

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**O.A. No. 59 of 2016**

**Prabhakar Yadav**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present : None for the applicant. Mrs Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>It is informed by Ld. Counsel Shri V.A. Singh that Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not feeling well and is unable to attend the Court today.</p> <p>As prayed, list the case on <b>03.04.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>In the meantime Ld. Counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>anb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**O.A. No. 121 of 2016**

**Ravi Papnai**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : None for the applicant . Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Wg Cdr Sardul Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel Shri Yash pal Singh holding brief for Shri S.G. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that on account of illness he is not attending the Court today. The case is adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list this case on <b>05.04.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>anb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**T.A. No. 211 of 2009**

**Liladhar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present : Shri Yash Pal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the parties, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List the case on <b>16.01.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>anb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**T.A. No. 1204 of 2010 Alongwith T.A. No. 211 of 2009**

**T. Kishan**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present : Shri Pradeep Chandola, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the parties, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List the case on <b>16.01.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>anb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**T.A. No. 1295 of 2010 with M.A. No. 1456 of 2016**  
**& M.A. No. 41 of 2017**

**Chandra Deo Ram**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present : Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 41 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Supplementary affidavit filed by the petitioner is taken on record.</p> <p>M.A. No. 41 of 2017 is disposed of.</p> <p><b><u>T.A. No. 1295 of 2010</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner, Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell and perused the records.</p> <p>Order <b>reserved</b>.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit chart of events and compilation of case laws within next one day.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (List A)

T.A. No. 38 of 2016

**Kripal Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.01.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present : Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Supplementary affidavit filed by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is taken on record. Ld. Counsel for the respondents may file reply to the supplementary affidavit within three weeks.</p> <p>List the case on <b>31.01.2017</b> for admission/hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of events.</p> <p><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (List A)

O.A. No. 157 of 2015

**Himanshu Goswami**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.01.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present : Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties at some length.</p> <p>List on <b>09.01.2017</b> for further hearing.</p> <p><b>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>LN/</p>





Applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.

This is an application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the Applicant being aggrieved with the departmental proceeding at the stage of Summary of Evidence and follow up action.

We have heard Maj (Retd) R.D. Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing for the Appellant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Central Government Counsel assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell for the Respondents and perused the records.

Grievance of the applicant is that Court of Inquiry as well as Summary of Evidence was held in violation of principles of natural justice. Ld. Counsel for the applicant invited attention of the Tribunal to certain material which has been placed on record to establish that during Court of Inquiry as well as Summary of Evidence there are certain materials which do not make out a case to proceed further against the Applicant. Further submission of Ld. Counsel for the Applicant is that Rule 180 of the Army Rules, 1954 has not been complied with and a controversy relates to illicit relationship of the applicant with other lady.

-2-

According to Applicant's Ld. Counsel, Mrs Meenakshi Chatterjee is hatching a conspiracy against the Applicant and on the basis of letter written by Lt Col Subodh Thapliyal, she has made allegations with regard to illicit relationship of Mrs Ekta Thapliyal, wife of Lt Col Subodh Thapliyal and the Applicant. It is submitted that conspiracy has been hatched to deprive the Applicant from promotional avenue in the Indian Army.

Ld. Counsel for the Applicant has relied upon the following cases:

- (i) ***Maj Gen Rakesh Kumar Loomba v. Union of India & Ors*** reported in Mil LJ 2008 Del 150; and
- (ii) ***Vinayak Daultatrao Nalawade v. Core Commander, Lt Gen GOC. HQ 15 Corps***, reported in 1987 LAB. I.C. 860.

So far as Rule 180 of the Army Rules, 1954 is concerned there appears no room of doubt that compliance of statutory mandate is a must, non compliance of which may vitiate the proceedings. But the fact remains that after Court of Inquiry, Summary of Evidence has been recorded and the matter is pending before the Appropriate Authority, if satisfied, to take a final decision and pass Convening Order to proceed with the disciplinary proceedings. It is for the Convening Authority to look into the matter and take final decision on the basis of evidence collected during Court of Inquiry and later on while recording Summary of Evidence. Right conferred on the Army Authorities flows from statutory provisions contained in the Army Act, Army Rules and Regulations framed there under. Since the Army is exercising statutory power with regard to holding appropriate disciplinary proceeding / Court Martial proceeding by applying mind to the evidence collected, it shall not be appropriate to interfere at this pre-mature stage. It is open to the Applicant to defend his cause before the Appropriate Authority dealing with the matter.

