

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 879 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**Surendra Singh & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

**Applicants**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 879 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 14 years, 05 years and 19 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 12.05.2017.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>16.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 880 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**Azaz Noor Khan**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Azaz Noor Lhan, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 880 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 48 years, 05 months and 24 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 12.05.2017.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>17.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 881 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**Kundratullah & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

**Applicants**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri VPS Vats, is taken on record. Her name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri VPS Vats, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 881 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 10 years, 10 months and 19 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 12.05.2017.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>17.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 927 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**Mahavir Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri AN Tripathi, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri BP Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri AN Tripathi, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 927 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 20 years, 10 months and 23 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 25.05.2017.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>18.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 191 of 2017**

**Sudarshan Yadav**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri BP Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This is an application filed by the learned counsel for the applicant under section 14 of Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 with the prayer for not granting disability pension @ 50% instead of 20% for which representation dated 18.11.2016 is pending for compliance with respondents No. 2. The respondents are directed to decide the pending representation of the applicant by passing a speaking and reasoned order within three months from date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the decision to the applicant accordingly.</p> <p>With the aforesaid direction Original application is disposed of finally.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 192 of 2017**

**Om Prakash Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri BP Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This is an application filed by the learned counsel for the applicant under section 14 of Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 with the prayer for not granting disability pension @ 50% instead of 40% for which representation dated 15.12.2016 is pending for compliance with respondents No. 2. The respondents are directed to decide the pending representation of the applicant by passing a speaking and reasoned order within three months from date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the decision to the applicant accordingly.</p> <p>With the aforesaid direction Original application is disposed of finally.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 912 of 2017**  
**Inre: O.A. No. 210 of 2016**

**Satya Pal Verma**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant on this application, which has been moved for recall of the order dated 23.05.2017 by means of which O.A. No. 210 of 2016 was dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>The reason shown in the affidavit filed in support of the application seems to be genuine.</p> <p>Accordingly, the application is allowed and the order dated 23.05.2017 is hereby recalled provided the applicant deposits costs of Rs. 500/- with AFT Bar Association Lucknow within a period of two weeks from today. On deposit of costs as directed above, the T.A shall be restored to its original number.</p> <p>List this case on <b>30.07.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 171, 172 & 173 of 2017 Inre T.A. No. 35 of 2016**

**Parshu Ram Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Kaushik Chatterji, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Objection on application for delay in filing substitution application, filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file replication.</p> <p>List this case on <b>17.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 336 of 2017 O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**Saljor Ram**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri G.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection on application for condonation of delay, filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file replication.</p> <p>List this case on <b>18.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 81 of 2016 with M.A. No. 1564 of 2016**

**Mahendra Sharma**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by Departmental Representative, two days time is granted to file copy of sanction letter to conduct Re-survey Medical Board of the applicant.</p> <p>List this case on <b>31.05.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 18 of 2011**

**Satyavir Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List this case on <b>03.07.2017</b> for further hearing before appropriate Bench.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 297 of 2011 with M.A. No. 139 of 2017**

**Kedar Prasad Mishra**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rakesh Johri, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.N. Tripathi, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List this case on <b>04.07.2017</b> for further hearing before appropriate Bench.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 149 of 2013**

**Smt. Munni Devi**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>Arguments concluded.</p> <p>Order reserved.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 232 of 2014**

**Rohini Devi**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on <b>13.07.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 261 of 2014**

**Lakshmi Narayain Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: The applicant, Shri Lakshmi Narayain Singh, in person and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, a person in civil dress, claiming himself as Lakshmi Narayain Singh, appears and states that his counsel Shri S.K. Pandey is not available today and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on <b>18.07.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 176 of 2015 with M.A. No. 895 of 2017**

**Ganesh Prasad Kushwaha**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 895 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. M.A. aforesaid is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>Pleadings have been exchanged.</p> <p>List this case on <b>21.07.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 209 of 2015**

**Ram Niwas**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Akhilesh Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list this case on <b>24.07.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 48 of 2016**

