

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 106 of 2024

Hav/Nur Asst Deepak Kumar B Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two week's time to seek instructions and file objection, if any, on maintainability of this O.A.</p> <p>4. List on 23.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 107 of 2024 with M.A. No. 125 of 2024

JWO (Retd.) Prabhat Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Keshav Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 125 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year, 03 months and 22 days. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 107 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for grant of disability pension. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List this case on 22.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 108 of 2024 with M.A. No. 126 of 2024

Ex. Sub. Sendhur Pandian A Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 126 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year, 03 months and 23 days. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 108 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for grant of disability pension. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List this case on 22.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 109 of 2024 with M.A. No. 127 of 2024

Sub (Retd.) Rakesh Pati Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Keshav Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 127 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year, 04 months and 22 days. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 109 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for grant of disability pension. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List this case on 22.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 110 of 2024 with M.A. No. 128 of 2024

Ex. Nb. Sub. Sanjay Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Keshav Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 128 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year, 04 months and 22 days. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 110 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for grant of disability pension. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List this case on 22.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex-A. No. 23 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 702 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Birendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with and affidavit of compliance has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time for filing affidavit of compliance.4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he intends to implead bank as respondents in this case, which is allowed.5. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to implead bank respondents. Steps within a week.6. List on 22.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex-A. No. 95 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 330 of 2022

Ex Sep Sanoj S Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 5,000/- which shall be payable to applicant. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer within a week regarding present status of the case. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</p> <p>4. List on 12.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A No. 102 of 2023 Inre: O.A. 144 of 2022

Ex WO Dasharath Mistry Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 5,000/- which shall be payable to applicant. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer within a week regarding present status of the case. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.4. List on 15.03.2024.5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/OIC Legal Cell in usual way. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A No.260 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 862 of 2022

Ex Sgt Ajeet Kumar Dwivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be paid which will be payable to applicant. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer within a week regarding present status of the case. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.4. List on 15.03.2024.5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/OIC Legal Cell in usual way. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 265 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 790 of 2022

Col Sanjay Srivastava (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Ms Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present in Court.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs 5000/- shall be payable as cost which shall be paid to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer within a week regarding present status of the case. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.4. List on 02.04.2024.5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/OIC Legal Cell in usual way. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A No. 268 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 446 of 2022

Ex Sub Ramagya Singh Kushwaha Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief for Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar(Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, last opportunity of four weeks time is granted for filing affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 02.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A No. 386 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 183 of 2020

Ex Sgt. Abhay Kant Abhay Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed.3. Applicant was granted time to file objection but objection has not been filed.4. A week's further time as last opportunity is granted to learned counsel for the applicant for filing objection on affidavit of compliance.5. List on 19.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 1125 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. T.A. No. 38 of 2023

Santosh Kumar Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties on recall application of the applicant.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that in compliance of order dated 12.07.2023 passed in T.A. No. 38 of 2023, entire record has been transmitted to Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad.</p> <p>4. Office is directed to submit report regarding availability of transmission of record of this case till date of listing.</p> <p>5. List on 20.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 589 of 2023

Ex Hav Sunil Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar kankan, Advocate
Shri K K S Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Advocate holding brief for Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit, but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.3. On request of brief holder, a week's time as last opportunity is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.4. Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to file copy of Court of Inquiry proceedings on date of next listing.5. List on 05.03.2024.6. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/OIC Legal Cell in usual way. <p style="text-align: center;">((Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 598 of 2023

Ex Rect/Clk Binod Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R C Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. List as part heard case on 31.01.2024 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 693 of 2023 with M.A. No. 839 of 2023

Smt Asha Rawat W/o Late Jeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate holding brief for Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Reply to objection filed by the respondents has not been filed.3. On request of brief holder, a week's time as last opportunity is granted to file reply, if any, failing which opportunity to file reply shall stand closed.4. List on 23.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)
O.A. No. 737 of 2023

LS (US) Vikash Chandra Vidhan (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present in Court.2. Despite grant of several opportunities, counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed a week's more time for filing counter affidavit on payment of Rs 2,000/- which shall be condition precedent for admissibility of the counter affidavit, failing which opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed.4. List this case on 14.02.2024 for hearing. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.14)

O.A. No. 745 of 2023

Maj (MNS) Sunakshi Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit.4. Pleadings are complete.5. List on 14.02.2024 for hearing. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.15)

O.A. No. 798 of 2023

Ex Ptr Arvind Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he is not well today. He prays for and is allowed a week's further time to file counter affidavit, failing which opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on 05.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.16)

O.A. No. 970 of 2023

Ex Hav Chandra Bahadur Pun Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents present are present through virtual mode.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 12.03.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 974 of 2023

Ex MCEA (R) I (Hony LT) Sanjay Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Today is the last opportunity for filing counter affidavit. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit, failing which opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on 06.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.18)

O.A. No. 1202 of 2023

Ex JWO Ramesh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 22.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.19)

O.A. No. 1339 of 2023

Ex MWO Bhagwati Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 15.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.20)

O.A. No. 1340 of 2023

Ex Sub Maj (Hony Lt) Krishna Chandra Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 15.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.21)

O.A. No. 1344 of 2023

MWO (HFL) Mahender Singh Sihag (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 22.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.22)

O.A. No. 1371 of 2023

Ex Sgt Nand Kishor Pathak Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Siingh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is allowed for filing counter affidavit.4. List on 26.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.23)

O.A. No. 76 of 2024

Sep (AA) Bhanu Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.2. This O.A. has been filed for quashing of orders dated 02.06.2023 and 18.10.2023 and re-instate applicant into service. Matter needs adjudication.3. Admit.4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks next.5. List on 25.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.24)

O.A. No. 268 of 2021

Ram Kumar Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G S Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to apprise the Court regarding latest position of Criminal Appeal No 1832 of 2019.</p> <p>3. List on 18.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.25)

O.A. No. 658 of 2021

Rect Sonveer Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate
Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate holding brief for Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of brief holder, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 15.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.26)

O.A. No. 665 of 2021

Ex NC(E) Uma Shankar Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not ready to argue the case. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 18.03.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.27)

O.A. No. 102 of 2022

Dfr (ADT) Pradeep Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vishal Singh, Advocate holding brief for Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. On request of brief holder, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 26.03.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.28)

O.A. No. 519 of 2022

Ris Subash Chandra Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Girish Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sher Bahadur Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Girish Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 27.03.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.29)

O.A. No. 779 of 2022

Ex Sgt Mumtaj Alam

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Deepak Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vishal Saxena, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri A K Chaudhary, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 28.03.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.30)

O.A. No. 162 of 2023

Col (TS) Vinay Kumar Dixit (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time proceedings could not take place.</p> <p>3. List on 15.02.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.31)

O.A.(A) No. 760 of 2020

Chandan Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V K Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time proceedings could not take place.</p> <p>3. List on 19.03.2024 for hearing.</p> <p>4. OIC Legal Cell is directed to retain original documents till next date of listing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>