

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

O.A. (A) No. 191 of 2014

Ravi Shankar Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List revised.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">None appears for the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

O.A. No. 122 of 2011

Sanjay Kumar Verma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri K.K.S. Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties at some length.</p> <p>List this case for further hearing on 07.02.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

O.A. No. 129 of 2013

Rajendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, Shri S.K.Singh and Shri R.P.Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>On account of the absence of Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell prays for adjournment of the case for the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list this case for hearing on 22.02.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 1290 of 2010

Arvind Kumar Katiyar

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri J.P. Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Kaushik Chatterji, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Sgt Pradeep Kumar, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties at some length.</p> <p>We have perused the original record produced by the respondents. It seems to be different from the one filed with the TA. The record does not contain the Court of Inquiry proceedings. Copy of DCM proceedings does not contain the signatures of anyone. On being asked by the Court to produce the copy of Court of Inquiry proceedings, it has been stated by learned counsel for the respondents that the same has been destroyed on 07.10.2010; that too during the pendency of the present TA. However, the defence taken by the respondents is that the said record relating to Court of Inquiry proceedings has been destroyed under the orders of Commanding Officer of the Unit in view of the provisions of Army Rule 155(5) of the Air Force Rules, 1969. Further, in paras 13 and 16 of the counter affidavit filed by the respondents, it has been stated that Additional Summary of Evidence was ordered to be recorded, but the record produced before us does not contain Additional Summary of Evidence. There is no convening order with regard of Court of Inquiry or Additional Summary of Evidence, as the</p>

case may be, on record.

List this case for further hearing on **07.02.2017**. The original record produced by the respondents shall be kept in sealed cover by the Registry and be produced on the date fixed for perusal of the Court. On the date fixed, some officer of the Air Force acquainted with the facts of the present case shall appear to explain certain material things keeping in view the observations made hereinabove.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)
Member (J)

LN/

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 35 of 2011

Union of India & Others

Petitioners

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioners

Versus

Sanjay Kumar Singh

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the petitioners and Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel appearing for the respondents, list this case for hearing on 21.02.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

M.A. No. 236 of 2017 with M.A. No. 237 of 2017
In re T.A. No. 22 of 2013

Union of India & Others

Applicant-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant-respondents

Versus

Anil Kumar

Respondent-petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent-petitioner

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents assisted by Maj Soma John, Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 236 of 2017</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing application for leave to appeal. The application has been preferred after lapse of statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay. In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 237 of 2017</u></p> <p>Since application for condonation of delay has been rejected, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

M.A. No. 1425 of 2016 In re O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Inder Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.P.S. Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the parties, list the case on 10.02.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

M.A. No. 1649 of 2016 with R.A. No. 84 of 2016
In re O.A. No. 261 of 2013

Dinesh Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents, four weeks' time is granted to file objection on application for condonation of delay. Applicant shall have two weeks thereafter to file replication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the case on 07.04.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

M.A. No. 2724 of 2016 In re O.A. No. 189 of 2016

Ram Prakash

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It has been submitted by Ld. Counsel for the respondents that the appeal preferred by the applicant, a copy of which has been filed as Annexure No-4, has been decided by the competent authority.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Keeping in view the statement made by Ld. Counsel for the respondents, the respondents are directed to file supplementary affidavit annexing thereto copy of the order passed on the appeal preferred by the applicant within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the case on 20.02.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

M.A. No. 64 of 2017 In re T.A. No. 70 of 2012

Smt. Omvati Devi
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Cost has been deposited. Receipt is taken on record.</p> <p>The present petition has been transferred to this Tribunal by the Principal Bench.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Affidavits have already been exchanged.</p> <p>List on 24.03.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case including post mortem report, panchnama and photographs for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of date and events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

O.A. No. 47 of 2016

Akhilesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Applicant in person. Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Applicant appeared in person. Four weeks time is granted to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>As prayed, list the case on 05.05.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of date and events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 107 of 2011 with M.A. No. 2531 of 2016
& M.A. No. 249 of 2017