**Pinky Devi**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri O.P. Kushwaha, Advocate holding brief of Shri K.K. Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Virendra Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri O.P. Kushwaha submits that Shri K.K. Mishra is not available today and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on <b>10.08.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 178 of 2009**

**Sri Ram Pandey**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri R. Chandra submits that Shri Yash Pal Singh is not available today and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on <b>30.05.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 218 of 2010**

**Rajesh Pandey**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 12 of 2014**

**Sumont Gupta**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Abhishek Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not prepared to argue the case today and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on <b>14.07.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 173 of 2016 With M.A. No. 2645 of 2016 & M.A. No. 688 of 2017**

**Inre: T.A. No. 1469 of 2010**

**Ram Harsh**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Col Rakesh Johri (Retd), learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 688 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Compliance report filed by the learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. M.A. aforesaid is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p><b><u>EX-A. No. 173 of 2016</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 21<sup>st</sup> April 2016 passed in T.A. No. 1469 of 2010 which relates to the payment of pension and all other retiral benefits to the petitioner within the stipulated time.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued, a copy of which has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant during course of hearing.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of communication of this order.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

	PKG
--	-----

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 22 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 193 of 2015**

**Rahmat Ullah Sheikh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri AK Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>EX-A. No. 22 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 15<sup>th</sup> July 2016 passed in O.A. No. 192 of 2015 which relates to the payment of disability pension alongwith arrears within the stipulated time.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued, a copy of which has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant during course of hearing.</p>

	<p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of communication of this order.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>PKG</p>	<p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>
--	---	--

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 26 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 239 of 2015**

**Santosh Kumar Tiwari**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>As prayed by the learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on <b>30.05.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>PKG</p>
	<p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 44 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 174 of 2014**

**Balbir Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>EX-A. No. 44 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents as well as OIC, Legal Cell submit that the Government has already accorded sanction and PPO has already been issued.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant concedes to the submission made by the learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>In view of the aforesaid statement made by the learned counsel for the applicant, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the matter pending.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Execution Application is infructuous and is hereby rejected.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 67 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 175 of 2016**

**Keshav Datt Mishra**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>EX-A. No. 67 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Inspite of the show cause order dated 20.04.2017, learned counsel for the respondents has failed to produce the copy of the PPO. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to produce the copy of the PPO.</p> <p>List this case on <b>21.07.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 1117 of 2016**

**Sudhir Kumar Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr Ashish Asthana, Advocate filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the applicant, when the case is next listed.</p> <p>Present: Dr Ashish Asthana, learned counsel, for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>As prayed by the learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on <b>06.07.2017</b> for orders, in terms of the earlier order.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 1462 of 2016 with M.A. No. 1739 of 2016**

**Neboo Lal**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Shariq Khan, Advocate, holding brief of Shri SG Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Chet Narain Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against the application for condonation of delay has already been filed by Shri Chet Nariain Singh, learned counsel for the respondents. However, learned counsel for the applicant do not want to file any reply to the objection on the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1462 of 2016</u></b></p> <p>The cause shown in the affidavit filed in support of the application for condonation of delay seems to be genuine. Accordingly, the application for condonation of delay is allowed and the delay in filing the O.A. is hereby condoned and the objection raised by the learned counsel for the respondents is rejected.</p> <p>The controversy relates to the payment of pension to the rank of Honorary Naib Subedar, which inhibits recurring cause of action.</p> <p>Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we find that it is a fit case for admission.</p> <p><b>Admit.</b></p> <p>Let the O.A. be registered.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks' thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>18.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 2057 of 2016 with M.A. No. 14 of 2017**

**Pramod Kumar Ram**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri OP Kushwaha, Advocate, holding brief of Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri AN Tripathi, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri OP Kushwaha, Advocate submits that Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant has not come to the Court being out of station and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on <b>27.07.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 2475 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2476 of 2016**

**(Inre: M.A. No. 452 of 2014)**

**Sone Devi Mishrain**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rakesh Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Virendra Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against the application for condonation of delay filed, in Court today, by Shri Virendra Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Replication to the objection, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks from today.</p> <p>List this case on <b>10.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A) RPM/-</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 2510 of 2016 with M.A. No. 30 of 2017**