Otherwise also the Applicant shall have full opportunity to defend his cause and raise objections during proceedings, if any initiated on the basis of Court of Inquiry and Summary of

-3-

Evidence, and in case some substantial illegality has been done, then the allegation or the charges framed against the Applicant shall be rejected by the Appropriate Authority and the Applicant may be acquitted of the charges levelled against him. The Applicant has approached the Tribunal at pre-mature stage.

However, we are of the view that while proceeding with the matter the Appropriate Authority must strictly follow the statutory provisions of Army Act, the Rules framed there under and Army Regulations on the subject so that while proceeding against the Applicant, the Applicant may not suffer from any prejudice or injustice on account non compliance of statutory mandate. Career of Army Officer should not be interfered except by due process of law with strict compliance of law and the Rules and Regulations.

	<p>Needless to say that in case the Applicant files any objection during the course of proceedings while exercising his statutory rights, the same shall be considered by the Authority concerned at every stage by passing a speaking and reasoned order. In case there is any flaw or illegality in the Court of Inquiry or Summary of Evidence, the same shall inure to the benefit of the Applicant and may be raised at the appropriate stage.</p> <p>With the above observation we decline to grant relief as claimed by the Applicant and leave it open to the Respondents at this stage to proceed in accordance with law expeditiously so that the Applicant may not suffer with adverse consequences on account of delay in the matter. It shall always be open to the Applicant to approach the Tribunal or other statutory forum in case he suffers from adverse consequences on account of proceedings in question.</p> <p>With the above observations, the O.A. is <b>disposed</b> of finally.</p> <p>No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 5px;">anb</p>
--	---

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**EX-A. No. 119 of 2016 In re O.A. (A) No. 269 of 2014**

<b>Manoj Kumar</b>	Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b>	Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.01.2017</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for</p>

	<p>the Applicant and Shri Amit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>List the case on <b>13.01.2017</b> to enable Ld. Counsel for the Respondents to produce the PPO issued in pursuance to Tribunal's order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>
--	---

anb

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**M.A. No. 1940 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2234 of 2016**  
**In re O.A. No. Nil of 2016**

**Bhim Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.01.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p>

	<p>Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the Applicant, three weeks' further time is granted to file replication to objection filed by the Respondents.</p> <p>List the case on <b>11.04.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>anb</p>
--	---

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**O.A. No. 325 of 2015 & M.A. No. 27 of 2017**

**Rakesh Kumar Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.01.2017</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p>

Present : Col (Retd) R.C. Dixit, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.

**M.A. No. 27 of 2017**

Objection on application for condonation of delay filed by the Respondents is taken on record.

M.A. No. 27 of 2017 is disposed of.

**O.A. No. 325 of 2015**

Applicant may file replication within three weeks.

List this case on **14.03.2017** for orders.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)  
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)  
Member (J)

anb

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**O.A. No. 268 of 2016**

**Vinod Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Rajput Regt Abhilekh Karyalaya & another**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<b><u>06.01.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b>

	<p>Present : Shri Dilip Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the Respondents, two weeks' further time is granted to file counter affidavit. Applicant may file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>07.04.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>anb</p>
--	--

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**O.A.(A) No. 292 of 2016**

**Shaik Shabber** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<b><u>06.01.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b>

	<p>Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the Respondents, four weeks' further time is granted to file counter affidavit. Applicant may file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>12.04.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>
	anb

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**T.A. No. 11 of 2010**

<b>Rajeev Kumar Mishra</b>	Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner	
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b>	Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.01.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p>



	<p>Present : None for the Petitioner. Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Case is called out. None appeared on behalf of the Petitioner to press this application in second round after pass over.</p> <p>Mrs Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents appeared and opposed the petition.</p> <p>T.A. No 11 of 2010 is <b>dismissed</b> for non prosecution. Interim order, if any, is hereby vacated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p>
	anb

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**O.A. No. 89 of 2012**

**Arun Kumar Gupta** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<u>06.01.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>

	<p>Present : Shri R.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>List before appropriate Bench on <b>13.01.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of events.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>
	anb

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (List A)**

**O.A. No. 299 of 2013**

<b>Surendra Singh</b>	Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Chief of Army Staff &amp; Others</b>	Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent	

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.01.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p>

Present : Shri Rajat Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant and Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell for the Respondents.

As prayed by OIC Legal Cell, four weeks' further time and no more is granted to file counter affidavit to the amended O.A. Two weeks thereafter are granted to Ld. Counsel for the Applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.

List the case on **03.03.2017** for hearing.

On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.

Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis, and list of events.

**(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice D.P. Singh)**  
**Member (J)**

anb