

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1084 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1218 of 2024

Sqn Ldr Navtej Singh (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate  
GP Capt. Y Venugopal (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Gp Capt Y Venugopal (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode and perused the record.</p> <p>3. There is delay of more than 14 years in filing this Original Application. Delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on <b>22.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
SB	

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1157 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1295 of 2024

**GP Capt K V Rama Rao (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate  
GP Capt. Y Venugopal (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard GP Capt. Y Venugopal (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 03 months and 07 days in filing Original Application. Delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding territorial jurisdiction before this Tribunal to entertain the case. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on territorial jurisdiction as well as on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on <b>06.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
SB	

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 1160 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1301 of 2024**

**Rect. Agniveer Navle Dnyanweshwar Gajanan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate  
Shri Sachida Nand Tiwari, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Ankur Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 01 year, 02 months and 21 days in filing Original Application. Delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding territorial jurisdiction before this Tribunal to entertain the case. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on territorial jurisdiction as well as on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on <b>27.01.2025</b>.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">SB</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 460 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 990 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Arun Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This execution case has been filed for implementation of the order dated 11.01.2024 passed in O.A. No. 990 of 2023.</div><div>4. It is fresh execution application.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.</div><div>6. List on 21.03.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 461 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 668 of 2021

Ex.Hav. Ashok KumarApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G S Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This execution case has been filed for implementation of the order dated 02.02.2022 passed in O.A. No. 668 of 2021.</div><div>4. It is fresh execution application.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.</div><div>6. List on 12.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 463 of 2024 Inre T.A. No. 34 of 2023

Ex MWO Rishinath Singh KushwahaApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri A K Chaudhary, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This execution case has been filed for implementation of the order dated 07.07.2023 passed in T.A. No. 34 of 2023.</div><div>4. It is fresh execution application.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.</div><div>6. List on 20.03.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 464 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 646 of 2023

Ex Rect Prashant Kumar MishraApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This execution case has been filed for implementation of the order dated 07.03.2024 passed in O.A. No. 646 of 2023.</div><div>4. It is fresh execution application.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.</div><div>6. List on 20.03.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 8)

T.A. No. 27 of 2024

Sgt. Manoj Kumar Singh (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dheeraj Kumar , Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate  
Versus  
Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J N Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Vakalatnama has also been filed by Shri Dheeraj Kumar and Shri Rahul Pal on behalf of the applicant which is taken on record. Their names shall be shown as counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been received by transfer from AFT (PB) New Delhi.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>5. As requested by the learned counsel for the parties, list this case on <b>22.01.2025.</b></p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
SB	



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

**Ex.A. No. 1 of 2023 Inre: Ex.A. No. 55 of 2018 & O.A. No. 316 of 2016**

**Smt. Manju Devi W/o Late Ex. Sep. Jitendra Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate  
Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 3 and Shri Saket Srivastava Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 4 and perused the record.</p> <p>2. Time was granted to respondent No. 4 to file reply to the objection. Cost was also imposed on respondent No. 4.</p> <p>3. Reply has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondent No. 4 prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file reply and to deposit cost failing which respondent No. 4 shall deposit additional cost of Rs. 5000/-</p> <p>5. List on <b>17.12.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)  
Ex.A. No. 10 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 841 of 2021

Ex. Sgt. Sandeep Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, and perused the record.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Affidavit regarding present status of the case filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. Notice is yet to be issued.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 20000/-.</div><div>6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div><div>7. List on 27.02.2025.</div><div>8. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex.A. No. 185 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 141 of 2022

Maj Dr Barkha Singh (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajay Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajay Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court. There is no stay order of the Hon’ble High Court.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15000/-.</p> <p>6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing and file affidavit regarding present status of the case.</p> <p>7. Cost of Rs. 6000/- has been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited by the respondents shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>8. List on <b>28.02.2025</b>.</p> <p>9. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex.A. No. 256 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 143 of 2022

Ex. Rect. Uttam Kumar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, through virtual mode and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present.</div><div>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Govt. sanction has been issued on 19.07.2024.</div><div>5. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj submits that claim has been received in the Office of the PCDA and PPO will be generated within a week.</div><div>6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to bring all these facts on record and file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 10000/-.</div><div>7. List on 13.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex .A. No.313 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.1064 of 2022

Maj Neha Akhoon (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri J L Joel, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri J L Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Affidavit regarding present status of the case filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</div><div>6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that cost of Rs. 8000/- has been deposited by the respondents on 24.10.2024. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>7. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div><div>8. List on 21.03.2025.</div><div>9. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

SB

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)**

**Ex.A. No. 365 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 116 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub/Clk (SD) Dinesh Singh Parihar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K S Bisht, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Purna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K K S Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</li> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</li> <li>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</li> <li>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</li> <li>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that cost of Rs. 8000/- has been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</li> <li>List on <b>21.03.2025</b>.</li> <li>Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="388 2147 823 2222" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </div> <div data-bbox="1060 2147 1351 2222" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">SB</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 384 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 118 of 2023