**(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)**

**Virupaxayya Hiremath**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Manoj Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Four weeks' further time is allowed to file replication to the objection against the application for condonation of delay to learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>List this case on <b>11.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A) RPM/-</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>Before signing the order, Shri Manoj Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant appeared and filed replication to the objection against the application for condonation of delay which is taken on record.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 2510 of 2016</u></b></p> <p>The cause shown in the affidavit filed in support of the application for condonation of delay seems to be genuine. Accordingly, the application for condonation of delay is allowed and the delay in filing the O.A. is hereby condoned and the objection raised by the learned counsel for the respondents is rejected.</p> <p>The controversy relates to the payment of disability pension which inhibits recurring cause of action.</p> <p>Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we find that it is a fit case for admission.</p> <p><b>Admit.</b></p> <p>Let the O.A. be registered.</p>

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks' thereafter.

List this case on **11.08.2017** for orders.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)  
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)  
Member (J)

RPM/-



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 2970 of 2016 with M.A. No. 622 of 2017**

**(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)**

**Smt Shanti Devi**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri VK Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri VK Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file objection against the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>List this case on <b>14.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 2917 of 2016**  
**(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)**

**Ganga Sagar**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Ravi Prakash Tripathi, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Rakesh Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against the application for condonation delay filed by Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Replication, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within four weeks.</p> <p>List this case on <b>16.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 2716 of 2016**  
**(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)**

**Rajesh Tiwari**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>29.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri VA Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against the application for condonation delay filed by Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Replication, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within four weeks.</p> <p>List this case on <b>16.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 240 of 2015**

**Lt Col Vijay Kumar (Retd)**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>O.A. No. 240 of 2015, Lt Col Vijay Kumar (Retd) vs. Union of India and others is allowed.</p> <p>For order, see our order of date passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 302 of 2012 with M.A. No. 1104 of 2016**

**Akhilesh Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri A.K. Pandey learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed, four weeks' time is allowed to respondents to process applicant's case since the applicant has already submitted documents on 23.03.2017.</p> <p>List this case on <b>28.07.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 220 of 2014**

**Narayan Datt Joshi**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three days time to file affidavit/copy of Re-survey Medical Board.</p> <p>List this case on <b>30.05.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 142 of 2016**

**Yaduvir Singh Sisodiya**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Col Y.R. Sharma (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Sidharth Dhaon, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Government sanction has been accorded and PPO will be issued shortly. Accordingly, four weeks' time is allowed to the respondents to produce copy of RSMB and also PPO.</p> <p>As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, list this case on <b>30.06.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 291 of 2016**

**Rattan Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri O.P. Kushwaha, Advocate holding brief of Shri K.K. Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on <b>26.07.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 41 of 2012**

**Smt. Indra Kumari Gurung**  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Govt of India MOD & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Supplementary affidavit, annexing the copy of judgment, filed by learned counsel for the petitioner is taken on record.</p> <p>It appears that notice was sent to respondent No. 6 (Smt. Ram Kumari Gurung) and the same has been acknowledged by her. Accordingly, office report to ascertain that notice has been served, is sufficient.</p> <p>List this case on <b>30.05.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>It is clarified that if on the date fixed, respondent No. 6 does not turn up, the Court will proceed ex parte and decide the case.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 81 of 2016**

**Kawal Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri V.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the petitioner, list this case on <b>27.07.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 329 of 2015 with M.A. No. 572 of 2017**

**Lt Col Ram Raj Singh (Retd)**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Col Y.R. Sharma (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on <b>26.07.2017</b> for further hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 315 of 2016 with M.A. No. 430 of 2017**

**Devendra Pratap Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rahul Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Dinesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on <b>31.07.2017</b> for further hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 661 of 2013 with M.A. No. 529 & 651 of 2016**

**Vikas Pandey**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on <b>26.07.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 433 of 2012**

**Ram Sajiwan**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 187 of 2015**

**Ram Gopal Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri O.P. Kushwaha, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs. Deepthi Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri O.P. Kushwaha states that Shri Ashok Kumar is not available today and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, list this case on <b>30.06.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 250 of 2016 with M.A. No. 199 & 901 of 2017**

