

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 100 of 2024 with M.A. No. 117 of 2024**

**Ex JWO Satish Kumar Singh Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel or the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 01 year 04 months and 22 days in filing of Original Application. Applicant has preferred an application for condoning the delay.</li><li>4. We are of the view that the causes shown in the affidavit annexed with delay condonation application are sufficient. Delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 100 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>23.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 101 of 2024 with M.A. No. 122 of 2024**

**Sgt (Retd) Manoj Kumar Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel or the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 16 years 03 months and 26 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding delay and maintainability of the O.A before this Tribunal. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A.</li><li>5. List on <b>04.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 102 of 2024**

**Col Sanjeev Singh (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col RC Jain (Veteran), Advocate  
Ms. Divya Jain, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Col RC Jain (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This O.A has been filed for grant of disability pension.</li><li>4. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>5. Admit.</li><li>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>7. List on <b>23.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 103 of 2024 with M.A. No. 123 of 2024**

**JWO Shiobali Singh (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri RC Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 01 year 04 month and 22 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li><li>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 103 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>23.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 104 of 2024 with M.A. No. 124 of 2024**

**Ex Sgt Sarawan Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 01 year 04 months and 22 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding delay and maintainability of the O.A before this Tribunal. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection with regard to delay and maintainability of the O.A.</li><li>5. List on <b>26.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 6)**

**M.A. No. 121 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 659 of 2023**

**Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Prakash** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Through M.A No. 121/2024 applicant has moved application for correction in judgement and order dated 15.01.2024 passed by this Tribunal in O.A No. 659 of 2023, Ex. Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Prakash Vs Uol.</li><li>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant submitted that due to typographical error, in the judgement dated 15.01.2024 the service number of the applicant has inadvertently been typed as '14816972F' instead of '<b>14816972P</b>'.</li><li>5. Perusal of the file and judgement reveals that it is a typographical error, hence, correction application is allowed. Necessary correction shall be incorporated in the judgement dated 15.01.2024 during the course of the day.</li><li>6. Registry is directed to provide the corrected copy of the judgement to the Id. Counsel for the parties.</li><li>7. M.A No. 121/2024 stands disposed of accordingly.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)**

**EX-A. No 69 of 2019 Inre: O.A. No. 583 of 2017**

**Ex Sep Ajay Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Supplementary affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that order has been almost implemented and only PPO is awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>08.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)**

**EX-A. No. 255 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 517 of 2017**

**Ex Sep Rahul Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Objection filed by the applicant on compliance affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Respondents may file their reply within a week.</li><li>4. Respondents are also directed to file legible copies of the annexures annexed with the compliance affidavit.</li><li>5. List on <b>16.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)**

**EX-A. No. 297 of 2022 with Inre: O.A. No. 879 of 2021**

**Ex L/NK Govind Pethe** **Applicant**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. List on <b>05.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)**

**Ex. A. No. 361 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 32 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Prabhu Nath Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Major Danish Farooqui, Departmental representative submits that cost of Rs. 10,000/- has been deposited. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li><li>5. List on <b>15.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)**

**Ex. A. No. 352 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 565 of 2022**

**Ex Nb Sub Inder Kumar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>26.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)**

**Ex. A. No. 358 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 624 of 2022**

**Ex HFO, Chandra Prakash Srivastava** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri SK Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhishek Singh holding brief for Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Departmental representative prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>27.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)**

**Ex. A. No. 359 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 67 of 2023**

**Ex JWO Salil Bhatnagar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhishek Singh holding brief for Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li><li>5. List on <b>01.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)**

**EX-A. No. 360 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 497 of 2021**

**Ex L/NK Ganga Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col HM Maheshwari (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col HM Maheshwari (Retd), Ld Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)**

**EX-A. No. 361 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 659 of 2023**

**Col Mohan Chandra Joshi (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col H M Maheswari (Veteran), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col HM Maheshwari (Veteran) Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)**