Cdr. Himant Hothi (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Col. Ashol Kumar (Retd) , Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</div><div>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Affidavit regarding present status of this case has already been filed by the respondents which is taken on record.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</div><div>6. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div><div>7. List on 24.03.2025.</div><div>8. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex.A. No. 58 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 670 of 2022

JWO Kamal Kumar Omar (Retd)Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued and payment has also been made to the applicant.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that order has been complied with. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 5000/-.</div><div>4. List on 17.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex.A. No. 185 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 489 of 2023

Ex.Sgt. Arvind Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit/status report failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 8000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>3. List on 24.03.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex.A. No. 187 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 978 of 2022

Smt Bhuneshvari Devi W/o Late Ex Sep Kailash Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 are present.</div><div>2. Notices were issued to respondent No. 5 &amp; 6. Today Shri Ashish Mishra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents No. 5 &amp; 6 prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Vakalatnama alongwith compliance affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 19.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex.A. No. 188 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 705 of 2023  
Ex JWO Suresh Kumar Verma  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate  
Applicant  
  
Versus  
  
Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>27.11.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div> <div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance affidavit and status report of the case.</div> <div>4. List on 12.03.2025.</div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

M.A. No. 248 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1011 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Maj (Retd) Avinash Belwal

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Uniion of India &amp; Others and Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondent through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Application for amendment moved on behalf of the applicants-Union of India &amp; Others is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the opposite party prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection, if any.</div><div>4. List on 19.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 688 of 2023

Col. Vinod Kumar Kalra (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents has filed counter affidavit after closing the opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, counter affidavit is taken on record subject to payment of cost of Rs. 1000/-. Cost shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>6. List on <b>07.02.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 965 of 2023

Ex (AA) Sonveer Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate  
Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents and rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant are taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Heard arguments.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Original Application is <b>dismissed</b>.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1065 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1234 of 2024

Col. Alok Sinha (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</div><div>2. Amendment application moved on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that respondents No. 4 &amp; 5 are wrongly impleaded in this case and necessary party, Record office has not been impleaded. For this correction, amendment application has been moved.</div><div>5. Proposed amendment will not change the nature of this Original Application.</div><div>6. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.</div><div>7. Amendment Application is allowed.</div><div>8. Applicant is directed to make amendment in the Original Application within two weeks.</div><div>9. List on 03.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 1075 of 2023**

**Sunil Dutt** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S N Singh Gaherwar, Advocate  
Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S N Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>07.02.2025</b>.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="388 1636 823 1704" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </div> <div data-bbox="1060 1636 1351 1704" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">SB</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1185 of 2023

Ex Nk Bharat Singh Rawat  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>List this case as part-heard case on 22.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
SB	

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 176 of 2024

Ex WO Ram Kumar SharmaApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 13.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> <div>SB</div>

**O.A. No. 178 of 2024**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

### Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, through virtual mode, and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit has been prepared. He prays for and is granted a week further time as one more last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>17.12.2024</b>.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 208 of 2024

Brig Shantanu Mukherjee (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant  
Shri Birendra Prasad Singh, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents  
Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Birendra Prasad Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 20.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 367 of 2024

Ex Nb Sub Pradeep Kumar Mishra  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 13.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 635 of 2024

**Agniveer Suraj Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>07.02.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

DY. No. 2898 of 2024

Nb Sub Mane Shivaji Ramchandra (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Rent agreement alongwith affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant in support of territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal is taken on record.</div><div>3. Defects pointed out by the registry have been rectified by the applicant.</div><div>4. List this case as Original Application on 20.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**Ex Cfn Arun Kumar Shahi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This case is part-heard case of another Bench consisting of Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A).</p> <p>3. List before appropriate Bench on <b>06.12.2024</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 877 of 2023

Ex Rect Desh SinghApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate  
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate  
Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Vishal Bhatnagar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>27.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. This case is listed today for final hearing.</div><div>3. Opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit has already been closed. Today rejoinder affidavit has been filed by the applicant. In the interest of justice, rejoinder affidavit is taken on record subject to payment of cost of Rs. 500/-.</div><div>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>5. Original Application is dismissed.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 1496 of 2023

