

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1154 of 2023

Ex. Sub. T. Umamaheswara Rao Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar, Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Order pronounced today in the open Court. 2. Original Application is dismissed. 3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. 4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 248 of 2025

Hav (NA) Navdeep Choudhary
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for grant of premature retirement to the applicant.</div><div>4. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>5. Admit.</div><div>6. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>7. List on 01.08.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div><div>SB</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 267 of 2025 with M.A. No. 330 of 2025

Urmila Chander W/o Late Ex Gnr
Jageshwar Singh & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pandey, Advocate

Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of more than 17 years in filing this Original Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on 30.05.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 269 of 2025 with M.A. No. 333 of 2025

Ex Rect (GD) Niraj Yadav (Agniveer) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 333 of 2025</u></p> <p>3. There is delay of 01 year, 06 months and 10 days in filing Original Application. Delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay caused in filing of this Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. We are of the view that cause of delay given in affidavit annexed with delay condonation application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 269 of 2025</u></p> <p>7. This Original Application has been filed to quash/set aside the arbitrary and illegal discharge of the applicant w.e.f. 17.03.2023.</p> <p>8. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant was enrolled in the Army on 25.02.2023 and reported to the Centre and while undergoing training, applicant was forced to write letter for discharge to respondent No. 4 on 09.03.2023 on personal ground and on the basis of that application, he has been discharged from service w.e.f. 17.03.2023 without scrutinizing application written by the applicant.</p>

	<p>9. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this Original Application is premature because said discharge order has not been filed by the applicant and it is not clear that he has been discharged by the respondents or not. He prays for and is granted two weeks and no more time to seek instruction and file report regarding discharge/release of the applicant from service.</p> <p>10. List on 30.04.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
SB	

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 270 of 2025 with M.A. No. 334 of 2025

Brig Sukumar Vinod (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 334 of 2025</u></p> <p>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 11 months in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</p> <p>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 270 of 2025</u></p> <p>6. This application has been filed for grant of disability element of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 04.08 2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 114 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 448 of 2022

HFO Jagdish Singh Chauhan (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 31.10.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 448 of 2022.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. List on 28.08.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 115 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. No. 801 of 2023

Ex LMA Himanshu Shekhar Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 06.11.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 801 of 2023.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 28.08.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 116 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 1071 of 2023

Ex Sgt Niranjan Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate holding brief of Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. 3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records. 4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 06.09.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 1071 of 2023. 5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal. 6. List on 29.08.2025. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 117 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 205 of 2024

PO (UM) I Sumit Kumar Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 16.10.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 205 of 2024.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. List on 29.08.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 10)

**M.A. No. 332 of 2025 Inre: M.A. No. 977 of 2024,
Inre: M.A. No. 978 of 2024 & Inre: O.A. No. 456 of 2020**

Ex Sep Anil Kumar Sharma & Another Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SG Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate holding brief for Shri SG Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Maj AS Bajwa, Departmental Representative is present for the respondents.</p> <p>2. List on 22.04.2025 as fresh case.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>SB</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> <p>Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 11)

M.A. No. 303 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 1161 of 2024

Hav Pagidi Siva Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div></div><div><div>M.A. No. 303 of 2025</div><div>2. This application has been moved by the applicant to expedite the date fixed for hearing and list for early hearing of the case.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. In this case, 21.07.2025 is already fixed for hearing. Presently, large number of cases are listed for hearing as per roster, therefore, early hearing in this case is not possible.</div><div>5. In view of the above fact, we are of the view that there is no sufficient ground to expedite the date of hearing in this case, application is liable to be dismissed. Accordingly, early hearing application is rejected.</div><div>6. List the case for hearing on its already fixed date, i.e. 21.07.2025.</div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

Ex-A. No. 9 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 776 of 2021

Ex Nk Suresh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, SAO, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present before the Bench.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with and cost of Rs. 20,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has conceded the fact that order has been complied with and nothing is due against the respondents.</p> <p>5. Since order has been complied with, this execution application is disposed of in full satisfaction.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 2)

Ex-A. No. 22 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 830 of 2021

Ex Nb Ris Pradeep Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. SN Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present. Dr. SN Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court in which stay order has been granted by the Hon’ble High Court.</div><div>3. Respondents were directed to bring this fact on record alongwith copy of stay order but copy of stay order has not been filed.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file copy of the stay order failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. List on 26.05.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A. No. 29 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 656 of 2021

Ex Hav Sarabjeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Arvind Thakur, Advocate
Shri Ashish Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present for the applicant.Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, SAO, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present before the court.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Applicant was granted time to file objection, if any, which has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, SAO, PCDA (P) and learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with and payment has been made alongwith interest and nothing is due against the respondents.</p> <p>5. Since order has been complied with, this execution application is disposed of in full satisfaction.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 36 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 209 of 2022

Ex Hav Mudit Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. 3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued. 4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/-to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any. 5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing. 6. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 20,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited, shall be remitted to the applicant. 7. List on 20.08.2025. 8. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB </p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 101 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 114 of 2022

Ex Sub Arun Kumar Yadava

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. List revised.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</p> <p>6. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</p> <p>7. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 10,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited, shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>8. List on 21.08.2025.</p> <p>9. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 197 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 835 of 2022

Ex Hav Prem Chand

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present. Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, SAO, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present before the court.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Applicant was granted time to file objection, if any, which has not been filed.</div><div>5. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, SAO, PCDA (P) and learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with and nothing is due against the respondents.</div><div>6. Since order has been complied with and there is no objection on compliance affidavit, this execution application is disposed of in full satisfaction.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div><div>SB</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 204 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 24 of 2022

