#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 1)

#### O.A. No. 1258 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1414 of 2024

Ex AC (U/T) Vikash Kumar Singh

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate Shri S K Chaturvedi, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
	<u>06.01.2025</u>		
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	non die waj. Gen. Sanjay Singn, wender (A)		
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on		
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel		
	for the respondents when the case is listed next.		
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav,		
	Advocate holding brief of Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the		
	applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are		
	present through virtual mode.		
	3. There is delay of 06 years, 05 months and 22 days in filing Original		
	Application. Delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant.		
	4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.		
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding		
	maintainability of this Original Application.		
	6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four		
	weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on delay condonation		
	application as well as maintainability of the Original Application.		
	7. List on <b>04.03.2025</b> .		
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	SB		

Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 2)

# Hav Birendra Singh (Retd)

O.A. No. 1261 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1417 of 2024

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate Versus Respondents

**Union of India & Others** 

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	06.01.2025		
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behal		
	the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for t respondents when the case is listed next.		
	2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mish	ra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri	
	Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the	respondents.	
	<u>M.A. No. 1417 of 2024</u>		
	3. There is delay of 11 months	and 03 days in filing Original Application.	
	The applicant has moved application	n for condoning the delay.	
	4. Learned counsel for the app	licant submits that delay caused in filing of	
	Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons		
	affidavit filed in support of application.		
	5. We are of the view that gro	ound shown in affidavit filed in support of	
	application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and dela filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands dispo		
	off.		
	<u>O.A. No. 1261 of 2024</u>		
	6. This Original Application has	been filed for grant of disability element of	
	pension.		
	7. Matter needs adjudication.		
	8. Admit.		
	9. Learned counsel for the res	pondents is granted four weeks time to fil	
	counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidav	vit, if any, may be filed within two week	
	thereafter.		
	10. List on <b>04.04.2025.</b>		
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl. No. 3)

#### O.A. No. 1262 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1418 of 2024

Hav. Amit Kumar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Hon'ble Ma 1. Mem behalf of the for the resp 2. On the Counsel for respondente 3. There condonatione M.A. No. 14 4. This pension. 5. Matte 6. Adm	e respondents is take ondents when the case he case being taken r the applicant and S s are present. e is no delay in f n application has bee	n, Member (A) ed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on en on record. His name be shown as Counsel se is listed next. In up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the filing Original Application, however, delay en filed which being not required is rejected.
Hon'ble Ma Hon'ble Ma 1. Mem behalf of the for the resp 2. On the Counsel for respondent 3. Ther condonation M.A. No. 14 4. This pension. 5. Matta 6. Adm	<b>bj. Gen. Sanjay Singh</b> to of Appearance file e respondents is take ondents when the case he case being taken r the applicant and S s are present. e is no delay in f n application has bee	n, Member (A) ed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on en on record. His name be shown as Counsel se is listed next. In up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the filing Original Application, however, delay en filed which being not required is rejected.
Hon'ble Ma 1. Mem behalf of the for the resp 2. On the Counsel for respondente 3. There condonatione M.A. No. 14 4. This pension. 5. Matte 6. Adm	<b>bj. Gen. Sanjay Singh</b> to of Appearance file e respondents is take ondents when the case he case being taken r the applicant and S s are present. e is no delay in f n application has bee	n, Member (A) ed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on en on record. His name be shown as Counsel se is listed next. In up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the filing Original Application, however, delay en filed which being not required is rejected.
behalf of the for the resp 2. On the Counsel for respondent 3. The condonation M.A. No. 14 4. This pension. 5. Matt 6. Adm	e respondents is take ondents when the case he case being taken r the applicant and S s are present. e is no delay in f n application has bee	en on record. His name be shown as Counsel se is listed next. In up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the filing Original Application, however, delay en filed which being not required is rejected.
behalf of the for the resp 2. On the Counsel for respondent 3. Ther condonation M.A. No. 14 4. This pension. 5. Matt 6. Adm	e respondents is take ondents when the case he case being taken r the applicant and S s are present. e is no delay in f n application has bee	en on record. His name be shown as Counsel se is listed next. In up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the filing Original Application, however, delay en filed which being not required is rejected.
for the resp 2. On the Counsel for respondent 3. The condonation M.A. No. 14 4. This pension. 5. Matt 6. Adm	ondents when the case he case being taken r the applicant and S s are present. e is no delay in f n application has bee	se is listed next. a up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the filing Original Application, however, delay en filed which being not required is rejected.
<ol> <li>2. On the Counsel for respondent</li> <li>3. There condonation</li> <li>M.A. No. 14</li> <li>4. This pension.</li> <li>5. Matte</li> <li>6. Adm</li> </ol>	he case being taken r the applicant and S s are present. e is no delay in f n application has bee	up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the filing Original Application, however, delay en filed which being not required is rejected.
Counsel for respondent 3. Ther condonation M.A. No. 14 4. This pension. 5. Matt 6. Adm	r the applicant and S s are present. e is no delay in f n application has bee	Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the filing Original Application, however, delay on filed which being not required is rejected.
respondent 3. Ther condonation M.A. No. 14 4. This pension. 5. Matt 6. Adm	s are present. e is no delay in f n application has bee	filing Original Application, however, delay on filed which being not required is rejected.
<ul> <li>3. Ther</li> <li>condonation</li> <li>M.A. No. 14</li> <li>4. This</li> <li>pension.</li> <li>5. Matt</li> <li>6. Adm</li> </ul>	e is no delay in f n application has bee	en filed which being not required is rejected.
condonation M.A. No. 14 4. This pension. 5. Matt 6. Adm	n application has bee	en filed which being not required is rejected.
M.A. No. 14 4. This pension. 5. Matt 6. Adm		<b>o</b> , , ,
4. This pension. 5. Matt 6. Adm	18 of 2024 stands dis	passed of accordingly
pension. 5. Matt 6. Adm	M.A. No. 1418 of 2024 stands disposed of accordingly.	
5. Matt 6. Adm	Original Application h	has been filed for grant of disability element of
6. Adm	pension.	
	er needs adjudication.	
7. Lear	it.	
	ned counsel for the re	espondents is granted four weeks time to file
counter aff	counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two week	
thereafter.		
8. List	on <b>04.04.2025.</b>	
(Maj. Ger		(Justice Anil Kumar)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 4)