Lekh Raj Singh

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Yash Pal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Md. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 249 of 2017</u></p> <p>Objection filed by respondents to application for amendment is taken on record.</p> <p>M.A. No. 249 of 2017 is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 2531 of 2016</u></p> <p>The present T.A. has been preferred against the impugned order of dismissal dated 19.09.2007. During pendency of the T.A., petitioner's mercy petition has been decided by order dated 12.08.2014 which has been communicated to the petitioner vide letter dated 30.09.2014.</p> <p>Feeling aggrieved with the subsequent decision, the petitioner has moved the present application for amendment of the T.A. which according to the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner being subsequent event and co-related with the controversy involved, is liable to be allowed.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents relied upon decision in</p>

the case of ***Ajendraprasadji N. Pande & Anr vs Swami Keshavprakashdasji N. & Ors*** arising out of SLP (C) No. 12738 of 2006. The relevant portion of the aforesaid Apex Court decision is reproduced as under:-

“Reliance was placed on the judgment of this Court in Salem Bar Association case (supra). In this case, this Court dealt with order 6 Rule 17 at para 26. Chief Justice Y.K. Sabharwal speaking for the Bench observed as under: “Order 6 Rule 17 of the Code deals with amendment of pleadings. By amendment Act, 46 of 1999, this provision was deleted. It has again been restored by amendment act 22 of 2002 but with an added proviso to prevent application for amendment being allowed after the trial has commenced, unless the Court comes to the conclusion that inspite of due diligence the parties could not have raised the matter before the commencement of trial. The proviso, to some extent, curtails absolute discretion to allow the amendment at any stage. Now, if the application is filed after commencement of trial, it has to be shown that inspite of due diligence, such amendment could not have been sought earlier. The object is to prevent frivolous applications which are filed to delay the trial. There is no illegality in the provision.”

A plain reading of the Apex Court judgment shows that the legislature to their wisdom had amended order 6 Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure to prevent frivolous applications filed to delay the trial.

In the present case the facts on record indicates that during pendency of the T.A. the mercy petition preferred by the petitioner has been partly allowed and to some extent relief has been granted by the competent authority. Since the impugned order has been passed during pendency of the petition and it has got bearing with the present controversy it cannot be said to be an application filed with oblique motive to prolong the litigation. Since order has been passed during pendency of the petition while deciding petitioner’s mercy petition, sufficient ground is made out to amend the T.A.

Accordingly we **allow** the amendment application and permit the petitioner to carry out the amendments within two weeks.

Petitioner shall file copy of the amendment T.A. within aforesaid period of two weeks to which the respondents shall file supplementary counter affidavit within a period of three weeks thereafter. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within a week thereafter.

List the case on **20.03.2017** for hearing.

On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.

Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of date and events.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)
Member (J)

anb

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 57 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2666 of 2016
& M.A. No. 250 of 2017

Santosh Kumar Tripathi
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 250 of 2017</u></p> <p>Objection filed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>M.A. No. 250 of 2017 is disposed of.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2666 of 2016</u></p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the petitioner may file replication within one week.</p> <p>List the case on 20.02.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

O.A. No. 41 of 2015

Parmjit Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant states that on account of severe pain in the neck he is not in a position to argue the case.</p> <p>Shri G.S. Sikarwar, appearing for the respondents has no objection to the prayer made by Ld. Counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>List the case on 22.02.2017 for further hearing.</p> <p>OIC Legal Cell shall submit the original record in sealed cover in the Registry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 11 of 2012 with M.A. No. 2347 of 2015

Krishna Lal
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashish Saxena, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2347 of 2015</u> Supplementary counter affidavit is taken on record. M.A. No. 2347 of 2015 is disposed of.</p> <p><u>T.A. No. 11 of 2012</u> Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant states that on account of severe pain in the neck he is not in a position to argue the case.</p> <p>Shri G.S. Sikarwar, appearing for the respondents has no objection to the prayer made by Ld. Counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>List the case on 27.02.2017 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

