

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

C.A. No. 1 of 2020

Ex Nk Alok Kumar Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative are present.</p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of respondents and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>This case has wrongly been registered as Contempt Application.</p> <p>Let it be registered as O.A.</p> <p>List on 13.10.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>GSR</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 225 of 2020 Inre O.A. (Nil) of 2020

Smt Anandi Devi, W/O Late
Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Puran Singh Bhakuni
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>Shri DK Pandey, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of respondents and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that there being a delay of 10 years, 11 months & 28 days in filing Original Application, and no sufficient explanation being offered for the same, application deserves rejection.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters does not accrue on a specific date, but it runs from month to month, and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 301 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 10.12.2020 before the Registrar Court for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>gsr</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 227 of 2020 Inre O.A. (Nil) of 2020

Ex Sub RA Khan

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel of the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative are present.</p> <p>Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of respondents and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that there being a delay of 11 years, 01 month & 09 days in filing Original Application, and no sufficient explanation being offered for the same, application deserves rejection.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters does not accrue on a specific date, but it runs from month to month, and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 300 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 10.12.2020 before the Registrar Court for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>gsr</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 226 of 2020 Inre O.A. (Nil) of 2020

Ex Sgt Surendra Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterji, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative are present.</p> <p>Shri Kaushik Chatterji, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of respondents and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that there being a delay of 07 years, 11 months & 09 days in filing Original Application, and no sufficient explanation being offered for the same, application deserves rejection.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters does not accrue on a specific date, but it runs from month to month, and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 299 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 11.12.2020 before the Registrar Court for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align:right">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p>

gsr

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 229 of 2020 Inre O.A. (Nil) of 2020

Ex WO Ajay Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri RC Shukla, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of respondents and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that there being a delay of 08 months, in filing Original Application, and no sufficient explanation being offered for the same, application deserves rejection.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters does not accrue on a specific date, but it runs from month to month, and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 297 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Respondents are directed to file counter affidavit within two weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>List this case on 14.12.2020 before the Registrar Court for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>gsr</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 166 of 2020 Inre O.A. (Nil) of 2020

Col Suresh Pratap Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Kumar Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri Asheesh Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of respondents and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that there being a delay of 02 years, 07 months & 15 days in filing Original Application, and no sufficient explanation being offered for the same, application deserves rejection.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters does not accrue on a specific date, but it runs from month to month, and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 296 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 15.12.2020 before the Registrar Court for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>gsr</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex-A/17 of 2020 Inre O.A. No. 239 of 2015

Ex SM II Santosh Kumar Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents along with Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative are present.</p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of respondents and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions for compliance of order dated 30.09.2016.</p> <p>List on 23.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>gsr</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No 195 of 2019 Inre O.A. (Nil) of 2019

Arun Upadhyay
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is informed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents that Shri BB Tripathi, who was representing the case of the applicant, informed him today that he is no more applicant's counsel.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let notice be issued to the applicant to engage another counsel, if he so wishes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the case on 04.01.2021 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>gsr</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 80 of 2018

Warrant Officer Gore Lal

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the applicant. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>List on 15.12.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>gsr</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. (A) No 309 of 2016

Gyanendra Madhukar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the applicant. Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>Despite several opportunities being given to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, the same has not been filed as yet and therefore, opportunity to file the same is closed.</p> <p>List on 08.02.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>gsr</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A.No. 1853 of 2018 Inre O.A. (Nil) of 2018

**Smt Akhtarul Nisha wife of
Gnr (Late) Mohd Aneesh Khan**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Ashish Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative are present.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that there being a delay of 10 months & 04 days in filing Original Application, and no sufficient explanation being offered for the same, application deserves rejection.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters does not accrue on a specific date, but it runs from month to month, and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 306 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 16.12.2020 before the Registrar Court for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>gsr</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 123 of 2019 with M.A. No. 646 of 2019,
M.A. No. 529 of 2019

Ex Rect Mohd Sarfaraj
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant. Dr. Shailendra Sharma atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 529 of 2019 and M.A. No. 646 of 2019</u></p> <p>Amendment applications filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant are allowed.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that they have not filed supplementary affidavit regarding the amended portion of application.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List the case on 10.12.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>gsr</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 1728 of 2018 Inre O.A. (Nil) of 2018

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Sone Lal Pal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative are present.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that there being a delay of 01 year, 11 months & 02 days in filing Original Application, and no sufficient explanation being offered for the same, application deserves rejection.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters does not accrue on a specific date, but it runs from month to month, and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 305 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 18.12.2020 before the Registrar Court for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>gsr</p>

Form No. 4
 {See rule 11(1)}
 ORDER SHEET
 ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex-A/16 of 2020 Inre O.A. No 152 of 2019

Ex Hav Jaggan Singh Applicant
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being listed for hearing, none is present on behalf of the applicant. Mrs Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that compliance report has been filed in the Registry on 09.10.2020.</p> <p>Let compliance report be placed on record.</p> <p>List on 22.10.2020 for orders</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>gSR</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 1740 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Bikramaditya Choubey
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.N. Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 08 years, 09 months & 21 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay, application deserves rejection.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters does not accrue on a specific date, but it runs from month to month, and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 304 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 30.12.2020 before the Registrar Court for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 174 of 2019

Ex Nk Raj Bahadur

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative, are present.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List on 01.02.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) </p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 1741 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Swami Nath Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align:center">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 08 years, 09 months & 21 days.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay, application deserves rejection.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters does not accrue on a specific date, but it runs from month to month, and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Accordingly, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 303 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List this case on 30.12.2020 before the Registrar Court for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 378 of 2019

Smt Dewanti Devi & another
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Shakti Vikram Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is informed that Shri Prabhat Kumar Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant is unable to connect through video conferencing to argue his case today. Therefore, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 23.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

EX.A. No. 99 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 534 of 2018

Ex Sep Harish Chandra Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative, are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to seek instruction and to file compliance report.</p> <p>List on 06.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p>

SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex.A. No. 101 of 2017 with M.A. No. 16 of 2018
Inre : Ex.A. No. 40 of 2013 & O.A. No. 332 of 2012

Ram Saiwan Pandey
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Bihari Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative, are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to seek instruction from appropriate authority and to file compliance report.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 04.11.2020 for orders.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 5px;">SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex.A. No. 122 of 2017 with M.A. No. 716 of 2018,
Ex.A. No. 92 of 2013 & O.A. No. 333 of 2012

Ram Ashre Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Bihari Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative, are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list on 13.10.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 339 of 2016 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2016

Rati Bhan Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Amit Jaiswal and Shri Amit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is informed that Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant is unable to connect through video conferencing to argue his case today. Therefore, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 20.10.2020 for orders.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</td><td style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p>SB</p>	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)
(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)		

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 1467 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018

Smt Rupa Kumari Thapa
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Heard Shri Ganga Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 years, 11 months & 03 days.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay, application deserves rejection.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters does not accrue on a specific date, but it runs from month to month, and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Accordingly, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 307 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">List this case on 30.12.2020 before the Registrar Court for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Name of Ms. Anju Singh not to be shown as learned counsel for the respondents in the cause list.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 570 of 2019

Sep Vipin Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative, are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 03.02.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 57 of 2016

Sudhir Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative, are present.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List on 08.02.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 138 of 2016

V.K. Prajapati & Ors
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.10.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajeev Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, are present.</p> <p>Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>List on 09.02.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