**Surinder Kumar Patyal**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rajat Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.N. Tripathi, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 199 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. M.A. aforesaid is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 901 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. M.A. aforesaid is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, list this case on <b>28.06.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 303 of 2016**

**Rajiv Tandon**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri O.P. Kushwaha, Advocate holding brief of Shri K.K. Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri O.P. Kushwaha states that Shri K.K. Mishra is out of station and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned. In the meantime, learned counsel for the parties may exchange counter and rejoinder affidavits.</p> <p>List this case on <b>01.08.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 1094 of 2010**

**Ashok Kumar Paswan**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the petitioner, list this case on <b>30.05.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 24 of 2012**

**Yogendra Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Ratnesh Chandra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed, list this case on <b>31.07.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 216 of 2016**  
**Inre: O.A. No. 193 of 2015**

**Chandra Pratap Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Copy of letter dated 11 Apr 2017 has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant, hence we direct the respondents/Base Hospital, Lucknow to fix a date for RSMB, whenever the applicant approaches them alongwith a copy of the order. We expect that the applicant shall approach the appropriate authority within 15 days from today. One copy of the aforesaid letter filed today is taken on record.</p> <p>List this case on <b>24.07.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>PKG</small></p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 237 of 2016**  
**Inre: O.A. No. 110 of 2014**

**Pratap Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Namit Sharma, Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Government has already accorded sanction and the PPO is likely to be issued very shortly.</p> <p>As agreed by the learned counsel for the parties, list this case on <b>30.06.2017</b> to enable the learned counsel for the respondents to produce the PPO.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>PKG</small></p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 10 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 305 of 2013**

**Dinesh Kumar**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>EX-A. No. 10 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 21<sup>st</sup> July 2016 passed in O.A. No.305 of 2013 which relates to the payment of disability pension with arrears within the stipulated time.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued, a copy of which has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant during course of hearing.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of communication.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 43 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 273 of 2015**

**Jawahar Lal Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

**Applicant**

Versus

**Raksha Mantri Appellate Committee & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Compliance report filed by the learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p><b><u>EX-A. No. 43 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 23<sup>rd</sup> September 2016 passed in O.A. No.273 of 2015 which relates to the payment of disability pension with arrears within the stipulated time.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued, a copy of which has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant during course of hearing.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of communication.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 51 of 2017**  
**Inre: O.A. No. 74 of 2012**

**Dinesh Prasad**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>EX-A. No. 51 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> February 2012 passed in O.A. No.74 of 2012 which relates to the payment of pension with arrears to the rank of Naib Subedar within the stipulated time.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued, a copy of which has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant during course of hearing.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of communication.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 68 of 2017**

**Inre: M.A. No. 2265 of 2016**

**Akhila Nand Pandey**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri VA Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri SG Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List this case on <b>31.07.2017</b> to enable the learned counsel for the respondents to submit the compliance report.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>PKG</small></p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 75 of 2017 with MA No.877 of 2017**  
**Inre: O.A. No. 44 of 2016**

**Krishna Chandra Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>EX-A. No. 75 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 13<sup>th</sup> May 2016 passed in O.A. No.44 of 2016 which relates to the payment of disability pension with arrears within the stipulated time.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued, a copy of which has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant during course of hearing.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of communication.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 1833 of 2015 with M.A. Nos. 1239 and 2314 of 2016  
and M.A. No. 444 of 2017**

**Ram Naresh Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri AK Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>In compliance of the Court order dated 27.04.2017 better affidavit has not been filed by Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant. He seeks some more time to file the same.</p> <p>Four weeks' further time is granted to file better affidavit showing the reasons of delay in filing the original application.</p> <p>With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, list this case on <b>30.06.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) RPM/-</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 710 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2371 of 2016**