O.A. No. 141 of 2012 alongwith M.A. No. 251 of 2017
& M.A. No 252 of 2017

Smt. Rekha
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 251 of 2017</u> Application for taking documents on records is allowed. M.A. No. 251 of 2017 is disposed of.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 252 of 2017</u> Ld. Counsel for the respondents may file objection to application for amendment within two weeks. Reply to objection may be filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant within one week thereafter.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 141 of 2012</u> List the case on 28.02.2017 for disposal of application for amendment/hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of date and events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>anb</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

O.A. No. 492 of 2012 with M.A. No. 23 of 2017

Bans Raj Pal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Shri Kant Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 23 of 2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Supplementary counter affidavit filed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No. 23 of 2017 is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No. 492 of 2012</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant, list the case on 23.02.2017 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of date and events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

O.A. No. 496 of 2012

Nizamuddin

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant states that on account of severe pain in the neck he is not in a position to argue the case.</p> <p>Shri G.S. Sikarwar, appearing for the respondents has no objection to the prayer made by Ld. Counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>List the case on 23.02.2017 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 1036 of 2010

Jasawant Singh Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri S.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Chet Narain Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant, list the case on 23.02.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of date and events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

O.A. (A). No. 39 of 2017

Smt. Sunita Singh
W/o Abhishek Kumar Singh (in Custody)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Dr. Ashish Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Being aggrieved with the impugned Court Martial proceeding and conviction inflicted thereon of life imprisonment the present appeal has been preferred under Section 15 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 whereby a person allegedly assaulted by the applicant died.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Notice has been accepted on behalf of respondent No 1 to 4 by Dr. Gyan Singh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Issue notice to respondent No 5 returnable at an early date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondents may file counter affidavit within six weeks. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed by the applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the case on 10.04.2017 for order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let entire record be produced by the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

EX-A. No. 19 of 2017 In re T.A. No. 84 of 2011

Jham Prasad Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>It has been submitted by Ld. Counsel for the respondents that the order dated 27.04.2016 of this Tribunal has been complied with and in pursuance thereof statutory representation has been decided by Chief of the Army Staff. Copy of the order of Chief of the Army Staff has been provided to Ld. Counsel for the petitioner today itself.</p> <p>In view of above the execution application loses its efficacy and is consequently rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

M.A. No. 59 of 2017 with M.A. No. 60 of 2017
In re O.A. No. 127 of 2014

Sarbind Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : None for the applicant. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not attending Tribunal today. As prayed on his behalf, list the case on 11.05.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>anb</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

M.A. No. 226 of 2017 Inre O.A. No. 183 of 2010

Satish Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Brijesh Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Saxena, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is for the third time the application was dismissed for want of prosecution and recall application has been moved. It appears that the counsel for the applicant is negligent in discharge of professional duties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">However subject to payment of cost of Rs 1,000/-, order dated 04.01.2017 is recalled and O.A. is restored to its original number.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let cost be deposited within a week from today which shall be remitted to the Bar Association of the Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Lucknow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the case on 23.02.2017 for further orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

M.A. No. 238 of 2017 with M.A. No. 239 of 2017
In re T.A. No. 02 of 2015

Union of India and Others Applicant-respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant-respondents

Versus

Chattar Singh Respondent-petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent-petitioner

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 238 of 2017</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing application for leave to appeal. The application has been preferred after lapse of statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay. In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 239 of 2017</u></p> <p>Since application for condonation of delay has been rejected, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 90 of 2016