MWO Om Prakash (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode.Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the court.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court in which stay order has been passed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to bring this fact on record alongwith copy of stay order.</p> <p>5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</p> <p>6. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 40,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry on 14.02.2025. Cost so deposited, shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>7. List on 22.08.2025.</p> <p>8. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 205 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 289 of 2022

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court. Mrs. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court but there is no stay order.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</p> <p>5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</p> <p>6. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 20,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited, shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>7. List on 22.08.2025.</p> <p>8. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 391 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 570 of 2022

Ex Hony Sub Sanjay Pandit

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/-to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div></div><div><div>6.</div><div>It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 15,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited, shall be remitted to the applicant.</div></div><div><div>7.</div><div>List on 25.08.2025.</div></div><div><div>8.</div><div>Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div><div>SB</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 327 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1082 of 2023

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the case being taken up for hearing KP Datta, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Affidavit regarding present status of this execution case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/-to file compliance affidavit. List on 25.08.2025. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

Ex-A. No. 328 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 572 of 2023

Ex L/NK Ranvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/-to file compliance affidavit and also affidavit regarding present status of this execution case.</p> <p>4. List on 26.08.2025.</p> <p>5. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 12)

Ex-A. No. 333 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1095 of 2023

Col Arun Kumar Singh (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Affidavit regarding present status of this execution case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/-to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</div><div>6. List on 26.08.2025.</div><div>7. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

M.A. No. 1147 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1062 of 2023

Ex Hav Rajesh Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>02.04.2025</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Objection to the recall application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div><u>M.A. No. 1147 of 2024</u></div><div>4. This application has been field by the applicant to recall the order dated 03.09.2024 passed in O.A. No. 1062 of 2023 and restore the Original Application on its original number.</div><div>5. On 03.09.2024, Original Application No. 1062 of 2023 was listed for final hearing. None was present for the applicant even in revised term but learned counsel for the respondents was present.</div><div>6. Arguments of learned counsel for the respondents were heard by the court and on the basis of arguments of learned counsel for the respondents and perusal of record, final order has been passed on same day by the Bench and Original Application was dismissed on merit.</div><div>7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he was out of station on 03.09.2024 and order has been passed in absence of the learned counsel for the applicant. It is further submitted that this matter was regarding ‘Hearing Loss’ which cannot be assessed less than 20% and similar matter is pending before the Larger Bench.</div><div>8. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order dated 03.09.2024 passed by the Bench is order on merit against which recall application is not maintainable. Applicant has only remedy to file Review Application against the order which has not been filed. It is further submitted</div></div>

	<p>that application moved by the applicant is against the provisions of Rule 16 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008. Recall application is liable to be rejected.</p> <p>9. There is no dispute on the point that on 03.09.2024, case was listed for final hearing and on that date, learned counsel for the applicant was not present even in revised term and that the order dated 03.09.2024 has been passed on merit.</p> <p>10. In Rule 16 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008, it is provided that order passed ex-parte may be recalled but there is provision which is as follows :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“Provided that where the case has been disposed of on merits the same shall not be reopened except by way of review.”</i></p> <p>11. The remedy available for the applicant was to file Review Application against the ex-parte order passed on merit. Recall Application against the impugned order is not maintainable. Application is liable to be rejected.</p> <p>12. Accordingly, Recall Application is rejected.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1279 of 2023

Ex PO EL (P) Sudhir Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 14.05.2025.</p> <div><div><p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p><p>SB</p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1334 of 2023

Sub(OFC)Munsi Lal Gupta

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate holding brief of Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</div><div>2. On request of brief holder, the case is adjourned for the day.</div><div>3. List on 22.04.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1360 of 2023

Ex WO Surendra Prasad Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode.Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Bench.</div><div>2. Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. Original Application is partly allowed.</div><div>4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1434 of 2023

Ex Nk Yashwant Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the court.</p> <p>2. Amendment application moved by the applicant has been allowed vide order dated 31.01.2025.</p> <p>3. Applicant was directed to carry out amendment within two weeks but amendment has not been incorporated in the Original Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted one week further time, as last opportunity, to carry out amendment in the Original Application.</p> <p>5. List on 29.04.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1452 of 2023

Ex Nk Shyam Sundar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the court.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 04.07.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 265 of 2024

L/Hav Sudheer Kumar Kashyap

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate
Shri Ashutosh Mishra, Advocate
Shri Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate holding brief of Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed.</div><div>3. Brief holder submits that applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>5. List for final hearing on 06.05.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 373 of 2024

Ex Sub Bhavsar Indravardhan Bansilal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>5. Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>6. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed of.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 405 of 2024

Hav / NASST Prashant Gaurav Maurya
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in this case, he has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant.</div><div>3. Registry is directed to print names of Shri Rang Nath Pandey and Shri Rahul Pandey as learned counsel for the applicant in place of Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi in the cause list when the case is listed next.</div><div>4. List on 23.04.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 535of 2024

Ex HFO Vindeshwari Prajapati Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate
Shri DK Dixit, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present. Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received parawise comments. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 16.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 1243 of 2024

Ex Sep Swatantra Pratap Singh (DSC)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court. Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 16.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 1244 of 2024

Ex Sgt Ravi Prakash Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received parawise comments. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 29.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 1248of 2024 with M.A. No. 1404 of 2024

Ex Hav Suresh Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate
Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present. Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Objection on delay and maintainability filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Reply, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 02.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 1254 of 2024

Lt Col Kapil Arora (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 31.07.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 102 of 2025 with M.A. No. 118 of 2025

Ex Nk (TS) Vijay Kumar Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate
Ms. Alka Saxsena, Advocate

Versus

Union of India& Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 are present. None is present for the respondents No. 5 & 6.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay filed on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 4 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Reply, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 03.07.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

T.A. No. 18 of 2024

Sgt Bhagwan Singh Bora (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. Judgment reserved.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>