

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 988 of 2024

Lt Col Anurag Bahl, SM (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This application has been filed for grant of disability element. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>5. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>6. Admit.</div><div>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>8. List on 27.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 2)

Ex-A. No. 381 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 228 of 2023

Ex Sub Shaik Meera
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 19.07.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 228 of 2023.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 27.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 3)

M.A. No. 1095 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 899 of 2023

Ex Nk Dashrath Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Perna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p data-bbox="355 892 513 921"><u>30.09.2024</u></p> <p data-bbox="355 927 1013 956"><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p data-bbox="355 962 1118 991"><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol data-bbox="401 1051 1474 2413" style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Purna Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Purna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. Applicant has moved two applications, one for correction of typographical error in O.A and second application for modification of the order dated 30.07.2024 passed by this Bench.4. During the course of arguments, Id. counsel for the applicant submits that presently he is arguing on application for correction of typographical error in the O.A and he is not pressing his second application for modification in order dated 30.07.2024.5. As prayed by Id. counsel for the applicant, the second application mentioned above, moved by the applicant for modification in order dated 30.07.2024 is dismissed with liberty to file afresh, if required.6. Heard the Id. counsel for the applicant on application moved for correction in typographical error in the O.A.7. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that due to typographical error in the Original Application, Service No. of the applicant has been typed as No.-15102829-W instead of No.-15102827-W and accordingly the wrong service no. has also been reflected in the order dated 30.07.2024 which may be corrected as No.-15102827-W.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents have no objection with regard to correction of the service number of the applicant.

9. Accordingly, correction application is allowed to the extent of service no. of the applicant. Applicant is allowed to correct the service no. of the applicant in the O.A. Necessary correction shall also be incorporated in the judgement dated 30.07.2024 during the course of the day.
10. Registry is directed to issue corrected copy of the judgement to both the parties.
11. **Both the Misc. applications are disposed of accordingly.**

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex- A. No. 13 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 537 of 2022

Ex Rect Ram Moorat Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Objection to the compliance affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Respondents are directed to clarify regarding Para 2 of the objection of the applicant. Respondents are directed to submit clarification within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 15.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex - A. No. 68 of 2023 along with Ex-A. No. 106 of 2024 with
M.A. No. 311 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 239 of 2022

Ex L/NK Sanjay Kumar PatelApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, holding brief of Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Danish Farooqui, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</div><div>3. Compliance report has not been filed. Clarification/ explanation in terms of order dated 26.07.2024 has also not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that cost Rs. 10,000/- has been deposited in the Registry on 25.09.2024. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be deposited in the Registry. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them in the Registry by the next date fixed which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. It is clarified that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, Director PS-4, Min of Defence, shall remain present before this Bench through virtual mode for clarifying cause of delay in implementation of order.</div><div>5. List on 16.01.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex - A. No. 74 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 589 of 2022

Ex WO Shibi Prosad Bandyopadhyay
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost of Rs. 20,000/- due against the respondents has also not been deposited. Status report has also not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. Director, Air Veteran, IHQ, MoD is directed to be present before this Tribunal through virtual mode on the next date of listing to explain the cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>7. List on 16.01.2025.</p> <p>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Ex-A. No. 129 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 59 of 2021

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present is present through video conferencing. 2. Compliance affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record. Objection, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks. 3. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing. 4. List on 17.01.2025. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex- A. No. 217 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 604 of 2022

Ex ACP Nb Sub Kailash Chandra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which has not yet been listed. He further submits that the cost of Rs. 10,000/- has been deposited on 27.08.2024 but it has not been reported by the Registry in the order sheet. Registry is directed to verify whether the cost has been deposited or not and if deposited, how much and when it is deposited?</div><div>5. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. List on 20.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex -A. No. 132 of 2024 Inre: T.A. No. 42 of 2023

Ex HFO Piyush Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 20.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex - A. No. 133 of 2024 Inre: T.A. No. 43 of 2023

Ex HFO Amar Nath Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 20.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 137 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 864 of 2023

Ex Sgt Sanjeev SharmaApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the order has been passed for conducting RSMB on 26.09.2024. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 21.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 349 of 2023

Ex Sep Sayed Sahid Ali
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present today. Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Respondents were directed to apprise this Tribunal whether sanction has been accorded for conducting RSMB or not?</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that RSMB has already been conducted on 07.03.2024. He prays for and is granted a week’s time to file copy of RSMB.</div><div>4. List on 21.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 1283 of 2023

Ex Sgt Bhasker Dubey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tatsat Shukla, Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Today was last opportunity to file counter affidavit. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready but it could not be notarised due to non availability of notary. He prays for and is granted one week further time as one more last opportunity to file counter affidavit, failing which his opportunity to file counter affidavit shall be closed.</div><div>3. List on 05.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 1428 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2080 of 2023