Brij Mohan Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Rakesh Johri, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">We have heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</p> <p>On account of conviction in a criminal case, the petitioner has been discharged from service. Being aggrieved, the petitioner preferred O.A. No. 170 of 2015 before the Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Chandigarh which has been transferred to this Tribunal by order dated 11.08.2016 and re-numbered as T.A. No. 90 of 2016.</p> <p>Admittedly till date the pending criminal appeal preferred by the petitioner against his conviction and sentence has not been decided finally by the High Court. Though the petitioner has been enlarged on bail, but order of conviction and sentence has not been suspended. Accordingly there appears to be no illegality in keeping the petitioner under discharge.</p> <p>No good ground is made out to interfere with the impugned order of discharge till the controversy in question is finally adjudicated by the High Court or appropriate order is passed exonerating the petitioner from conviction and sentence in the pending criminal appeal.</p> <p>T.A. lacks merit and is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate forum afresh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

Dy. No. 669 of 2016 with M.A. No. 1623 of 2016
In re O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Lal Babu Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Applicant present in person. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant appeared in person and prayed for adjournment on the ground that his counsel is not feeling well and is not attending the Tribunal today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list the case on 30.05.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

M.A. No. 2696 of 2016 In re O.A. No. 54 of 2010

Ram Bachan Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Manjive Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Let cost be deposited by Ld. Counsel for the applicant within a week from today.</p> <p>List the case on 10.02.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

O.A. No. 266 of 2011

Chand Pati

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Pradeep Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Shri Diwakar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No 1 to 3 and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava Ld. Counsel for respondent No 4, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Let copy of the counter affidavit be provided to Ld. Counsel for the applicant during the course of the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list the case on 20.02.2017 for further hearing in terms of earlier order.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of date and events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 84 of 2016

Devendra Singh Kushwaha Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri A.K. Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner, list the case again on 21.02.2017 for further hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of date and events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 89 of 2009

Rajendra Prasad Singh Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Petitioner in person. Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Petitioner appeared in person and seeks adjournment in absence of his counsel.</p> <p>As prayed, list the case on 28.02.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of date and events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 1207 of 2010

Smt. Vimla Devi Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>During course of hearing a question cropped up whether the petition is maintainable on behalf of the deceased army personal who was punished with discharge from service. The deceased in his own life time for about two years had not filed any petition to assail the order discharge from service. In such situation let parties prepare the case to explain whether on behalf of deceased army personal who was punished and discharged from service, the petition is maintainable and that too after two years?</p> <p>List the case on 20.02.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of date and events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

M.A. No. 1425 of 2016 In re O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Inder Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.P.S. Vats, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>As prayed, list/put up this case tomorrow i.e. 03.02.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 107 of 2011 with M.A. No. 2531 of 2016

Lekh Raj Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Md. Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>The applicant files reply to the objection filed by the respondents against the application for amendment. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>As prayed, list/put up this case tomorrow i.e. 03.02.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 23 of 2016

Shyam Sunder Kumar Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Adocate files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record. When the case is next listed, name of Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present : Shri K.K.S. Bisht, learned counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri K.K.S.Bisht, learned counsel for the petitioner wants time to prepare the case.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 28.03.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

M.A. No. 240 of 2017 In re M.A. No. 2497 of 2016 & M.A. No. 2498 of 2016 & Inre O.A. No. 91 of 2016

Satendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri D.K. Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>As prayed, list/put up this case alongwith leave to appeal tomorrow i.e. 03.02.2017 for orders/hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

O.A. No. 41 of 2015

Parmjit Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties at some length.</p> <p>List/put up this case tomorrow i.e. 03.02.2017 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 211 of 2009

Liladhar

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties at some length.</p> <p>List this case on 06.02.2017 for further hearing. The respondents shall provide two sets of GCM proceedings for the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 1204 of 2010 Alongwith T.A. No. 211 of 2009

T. Kishan

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Pradeep Chandola, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>List this case on 06.02.2017 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 284 of 2010

Umesh Kumar Chourasia Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>List revised.</p> <p>None appears for the petitioner.</p> <p>Dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 531 of 2010

Sultan Ram

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Secy Govt of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List revised.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">None appears for the petitioner.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>