#### O.A. No. 1263 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1419 of 2024 WO Dibyanker Prasad (Retd) By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate Versus Respondents

Union of India & Others

	Findia & Others Respondents Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate			
	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry	<u>06.01.2025</u>			
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
	Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)			
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel			
	for the respondents when the case is listed next.			
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.			
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the			
	respondents are present.			
	<u>M.A. No. 1419 of 2024</u>			
	3. There is delay of 10 months and 01 day in filing Original Application.			
	The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.			
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay caused in filing of			
	Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in			
	affidavit filed in support of application.			
	5. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of			
	application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay ir			
	filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed			
	of.			
	O.A. No. 1263 of 2024			
	6. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element of			
	pension.			
	7. Matter needs adjudication.			
	8. Admit.			
	9. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file			
	counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks			
	thereafter.			
	10. List on <b>04.04.2025.</b>			
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)			
	Member (A) Member (J)			

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 5)

#### O.A. No. 1264 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1421 of 2024

# Ex Sep Raju J Akkatangerhal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

#### Versus

### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the Degistry				
Registry				
	06.01.2025			
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
	<u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>			
	1. Memo of Appearance of filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf			
	of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the			
	<ul> <li>respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.</li> <li>Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</li> <li>3. There is delay of 01 year, 03 months and 14 days in filing Original Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</li> <li>4. Learned counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding</li> </ul>			
	territorial jurisdiction before this Tribunal to entertain this Original Application.			
	He prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on maintainability of this Original Application before this Tribunal as			
	well as on delay condonation application moved by the applicant.			
	5. List on <b>05.03.2025</b> .			
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)			

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl. No. 6)

### Ex. A. No. 493 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 242 of 2023

Maj Gen Arup Kumar Sasmal AVSM (Retd)ApplicantBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Col. A K Srivastava(Retd), Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	-	<u>06.01.2025</u>		
	-	ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, I		
	<u>Hon'b</u>	ole Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh,	<u>Member (A)</u>	
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed b	v Ms. Aniu Sinah. Advo	cate on behalf of the
	rocpo			
	respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the			as courser for the
	<ul><li>respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. A K Srivastava (Retd), Ld</li></ul>			
				rivastava (Retd), Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the responder are present through virtual mode.		for the respondents	
	3.	This execution application	has been filed by	the applicant for
	implementation of order dated 09.02.2024 passed in OA No. 242 of 2023.		o. 242 of 2023.	
	4.	It is fresh execution case.		
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.		s granted two weeks	
	6	List on <b>24.04.2025.</b>		
	<b>(Ма</b> <sub>SB</sub>	j. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil I Member (J)	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 7)

#### Ex.A. No. 494 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 737 of 2023

LS (US) Vikash Chandra Vidhan (Retd) Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

