb Ved Prakash  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D K Pandey, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri D K Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 19.03.2025.</div><div>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	<div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 1066 of 2023

Ex Sep Sheo Bachan Thakur  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate  
Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 is present through video conferencing. Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 is also present before the Court.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondent Nos. 5 and 6, is taken on record.</div><div>3. It is submitted by the Id. Counsel for the applicant that payment has been made and relief sought by the applicant has been redressed.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the O.A has become infructuous because relief sought by the applicant has been redressed by the respondents.</div><div>5. Since, the relief sought by the applicant has been redressed, the O.A has become infructuous and is accordingly <b>dismissed as infructuous.</b></div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(SI. No.12)

O.A. No. 1218 of 2023

Ex MWO Bhup SinghApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>26.11.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. O.A is <b>Allowed</b>.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 1520 of 2023

Ex Sep Nem Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has received the parawise comments. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 20.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	<div>RK/-</div>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 16 of 2024

Ex L/NK DSCGD Pankaj Kumar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. In this case, respondents have raised objection with regard to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that the applicant is resident of District Nalanda, Bihar. Further, submitted that the first appeal preferred by the applicant is still pending for disposal by the respondents.</div><div>3. Reply to the objections of the respondents has been filed by the applicant in which it is stated that the applicant’s last posting was at district Amethi, State of U.P which comes under the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. It is also submitted that the applicant had preferred his first appeal followed by a reminder in the month of October 2023. Receipts of the register posts have been annexed with Annexure A-6 and A-7.</div><div>4. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties on admissibility of the case and perused the records.</div><div>5. Perusal of the documents reveals that the applicant is permanent resident of State of Bihar and his last place of posting was at district Amethi, Uttar Pradesh. It is also observed that the appeal was preferred in Oct 2023 and since then more than six months have lapsed.</div><div>6. We have considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties.</div><div>7. Rule 6 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008, which pertains to “place of filing application”, is reproduced as under:</div></div></div>

	<p>“6. <u>Place of filing application.</u>— (1) An application shall ordinarily be filed by the applicant with the Registrar of the Bench within whose jurisdiction—</p> <p>(i) the applicant is posted for the time being, or was last posted or attached; or</p> <p>(ii) where the cause of action, wholly or in part, has arisen”</p> <p>8. In the instant case, it is not disputed that the last place of posting of the applicant was district Amethi, U.P, which is well within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal, hence, case has been made out by the applicant for admission before this Tribunal.</p> <p>9. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension.</p> <p>10. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>13. List on <b>20.03.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 37 of 2024

Sgt. Arvind Kumar Yadav (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Despite availing several opportunities, counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 09.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 38 of 2024

JWO Subash Chandra Srivastava (Retd)Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 10.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 79 of 2024

Ex Nb Sub Man Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. O.A is <b>allowed</b>.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 94 of 2024

MCA (AH) I (Hony. S/Lt) Om Prakash Mishra (Retd)Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. O.A is <b>allowed</b>.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 129 of 2024 along with O.A. No. 60 of 2024 (Decided)

Ex SWR Rambir Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka (Retd),  
Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing proxy counsel for Wg. Cdr. Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 07.03.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 369 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Rakesh Singh Tomar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. This original application has been filed for grant of disability element of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>4. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>5. Admit.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>7. List on 06.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	<div>RK/-</div>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 404 of 2024

Ex WO Dayanand Prasad  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Markandey Tiwari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Markandey Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. O.A is <b>Partly Allowed</b>.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 429 of 2024

Sep Omendra SinghApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate  
Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd),Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has received the parawise comments. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 16.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 445 of 2024

AC (U/T) Saurabh RaiApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Siddharth Dayal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ankur Rawat holding brief for Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised objection regarding maintainability of this O.A before this Tribunal. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any, on maintainability of this O.A.</div><div>4. List on 13.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 786 of 2024

Nb Sub Ganesh Datt Pandey (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate,  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Amit Kumar Shukla, Advocate  
Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. O.A is <b>allowed</b>.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 788 of 2024

Ex Sub Sanjay Kumar Sah  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>26.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has received the parawise comments. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 07.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 26)

**Ex.A. No. 235 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 538 of 2022**

**Maj Gen Brijesh Kumar Singh (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which stay order has been granted by the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted two week's time to bring this fact on record.</p> <p>4. List on <b>25.03.2025</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>