**EX-A. No. 381 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 521 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Chakradhar Majhi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>27.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**EX-A. No. 382 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1081 of 2022**

**Col Anand Kumar Tapadar (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>27.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)**

**EX-A. No. 453 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 116 of 2022**

**Ex - MCEAP-1 Umesh Katiyar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li><li>5. List on <b>03.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 187 of 2023**

**Ex COM-I (Tel) Satyaban Sahoo** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>3. Pleadings are complete.</li><li>4. List for final hearing on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 597 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Ramesh Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>3. Pleadings are complete.</li><li>4. List for final hearing on <b>12.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 755 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Soban Lal**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate  
Shri AK Upadhyay, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within a weeks.</li><li>3. List on <b>06.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 847 of 2023**

**Ex JWO Subhash Chandra Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 983 of 2023**

**Ex Gnr Jitendra Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Gitanjali Nayak, Advocate  
Ms. Jigyasa Sanyal, Advocate  
Shri Salil Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Gitanjali Nayak and Jigyasa Sanyal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>01.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 985 of 2023**

**Hav Shijith P** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record to which the reply, if any, may be filed by the applicant within a week.</p> <p>3. List for hearing on admission on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 1190 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Tiwari Jitendra Kumar Ramesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that CA has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 1224 of 2023**

**Ex Rect Pravin Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>3. List on <b>04.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 1337 of 2023**

**Ex WO Akhilesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Arvind Kumar Bhandari, Advocate  
Shri Raj Dew Pathak, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>04.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O.A. No. 1338 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Om Bir**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>04.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)**

**O.A. No. 1345 of 2023**

**JWO Rajendra Pratap Singh (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. She prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>04.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)**

**O.A. No. 1348 of 2023**

**MWO Prabhat Kumar (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present today.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Major Danish Farooqui, Departmental representative prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>05.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)**

**O.A. No. 1351 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Meheubub Alam** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate  
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. SN Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Narayan Kaushal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>05.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)**

**O.A. No. 1352 of 2023**

**Sub / JE (Civil Engineer) Vijay Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nitesh Verma, Advocate  
Shri Chandra Kant Jha, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Chandra Kant Jha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>18.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)**

**O.A. No. 1398 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2026 of 2023**

**Sgt Sayeed Khan (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Gp Capt Y Venugopal (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Objection has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file objection as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>29.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)**

**O.A. No.1416 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2052 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Satya Pal**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey holding brief for Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Objection on maintainability filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Today, arguing counsel for the applicant is not present.</li><li>4. Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file reply to the objection, if any.</li><li>5. List on <b>11.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)**

**O.A. No. 1431 of 2023**

**Ex L/NK (DSC) Devendra Singh Panwar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This O.A is listed today for hearing on admission, maintainability and grant of interim relief to the applicant with regard to extension of his service.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents has filed objection with regard to territorial jurisdiction, bar of limitation and grant of interim relief. Objections filed by the respondents are taken on record.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file written reply to these objections of the respondents. It is submitted by the ld. counsel for the applicant that affidavit annexed with the objections of the respondents is not admissible because this has been filed by the officer of the local AFT Cell. It is also submitted that there is no bar of territorial jurisdiction and limitation.</p> <p>5. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that this O.A is barred by limitation as well as by territorial jurisdiction.</p> <p>6. Objection with regard to bar of territorial jurisdiction, it is submitted by the ld. counsel for the respondents that impugned order has been passed in Kannur, Kerala and at the time of passing of this impugned order, the applicant was residing in Kerala.</p> <p>7. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that it is provided in the Section 6 (1) of the AFT (Procedure) Rules, 2008 that OA can also be filed by the applicant where he is posted at the time of filing of the Original Application. For ready reference, Section 6(1) is reproduced herein under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>6. Place of filing application. (1) An application shall ordinarily be filed by the applicant with the Registrar of the Bench within whose jurisdiction -</u></b></p>

*(i) the applicant is posted for the time being, or was last posted or attached; or*

*(ii) where the cause of action, wholly or in part, has arisen:*

*Provided that with the leave of the Chairperson the application may be filed with the Registrar of the Principal Bench and subject to the orders under section 14 or section 15 of the Act, such application shall be heard and disposed of by the Bench which has jurisdiction over the matter.*

8. Perusal of Para 2 of the O.A reveals that the applicant is presently posted at 475 DSC Platoon- 28 Wing, Air Force Station Hindon, Ghaziabad, U.P. and this fact has not been denied by the respondents.