**No. 174149 W Rama Shankar Tiwari, MCEA (P) (Hon. Lt.) (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>6. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>7. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-</p> <p class="margin-left: 40px;">(a) <i>To quash or set aside the Impugned order No. PEN/600/D/LRDO I : 12/202217419W dated 10 Oct 2022 passed by respondent by which disability pension of the applicant has been granted at lower rate i.e. 35%, contained as Annexure 1 to this Original Application.</i></p> <p class="margin-left: 40px;">(b) <i>To issue/pass an order or directions to opposite parties for grant of disability element of pension to the applicant @80.4% from the next date of discharge i.e. 01.01.2023, by holding the disability percentage @30% for life for disease <b>PIVD L4-L5, L5-S1 ICD – M51.09</b>, disability percentage @60% for life for disease <b>AXIAL SPONDYLOARTHRITIS ICD-M 54.9</b> and disability percentage @30% for life for the disease <b>PIVD C5-C6, C6-C7, ICD M 50.122</b> and further round off the disability element of pension to 100% by giving the benefit of broad banding in view of Govt. of India, Ministry of Defence letter dated 31.01.2001.</i></p> <p class="margin-left: 40px;">(c) <i>To issue/pass an order or directions to opposite parties to pay the difference of arrears of disability element of pension to applicant along with interest @9% per annum till it is actually paid.</i></p> <p class="margin-left: 40px;">(d) <i>Any other relief which the Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case in favour of the applicant.</i></p> <p>8. Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in the Indian Navy on 01.01.1990</p>

	<p>and invalidated out from service on 31.12.2022 in Low Medical Category on completion of terms of engagement after rendering 33 years of service. Before discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Mumbai on 22.04.2022 assessed his disabilities (i) '<b>PIVD L4-L5, L5-S1 ICD – M51.09</b>' @20% (ii) '<b>AXIAL SPONDYLOARTHRITIS ICD – M45.9</b>' @10% and (iii) '<b>PIVD C5-C6, C6-C7 ICD M 50.122</b>', <b>composite disabilities @35.2% for life</b> and opined the disabilities as <b>Aggravated by service</b>. Accordingly, the applicant was granted disability element of disability pension @35% rounded off to 50% for life vide PPO No. 401202202228 dated 08.12.2022 (Annexed at Annexure R-3 to the Counter Affidavit). The applicant preferred First Appeal dated 17.01.2023 which was rejected vide letter dated 25.06.2024. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the RMB has wrongly assessed the applicant's disability percentage for all the three disabilities at lower rate without following the provisions contemplated in Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2008. The Senior Advisor &amp; Neuro Surgeon in his opinion dated 24.06.2022 attached with RMB proceedings, at para 3 in Part- II – Clinical Assessment under heading 'Investigation report' has clearly brought out on the basis of MRI report dated 29.06.2021 and 04.06.2018 that the disability (a) PIVD C5-C6, C6-C7 ICD M 50.122 is with Lt existing nerve root compression and (b) disability PIVD L4-L5, L5-S1 ICD – M51.09 is with LCS &amp; Nerve Compression i.e. both disabilities are with neurological involvement. Therefore, both these disabilities ought to have been assessed by RMB @30% and 50% in accordance with para 33(b) of Chapter VII (Assessment) of Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2008 which have wrongly been assessed @10% and 20% respectively without taking into consideration of the Specialist opinion and clinical assessment. Further, the second disability i.e. 'AXIAL SPONDYLARTHRITIS' has been incorrectly assessed @10% by the RMB which ought to have been assessed @60-80% in accordance with Para 32(c) of Chapter VII (Assessment) of Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2008. The composite assessment of all the three disabilities cannot</p>
--	---

be assesses less than 80.4%. Ld. Counsel for the applicant pleaded that in view of the above the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension @80.4% for life to be rounded off to 100% for life.

10. In reply, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that since the applicant's composite disabilities have been assessed @35.2% for life as aggravated by the RMB, the applicant was granted disability element of disability pension @35% for life which was rounded off to @50% for life. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

11. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel of both the parties and going to the records it is clear that it is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter – II of the "Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2008".

12. In view of the above, it will be expedient in the interest of justice that respondents be directed to conduct Re-Survey Medical Board of the applicant to assess his disability percentage keeping in view neurological involvement as has been opined by the Senior Advisor & Neuro Surgeon in his report dated 24.06.2022 attached with RMB proceedings, at para 3 in Part- II – Clinical Assessment under heading 'Investigation report' and the Para 32 (c) and Para 33(b) Chapter VII (Assessment) of Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2008.

13. Accordingly, we direct the respondents to conduct Re-Survey Medical Board of the applicant to assess the applicant's disabilities in accordance with Para 32 (c) and Para 33(b) of Chapter VII (Assessment) of Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2008 within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and file the RSMB proceeding before the next date fixed.

14. List on **28.03.2025**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)  
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)  
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 68 of 2024

**Ex Hav Braj Raj Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. It is part-heard case.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not prepared today to argue the case.</p> <p>4. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>5. List on <b>09.12.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	SB

**O.A.(A) No. 760 of 2020**

Applicant

## Versus

## Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V K Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. We are of the view that this case needs physical hearing.</p> <p>3. List this case for physical hearing on <b>20.01.2025</b>.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to provide original documents pertaining to this case on next date of listing for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**Sep/MT Maiyoor Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>27.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>SB</p>