**Ram Pratap**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Replication to the objection against the application for condonation of delay filed, in Court today by Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>Inspite of PPO No. S/6000/1889 issued by respondent No.4 i.e. PCD(P), Allahabad in the rank of Honorary Naib Subedar to the applicant, Bank concerned has not released the pension to the rank of Honorary Naib Subedar.</p> <p>Let notice be issued to respondent Nos 5 and 6 to show cause as to why the appropriate action not be taken against them for not releasing the pension in the rank of Honorary Naib Subedar to the applicant as per aforesaid PPO issued by the respondent No. 4.</p> <p>List this case on <b>31.07.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) RPM/-</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 2562 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2563 of 2016**

**(Inre: M.A. No. 831 of 2015)**

**Chandhardhar Prasad Yadav**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Siddharth Dhaon, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file reply to the objection against the application for condonation of delay filed by the respondents.</p> <p>List this case on <b>01.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 2569 of 2016  
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)**

**Subhash Chandra**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri VK Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file objection against the application for condonation of delay. Replication, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>02.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 193 of 2017**  
**(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)**

**Ganesh Pandey**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri VK Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file objection against the application for condonation of delay. Replication, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>Let the registry be shown the name of Shri Amit Jaiswal as learned counsel for the respondents in cause list of next date.</p> <p>List this case on <b>22.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 174 of 2011 with M.A. No. 902 of 2017**

**Chandra Bhushan Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Raksha Mantri Appellate Committee & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 902 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>In compliance of the Court order dated 26.04.2017, supplementary rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>None appears for the applicant. In the interest of justice this case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on <b>03.07.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 826 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**VR Srivastava**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri AK Sahu, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Col YR Sharma (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Shri AK Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 826 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 24 years and 12 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 15.05.2017.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>17.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 828 of 2017**  
**Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**Smt Shyamal Sri**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr Shesh Narain Pandey, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Abhay Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shesh Narain Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 828 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 03 years, 04 months and 19 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 15.05.2017.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>18.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 922 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**Ramesh Chandra**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Ms Amrita Chakraborty, is taken on record. Her name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Amrita Chakraborty, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 922 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 19 years, 07 months and 23 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 25.05.2017.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>21.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 923 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**BP Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Siddharth Dhaon, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Siddharth Dhaon, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 923 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 10 years, 08 months and 16 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 25.05.2017.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>21.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 351 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**Ompal Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Bachchan Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents list this case on <b>30.05.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 899 of 2017**  
**Inre: O.A. No. 03 of 2016**

**Prakash Narain Shukla**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Jai Narain Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 899 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Jai Narain Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant on this application, which has been moved for recall of the order dated 25.04.2017 by means of which O.A. No. 03 of 2016 was dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>The reason shown in the affidavit filed in support of the application seems to be genuine.</p> <p>Accordingly, the application is allowed and the delay in filing the recall application is condoned and the order dated 25.04.2017 is hereby recalled provided the applicant deposits costs of Rs. 500/- with AFT Bar Association Lucknow within a period of two weeks from today. On deposit of costs as directed above, the O.A shall be restored to its original number.</p> <p>List this case on <b>31.07.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 910 of 2017 With M.A. No. 911 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 137 of 2015**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

**Applicants**

Versus

**Kamlesh Painuli**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Anil Kumar Sahu, learned counsel for the applicant alongwith Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>MA. No. 910 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 19.04.2017 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 137 of 2015.</p> <p>As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 04 days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <b><u>shall</u></b> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for grant of leave to appeal do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <b>Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another</b> reported in <b>2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC</b> in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:</p> <p><i>“Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments” and since “the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology</i></p>

*of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.”*

The Hon’ble Supreme Court further observed as under :

*“Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitation .....They cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings”.*

In view of the decision of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

**M.A. No 911 of 2017**

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicant beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)  
Member (A)

RS/-

(Justice D.P. Singh)  
Member (J)

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 916 of 2017 With M.A. No. 917 of 2017**

**Inre: T.A. No. 32 of 2016**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioners

**Petitioners**

Versus

**Ex Nk Saboo Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Dr Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner alongwith Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>MA. No. 916 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the petition under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 31.03.2017 passed by this Court in T.A. No. 32 of 2016.</p> <p>As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 23 days in filing the petition for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the petition for leave to appeal <b><u>shall</u></b> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for grant of leave to appeal do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <b>Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another</b> reported in <b>2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC</b> in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:</p> <p><i>“Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments” and since “the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology</i></p>