9. Further, at the time of filing of this O.A, applicant was posted in Ghaziabad, U.P which comes under the jurisdiction of this Tribunal, therefore, there is no force in argument of objection regarding bar of territorial jurisdiction.

10. As regard to bar of limitation, ld. counsel for the respondents submits that impugned order was passed on 11.02.2023 but this O.A has been filed before this Bench on 08.12.2023 and application for condoning the delay has not been filed.

11. It is noticed that the Registry of this Tribunal has not raised any defect regarding bar of limitation. From perusal of the file, it is clear that impugned order has been passed on 11.02.2023 and after passing of the impugned order, applicant has made representation on 26.04.2023 and then after lapse of six months he has filed this O.A, therefore, there is no delay in filing the original application. Objection with regard to bar of limitation is also set aside.

12. This O.A has been filed for quashing of the impugned order dated 11.02.2023 and grant of extension of service of the applicant for five years w.e.f. 01.02.2024 to 31.01.2029.

13. Matter needs adjudication.

14. Admit.

15. The applicant has also sought interim relief by way of staying the effect and operation of the impugned order dated 11.02.2023 till the pendency of this O.A. or for 05 years whichever is earlier.

16. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that discharge order has been passed on completion of term of his engagement which is going to expire on 31.01.2023.

17. Perusal of the order shows that extension of service is denied on the basis of criminal case pending against the applicant in a Civil Court. Applicant has not denied this fact that FIR has been registered and criminal case is still pending against him.

18. In view of above, we are of the view that there is no sufficient ground to grant interim relief for extension of the service of the applicant.

19. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks thereafter.

20. List on **23.04.2024**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

RK/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)**

**O.A. No. 1526 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2195 of 2023**

**Major Madhav Kumar (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate  
Shri RK Mishra, Advocate  
Shri SP Dorji, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Objection on delay filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that the applicant is resident of Bangalore and all the correspondence has been done at the address of Bangalore, Karnataka itself. The applicant has shown his address as Flat No. 904, Tower-8, Lous Boulevard, Sec-100, Noida, U.P but he has not filed any document as proof of residence. The instant O.A is lack of jurisdiction and hence, is not maintainable.</li><li>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant vehemently opposed the submission of the respondents' counsel and submits that applicant's last posting was at Charma, Uttarakhand and as per Rule 6(1)(i) of the AFT (Procedure) Rules, 2008, the case is maintainable and comes within the territorial jurisdiction of this Bench.</li><li>5. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li><li>6. Perusal of the record reveals that the Release Medical Board was held at 161 MH C/o 56 APO which is situated at Pithoragarh, Uttarakhand and he was lastly posted as Charma, Uttarakhand which is well within the jurisdiction of this Bench. Hence, objection raised by the respondents with regard to territorial jurisdiction are set aside.</li><li>7. So far as objection regarding delay is concerned, there is delay of 02 years and 02 days in filing the instant application. Being the pensionary matter, which is recurring cause of action and for the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of the delay condonation application, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stand disposed of.</li></ol>

8. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.

9. Case needs adjudication.

10. Admit.

11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

12. List on **23.04.2024**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

RK/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)**

**R.A. No. 49 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1261 of 2023**  
**Inre: T.A. No. 26 of 2022**

**Sqn Ldr Saurav Jagnani (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JL Joel, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file objection on review application. Objections have not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental representative prays for and is granted last opportunity of one week to file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>