

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 2)
O.A. No. 726 of 2024 with M.A. No. 820 of 2024
Col Sanjeev Agnihotri (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate is present on behalf of respondents. He submits that he shall file Memo of appearance on behalf of respondents during course of the day.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 820 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record. There is delay of 02 years, 06 months and 07 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 726 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 05.11.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 727 of 2024 with M.A. No. 821 of 2024

Hav Awadhesh Singh Yadav (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate

Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Shri Amit Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Shri Madan Kumar Swarnkar, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div><div>M.A. No. 821 of 2024</div><div>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record. There is delay of 08 years, 06 months and 07 days in filing of Original Application for which delay condonation application has been filed. .</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application. He also prayed for condonation of delay in light of the judgment passed by Hon’ble Apex Court in the case of Union of India and Others Vs Tarsem Singh wherein Hon’ble Apex Court has held that cases of continuing wrongs can be entertained despite delay under Section 22 (2) of Aft Act 2007. He also submitted that “Section 5 of Limitation Act has been explained well by Hon;ble Supreme Court in the matter of Maniben Devraj Shah Vs Municipal Corporation of Brihan Mumbai wherein it has been mentioned in the case of Ramlal Vs Rewa Coalfields Ltd</div></div></div>

AIR 1962 SC 361 that “it is relevant to bear in mind two important consideration. The 1st consideration is that the expiration of the period of limitation prescribed for making an appeal gives rise to a right in favour of the decree holder to treat the decree as binding between the parties. In other words, when the period of limitation prescribed has expired, the decree holder has obtained a benefit under the law of limitation to treat the decree as beyond challenge, and this legal right which has accrued to the decree holder by lapse of time should not be light heartedly disturbed. The other consideration which cannot be ignored is that if sufficient cause for excusing delay is shown discretion is given to the Court to condone delay and admit the appeal. This discretion has been deliberately conferred on the court in order that judicial power and discretion in that behalf should be exercised to advance substantial justice.”

4. On the other hand, learned Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.

5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.

O.A. No. 727 of 2024

6. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter needs adjudication.

7. Admit.

8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

9. List on **05.11.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 728 of 2024 with M.A. No. 822 of 2024

Col Sharad Mohan Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. There is delay of 02 months and 22 days in filing the Original Application for which application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks’ time to file certain papers.</div><div>5. On the request of learned counsel for the applicant, list on 24.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 729 of 2024 with M.A. No. 823 of 2024

S Shrinivas
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 11 years, 7 months and 29 days in filing the O.A. for which delay condonation application has been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection on maintainability and delay. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to file objection on maintainability of the case as well as delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on 25.09.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	UKT/-

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Diary No: 822 of 2024

Bappa Ditya Ghose
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension. Registry has raised some defects. There is delay of 01 year, 05 months and 26 days in filing the O.A. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed application for condonation of delay. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to remove remaining defects. It is clarified that if the defects raised by the Registry are not rectified by the next date fixed, the application will be dismissed.</div><div>3. List on 30.08.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex - A. No. 149 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 126 of 2021

Ex Hav Sur Sari Charan Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to go through the compliance report and file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 03.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex - A. No. 251 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 210 of 2020

Ex Sub Maj Subhash Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Similar matter is subjudice before the Hon'ble Apex Court.</p> <p>3. List on 12.11.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex - A. No. 345 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 650 of 2020

Ex Sep Sachida Nand Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is not present due to death of his mother.</div><div>3. Compliance report has not been filed. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time to seek instructions and file compliance report. She is also directed to deposit balance amount of the cost.</div><div>4. List on 01.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex- A. No. 74 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 589 of 2022

Ex WO Shibi Prosad Bandyopadhyay
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey , Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report on the condition of payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be deposited in the Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to deposit the cost imposed earlier.</div><div>4. List on 30.09.2024.</div><div>5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex -A. No. 39 of 2024 Inre: T.A. No. 3 of 2023

Ex MWO Rajendra Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Affidavit of status report has also not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks’ further time is granted to file compliance report failing which cost of Rs. 5,000/- shall be imposed which shall be deposited in the Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 22.10.2024.</div><div>5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex - A. No. 40 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 472 of 2023

Ex WO Ram Prabesh Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Affidavit of status report has also not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks' time to file compliance report.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks' further time is granted to file compliance report failing which cost of Rs. 5,000/- shall be imposed which shall be deposited in the Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 12.09.2024.</div><div>5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 41 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 573 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Sidh Nath Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Affidavit of status report has also not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks’ further time is granted to file compliance report failing which cost of Rs. 5,000/- shall be imposed which shall be deposited in the Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 24.10.2024.</div><div>5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 150 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 249 of 2023

Ex JWO Sarvesh Kumar Bajpai

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey , Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh , Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this is first chance and compliance or order under execution is in process. He prays for further four weeks’ time to comply the order and file compliance report.</div><div>4. As prayed, four weeks’ further time is granted to file compliance report/ status report by way of an affidavit.</div><div>5. List on 06.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 151 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 302 of 2023