*of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.”*

The Hon’ble Supreme Court further observed as under :

*“Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitation .....They cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings”.*

In view of the decision of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

**M.A. No 917 of 2017**

This is an petition under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the petitioner beyond the period of 30 days. Since the petition for condonation of delay in moving this petition has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this petition is also liable to be rejected.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)  
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)  
Member (J)

RS/-

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**Ex.A.No.41 of 2017**

**Inre OA No.504 of 2012**

**Des Raj**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**OIC Records, Garhwal Rifles, Lansdowne & Another**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the Government has already accorded sanction and the PPO is likely to be issued very shortly.</p> <p>As agreed by the learned counsel for the parties, list this case on <b>30.06.2017</b> to enable the learned counsel for the respondents to produce the PPO.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) PKG</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**Ex.A.No.49 of 2017  
Inre OA No.294 of 2016**

**Jwala Prasad Upadhyay**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri VK Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri VPS Vats, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>Ex.A.No.49 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 21.11.2016 passed in O.A. No. 294 of 2016 which relates to the payment of disability pension.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the aforesaid judgment and order of the Tribunal has been fully complied with and the pending appeal of the applicant for disability pension has been rejected vide order dated 30<sup>th</sup> March 2017 by the Appellate Authority.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Execution Application is rendered infructuous and is accordingly rejected, with liberty to the applicant to challenge the impugned order, if so advised.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**Ex.A.No.55 of 2017**

**Inre OA No.210 of 2015**

**Akil Ahmed Ansari**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Sidharth Dhaon, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the Government has already accorded sanction and the PPO is likely to be issued very shortly.</p> <p>As agreed by the learned counsel for the parties, list this case on <b>30.06.2017</b> to enable the learned counsel for the respondents to produce the PPO.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**Ex.A.No.58 of 2017  
Inre OA No.409 of 2011**

**Narendra Kumar**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Officer In Charge, Signal Records & others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>Ex.A.No.58 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 23<sup>rd</sup> December 2011 passed in O.A. No. 409 of 2011 which relates to the payment of pension to the rank of Hony Naib Subedar with arrears within the stipulated time.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued, a copy of which has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant during course of hearing.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of communication of this order.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) PKG</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**Ex.A.No.70 of 2017**  
**Inre OA No.63 of 2016**

**Sarvash Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>Ex.A.No.70 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the judgment and order of the Tribunal dated 27<sup>th</sup> April 2016 passed in OA No.63 of 2016, payment has been made to the applicant, as such, the aforesaid order has been fully complied with.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Execution Application is rendered infructuous and is accordingly rejected.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A.No.2546 of 2016 with M.A.No.701 of 2017**

**Inre OA No. Nil of 2016**

**Ranjit Lal & others**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

**Applicants**

Versus

**Union of India & others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri RN Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri R Chandra, Advocate states that Shri RN Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant is reported to be out of station and he prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List this case on <b>17.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) PKG</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 2568 of 2016 with M.A. No. 66 of 2017**

**(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)**

**Ram Pal Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri VK Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Objection against the application for condonation of delay has already been filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents. However, learned counsel for the applicant do not want to file any reply to the objection on the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 66 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>The cause shown in the affidavit filed in support of the application for condonation of delay seems to be genuine. Accordingly, the application for condonation of delay is allowed and the delay in filing the O.A. is hereby condoned and the objection raised by the learned counsel for the respondents is rejected.</p> <p>The controversy relates to the payment of pension to the rank of Honorary Naib Subedar, which inhibits recurring cause of action.</p> <p>Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we find that it is a fit case for admission.</p> <p><b>Admit.</b></p> <p>Let the O.A. be registered.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks' thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>28.07.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) RPM/-</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 19 of 2017**  
**(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017)**

**Khajan Ali**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rakesh Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks' further time to file objection against the application for condonation of delay. Replication, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within a week, thereafter.</p> <p>With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, list this case on <b>30.06.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 103 of 2017**  
**(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017)**