Hony Sub MAJ OWE Sabir Hussan Rizvi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and
Shri Prateek Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2080 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay as well as maintainability of the case and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant was discharged from service on 30.06.1994. At the time of discharge, his Release Medical Board (RMB) was held and he was placed in low medical category. His disability percentage was assessed but he was not provided a copy of the RMB. The applicant was granted disability element of disability pension from the year 2016. Unprecedented situation arose out of Pandemic Covid-19, thereby nationwide lockdown was imposed by Government of India and applicant could not approach this Tribunal for redressal of his grievances. He submitted number of representations to respondents regarding grant of disability element for the period from 30.06.1994 to 31.12.2015 and its rounding off but of no avail. He submitted that grant of pension is recurring cause of action and delay in filing application is not intentional. Learned counsel for the applicant prayed that delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. On the other hand, learned Counsel for the respondents submits that there is long delay of more than 28 years in filing the O.A. Delay caused in raising the grievances before the appropriate forum ought to be explained very</p>

carefully and reasonably. Applicant has not offered cogent ground for condoning the delay. The delay in filing application has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis as such O.A. is liable to be dismissed.

5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further, being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of ***Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh*** [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is **condoned**. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.

O.A. No. 1428 of 2023

6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.

7. Case needs adjudication.

8.. Admit.

9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

10. List on **27.01.2025**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

Ukt/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 1519 of 2023

Ex Sub (Hony Sub Maj) Mansing
Jaysing Tondale
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>30.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Rajeev Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. List this case for final hearing on 11.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 46 of 2024

JWO Virendra Dhar Dwivedi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>5. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks' time to file counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that in spite of availing three chances, respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last chance, four weeks' further time is granted to the respondents to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 23.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 119 of 2024

Ex Sub Paltoo Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh and Shri Raghuv
Singh, Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. O.A is allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 167 of 2024

WO Tarkeshwar Upadhyay (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, holding brief of Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. O.A is allowed.</p> <p>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 192 of 2024 with M.A. No. 245 of 2024

Ex JWO Sanjay Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Pandey, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey,
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on 06.11.2024 to enable learned counsel for the applicant to file affidavit in support of proof of address of the applicant.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 347 of 2024

Air Cmde SP Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, and
Gp Capt Y Venugopal (Retd), Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready. He prays for and is granted further two weeks’ time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 27.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 352 of 2024

Nk Rajendra Singh Dhami & OthersApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>30.09.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counter for the applicant submits that he intend to file amendment application for amending prayer clause. He prays for and is granted two weeks’ time to file amendment application.</div><div>3. List on 05.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 395 of 2024

Lt Col Amit Bhardwaj (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra and Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned Counsel for the respondents is not present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. On 25.07.2024, it was submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that he has received parawise comments. As a last chance, four weeks' further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 28.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 486 of 2024

Sqn Ldr Davinder Kaur (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Virat Anand Singh and
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra and
Shri Swatantra Dev, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Objection against delay filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. There is no delay in filing Original Application. Impugned Order has been annexed as Annexure No A-1 to O.A.</div><div>5. This O.A. has been filed for want of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 28.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 556 of 2024

Ex L/Hav Harish Chandra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Today case was listed for filing counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks’ further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 29.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 557 of 2024

MWO Veda Prakash (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Today case was listed for filing counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks’ further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 29.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 558 of 2024

Ex Hav Shyam Lendu Datta
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Today case was listed for filing counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks’ further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 29.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 560 of 2024

Ex Nk Puneet KumarApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Today case was listed for filing counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks’ further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 29.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 563 of 2024 with M.A. No. 644 of 2024

Ex Sep Gajendra Pratap SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate
Shri GC Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. No body is present on behalf of the applicant.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file reply to objection on maintainability of the case which has not been filed by the applicant.</div><div>3. Objection regarding maintainability has already been filed by learned counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that applicant has made multiple prayer which is contrary to AFT Rules, hence this O.A. is not maintainable.</div><div>4. List on 30.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Ex-A No. 235 of 2023 in re. O.A. No. 538 of 2022

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

	<p>before this Court and any orders are therein then those orders will also have to be taken into accounts.”</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks’ further time to file compliance affidavit/stay order of Hon’ble High Court, if any, on payment of cost of Rs. 20,000/-, which shall be deposited in the Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are directed to deposit all costs due against them before the next date fixed in the matter.</p> <p>7. It is clarified that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, ADGPS, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence shall remain present virtually before this Tribunal to clarify the reasons for cause of delay in execution proceedings.</p> <p>8. List on 22.10.2024.</p> <p>9. Copy of this order be made available to the respondents/Legal Cell of AFT free of cost for compliance of the order.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>GIRISH/-</p>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 261 of 2020

Ram Nath Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. List on 11.12.2024 for physical hearing.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

O.A. No. 826 of 2023

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Similar matter is pending before the larger Bench, AFT Principal Bench, New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on 27.01.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="337 1448 756 1567"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>UKT/-</p> </div> <div data-bbox="756 1448 1466 1567"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 15 of 2024

Sub Anil Tripathi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div>30.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that rejoinder affidavit is ready. He prays for further three days time to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>3. Today was last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed. On the request of learned counsel for the applicant three days and no more time is granted to file rejoinder affidavit, failing which his opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit shall be closed.</div><div>4. List on 21.10.2024 for final hearing.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>