Ex MWO Pradip Kumar Das
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this is first chance and compliance or order under execution is in process. He prays for further four weeks’ time to comply the order and file compliance report.</div><div>4. As prayed, four weeks’ further time is granted to file compliance report/ status report by way of an affidavit.</div><div>5. List on 06.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

EX-A. No. 152 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 971 of 2022

Ex JWO Pravin Kumar Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this is first chance and compliance or order under execution is in process. He prays for further four weeks' time to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. As prayed, four weeks' further time is granted to file compliance report/status report by way of an affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 06.11.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

EX-A. No. 153 of 2024 Inre: T.A. No. 14 of 2023

Ex Rect Rinku Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this is first chance and compliance or order under execution is in process. He prays for further four weeks' time to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. As prayed, four weeks' further time is granted to file compliance report/status report by way of an affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 06.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 877 of 2023

Ex Rect Desh Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 02.09.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="380 1502 816 1572" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1109 1502 1399 1572" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1190 of 2023

Ex Sub Tiwari Jitendra Kumar
Ramesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana and
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, holding brief of Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. In spite of granting last opportunity, rejoinder affidavit has not been filed</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that similar matter is subjudice before larger Bench.</div><div>4. List on 21.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1382 of 2023

Gp Capt Ajit Mohanty

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Lt. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Namit Sharma, Lt. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. 2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks. 3. List on 02.09.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="393 1467 829 1534" style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="1107 1467 1411 1534" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 17 of 2024

Hav Anil Kumar Vajpai
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Prateek Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 23.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 111 of 2024

WO (HFO) Amlendu Kumar Das (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. O.A is Allowed.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 173 of 2024

Ex Hav Sumer Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 21.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 259 of 2024

Ex JWO Radha Krishan Upadhyay Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. 2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. 4. List on 26.09.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="380 1591 816 1658" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1109 1591 1399 1658" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 265 of 2024

L/Hav Sudheer Kumar Kashyap
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate
Shri Ashutosh Mishra, Advocate
Shri Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.N Mishra holding brief for Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. She prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 26.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 266 of 2024

Ex Sgt Prabhat Kumar Sahu Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.N Mishra holding brief for Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 22.10.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 270 of 2024

Col Vijay Manral (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Perna Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Mrs. Perna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. She prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 22.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 323 of 2024

Sgt Suresh Kumar Gupta (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 27.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 324 of 2024

Ex Hav Dinesh Chandra Singh Bisht Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. 2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. 4. List on 27.09.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="380 1610 816 1682" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1109 1610 1399 1682" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 325 of 2024

Ex Hav Krishna Kanta Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 27.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 347 of 2024

Air Cmde SP Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate
Gp Capt Y Venugopal(Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S. N. Mishra holding brief for Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Objection filed by the respondents regarding delay condonation application is available on record. Since case has already been admitted, objection is not required, hence rejected.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 30.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 348 of 2024

Ex Hav Niraj Kumar Mishra

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 24.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 395 of 2024

Lt Col Amit Bhardwaj (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. It has been submitted that para-wise comments have been received. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 30.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 396 of 2024

Sub Maj Rajendera Kumar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate
Shri Onkar Singh, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. She prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 25.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 403 of 2024

Col Piyush Verma (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Sharma holding brief for Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by the Id. Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant that he intends to file an amendment application regarding rejection of second appeal.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 05.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 454 of 2024 with M.A. No. 532 of 2024

Hav Dinesh Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to move amendment application. Today, it is the last opportunity to move amendment application but the Id. Counsel for the applicant has not moved the same.</p> <p>3. List this case for hearing on admission on 13.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 562 of 2024

Maj Pradeep Singh SajwanApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Anand Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. On prayer of the Id. Counsel for the respondents, time was granted to seek instructions and to file objection on maintainability of this original application. Objection has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week's further time as a last opportunity to file objection, if any.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he is not pressing his third relief in prayer clause and for that purpose he is moving an affidavit on behalf of the applicant.</div><div>4. Today, Id. Counsel for the applicant has moved application for grant of interim relief by way of staying the invalidment of applicant and removal of escort.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two week's time to file objection to the application moved by the applicant for interim relief.</div><div>6. List on 27.08.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 684 of 2017

Col Mukul Singhal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mohan Kumar, Advocate
Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. It is submitted that the original documents pertaining to this case are not available today.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, list this case on 22.10.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 34)

O.A. No. 187 of 2023

Ex COM-I (Tel) Satyaban Sahoo
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.07.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Supplementary affidavit alongwith annexures filed by the respondents, is taken on record to which the reply, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</div><div>3. List this case as Part Heard on 07.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 35)

O.A. No. 838 of 2023

Lt Col Bommana Satyanarayana (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</p> <p>5. O.A is allowed.</p> <p>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>