**Smt Saroj Tiwari**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On Call of the case, Shri VK Pandey, Advocate, submits that Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant has not come to the Court being out of station and prays for adjournment of the case. Respondents counsel has no objection.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on <b>21.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 1207 of 2010 With M.A. No. 616 of 2017**

**Smt Vimla Devi**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>EX-A. No. 54 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents states that the Government has already accorded sanction and the PPO is likely to be issued very shortly.</p> <p>As agreed by the learned counsel for the parties, list this case on <b>30.06.2017</b> for orders to enable learned counsel for the respondents to produce the PPO.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 823 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**Abinash Chand**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri PN Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 823 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 46 years and 15 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 08.05.2017.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>22.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**DY-No. 487 of 2017 With M.A. No. 804 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**Naresh Devrao Jadhav**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Vinay Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 804 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Objection to the delay condonation application filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file replication to the objection filed by learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List this case on <b>23.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

RS/-

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 887 of 2017 With M.A. No. 888 of 2017**

**Inre: T.A. No. 3 of 2016**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioners

**Petitioners**

Versus

**Vinod Kumar Tewari**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner alongwith Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>MA. No. 887 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 07.04.2017 passed by this Court in T.A. No. 3 of 2016.</p> <p>As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 14 days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <b><u>shall</u></b> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for grant of leave to appeal do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <b>Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another</b> reported in <b>2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC</b> in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:</p> <p><i>“Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments” and since “the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology</i></p>

*of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.”*

The Hon’ble Supreme Court further observed as under :

*“Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitation .....They cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings”.*

In view of the decision of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

**M.A. No 888 of 2017**

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicant beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)  
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)  
Member (J)

RS/-

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 892 of 2017**  
**Inre: T.A. No. 74 of 2016**

**Smt Kaushalya Devi**  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 892 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Vikash Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for the petitioner on this application, which has been moved for recall of the order dated 28.04.2017 by means of which T.A. No. 74 of 2016 was dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>The reason shown in the affidavit filed in support of the petition seems to be genuine.</p> <p>Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the order dated 28.04.2017 is hereby recalled provided the petitioner deposits costs of Rs.200/- with AFT Bar Association Lucknow within a period of two weeks from today. On deposit of costs as directed above, the T.A shall be restored to its original number and be listed for orders on <b>28.07.2017</b>.</p> <p>Name of Shri Anurag Mishra be shown as learned counsel for the respondents, when the case is next listed.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 20 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 365 of 2012**

**Ram Prakash**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri VK Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>EX-A. No. 20 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 27<sup>th</sup> January 2016 passed in O.A. No. 365 of 2012 which relates to grant of pension of Hony Naib Subedar within the stipulated time.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued and a copy of the same has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant today in the Court.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of presentation of a certified copy of this order.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) RS/-</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 31 of 2017**  
**Inre: T.A. No. 11 of 2014**

**Ayodhya Prasad**  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri RK Dixit, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>EX-A. No. 31 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 23rd September 2016 passed in T.A. No. 11 of 2014.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to submit status report as to why the aforesaid order of the Tribunal has not been complied with.</p> <p>As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, list this case on <b>30.06.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 192 of 2017 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**Sushil Kumar Tiwari**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Col A.K. Srivastava (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 192 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the Original Application. As per office report, there is delay of 11 years, 07 months and 11 days.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has filed a petition at High Court of Judicature at Allahabad to decide his representation which was disposed of on 23.03.2006 with the direction to decide the representation. Thereafter the petitioner filed T.A. No. 2710 of 2009 which was dismissed with liberty to file a fresh. Then the applicant preferred representation dated 30.05.2008 to Chief of the Army Staff to re-assess his medical category and reinstate him in service. Since the applicant has represented his case from time to time to the respondents, hence, there is no delay on the part of the applicant and accordingly, application for condonation of delay is allowed and delay is condoned.</p> <p>Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we find that this is fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Let the case be registered as O.A.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>24.08.2017</b> for orders showing the name of Shri Sunil Sharma as learned counsel for the respondents.</p>

	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) SB	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)
--	---	------------------------------------

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 201 of 2017 with M.A. No. 202 of 2017 Inre O.A. No. 156 of 2016**

**Balavikraman Nair R**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri V.A. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 201 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application for condoning the delay in filing the Recall Application. The reasons given in the affidavit filed in support of the application for condonation of delay seem to be genuine. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay is allowed and delay is condoned.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 202 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application for recall of order of this Tribunal dated 16.09.2016 by which O.A. No. 156 of 2016 was dismissed for non prosecution. Order of the Tribunal is recalled, subject to payment of cost of Rs. 500/-, to be deposited in Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association Library. On production of receipt of deposit of cost, the case will be restored to its original number.</p> <p>List this case on <b>25.08.2017</b> for orders.</p>



	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) ukt	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)
--	--	------------------------------------

Form No. 4  
 [See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
 ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 198 of 2013 with M.A. No. 774 & 1682 of 2016**  
**alongwith (Decided O.A. No. 196 of 2013)**

**Vishnu Prasad Upadhiya**  
 By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**  
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p> <u><b>25.05.2017</b></u>  <u><b>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</b></u>  <u><b>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</b></u> </p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u><b>M.A. No. 924 of 2017</b></u></p> <p>Objection on amendment application filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file replication.</p> <p>List this case on <b>18.07.2017</b> for orders.</p>

	<p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p>	<p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>
--	---	--

ukt

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 61 of 2014**

**Sukhvir Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
----------------------------------	-------------------------------

**25.05.2017**

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)**

**Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)**

Present: Shri O.P. Kushwaha, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.

On call of the case, Shri O.P. Kushwaha submits that he has handed over copy of Birth Certificate as well as Disability Certificate to learned counsel for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to take action in accordance with rules for payment of family pension and submit status report on the next date.

List this case on **04.08.2017** for orders.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)  
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)  
Member (J)

ukt

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 71 of 2016 with M.A. No. 440 of 2017**

**Jai Singh Bahadur Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Lavlesh Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on <b>24.08.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 43 of 2016**

**Gama Shanker Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: None for the petitioner and Shri V.P.S. Vats, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Let a notice be issued to the petitioner to engage a counsel or make alternate arrangement and file Bench Copy of the case.</p> <p>List this case on <b>09.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 83 of 2013**

**Uma Shankar Pandey**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List this case on <b>30.05.2017</b> for further hearing to enable learned counsel for the respondents to file affidavit in terms of earlier order dated 07.03.2017.</p> <p>Let a copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents, free of cost.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 145 of 2013 with M.A. No. 1814 of 2016**

**Satendra Singh Pal**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri V.K. Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri V.K. Pandey submits that Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant is out of station and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on <b>25.08.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 306 of 2016**

**Jagat Narain Shukla**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Order (Oral)</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>ukt</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 1094 of 2010**

**Ashok Kumar Paswan**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the petitioner, list this case on <b>26.05.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 1224 of 2010**

**Ravindra Nath Sharma**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.05.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, Shri Rajendra Prasad, Manager, Union Bank of India, Mariyadpur Branch, Mau and Shri R.K. Tiwari, representative of PCDA (P) Allahabad.</p> <p>Inspite of order dated 07.04.2017 of this Tribunal, PCDA (P) Allahabad has not filed affidavit as to why pension of the petitioner has been reduced from Rs. 1838/- to Rs. 1275/-.</p> <p>Shri Rajendra Prasad, Manager, Union Bank of India, Mariyadpur Branch, Mau is present in person, however he has not been able to reply as to why and under what authority pension of the petitioner has been reduced from Rs. 1838/- to to Rs. 1275/-. He shall submit an affidavit on the basis of his own record, under which authority pension of the petitioner has been reduced from 01.01.1996.</p> <p>Shri R.K. Tiwari, PCDA (P) representative, will clarify the position on the next date.</p> <p>List this case on <b>31.05.2017</b> for hearing to enable learned counsel for the respondents to clear the position and reasons for reduction of pension from 01.01.1996.</p> <p>Shri Rajendra Prasad, Manager, Union Bank of India, Mariyadpur Branch, Mau shall remain present in the Court on the next date to clarify his position.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