#### Versus

#### **Union of India & Others**

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal			
	<u>06.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)			
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on			
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel			
	for the respondents when the case is listed next.			
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.			
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the			
	respondents are present.			
	3. This execution application has been filed by the applicant for			
	implementation of order dated 14.02.2024 passed in OA No. 737 of 2023.			
	4. It is fresh execution case.			
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks			
	time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.			
	6 List on <b>24.04.2025.</b>			
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)			
	SB			

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 8)

### Ex. A. No. 496 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1482 of 2023

Sub Dharam Nath Tewary (Retd)

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>06.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	<ol> <li>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>This execution application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 09.08.2024 passed in OA No. 1482 of 2023.</li> </ol>		
	<ul> <li>4. It is fresh execution case.</li> <li>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.</li> <li>6 List on 25.04.2025.</li> <li>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</li> </ul>		
	SB		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl. No. 9)

### Ex.A. No. 497 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 39 of 2021

Smt. Geeta Devi W/o Ex Nk Rajeet YadavApplicantBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

## Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

	Orders of the Tribunal				
the Registry					
Registry					
	06.01.2025				
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)				
	<u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>				
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of				
	the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the				
	respondents when the case is listed next.				
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld.				
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the				
	respondents are present.				
	3. This execution application has been filed by the applicant for				
	implementation of order dated 26.10.2021 passed in OA No. 39 of 2021.				
	4. It is fresh execution case.				
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks				
	time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.				
	6 List on <b>25.04.2025.</b>				
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)				
	SB				

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 10)

#### Ex.A. No. 498 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 704 of 2023

## Ex Sgt Alok Kumar Dubey

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

_	Orders of the Tribunal				
the Registry					
Registry					
	06.01.2025				
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)				
	non ble maj. Gen. Ganjay Gingh, Member (A)				
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of				
	the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the				
	respondents when the case is listed next.				
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld.				
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the				
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.				
	3. This execution application has been filed by the applicant for				
	implementation of order dated 02.11.2023 passed in OA No. 704 of 2023.				
	4. It is fresh execution case.				
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks				
	time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.				
	6 List on <b>25.04.2025.</b>				
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB				

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 1)

# Dairy No. 2519 of 2024

# Ex Basavanneppa Bas Appa Maled

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate

Shri V P Pandey, Advocate Shri R K Singh, Advocate Shri Mridul Pandey, Advocate Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>06.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. List revised.		
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey and Shri RK		
	Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.		
	3. Objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.		
	4. Reply, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.		
	5. List on <b>10.03.2025</b> .		
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 2)

### Ex.A. No. 203 of 2016 Inre: T.A. No. 39 of 2012

#### Selina John

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj. R.D. Singh (Retd), Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D K Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal			
	<u>06.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)			
	1. List revised.			
	2. None is present for the applicant even in the revised term.			
	3. Shri D K Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.			
	4.	Learned counsel for the re	spondents submits that order has been	
	complied with and compliance affidavit has already been filed on 03.10.2024.			
	5. Applicant was granted time to file objection, if any, which has not been		o file objection, if any, which has not been	
	filed.			
	6. Since order has been complied with, this execution case is <b>disposed o</b>		ed with, this execution case is disposed of	
	finally in full satisfaction.			
	<b>(М</b> а SB	aj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 3)

### Ex.A. No. 334 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 871 of 2021

#### Ex Nb Sub Arvind Bhushan

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

## Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.01.2025
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	<u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.
	3. Affidavit regarding present status of execution application filed on behalf
	of the respondents is taken on record.
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been
	filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is
	yet to be listed.
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost
	of Rs. 15,000/
	6. Respondents are directed to file compliance affidavit/stay order if any.
	Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next
	date of listing.
	7. List on <b>17.04.2025</b> .
	8. Let copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)(Justice Anil Kumar)Member (A)Member (J)SB

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 4)

#### Ex.A. No. 85 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 84 of 2022

Hav (MACP-II Hav Grade) Dinesh SinghApplicantBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

### Versus

Respondents

**Union of India & Others** By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	06.01.2025
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	<u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.
	3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that Writ Petition
	has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court
	which is yet to be listed.
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost
	of Rs. 15,000/
	5. Respondents are directed to file compliance affidavit/stay order if any.
	Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next
	date of listing.
	6. List on <b>21.04.2025</b> .
	7. Let copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 5)

#### Ex.A. No. 87 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 859 of 2021

#### Ex Nb Sub Jai Kumar Panicker

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

**Union of India & Others** By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>06.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</li> <li>It is submitted by learned counsel for the parties that stay order has been passed by the Hon'ble High Court.</li> <li>Since there is stay order of the Hon'ble High Court, list this case on 21.04.2025.</li> </ol>
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 95 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 330 of 2022

#### Ex Sep Sanoj S

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>06.01.2025</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.
	3. Affidavit regarding present status of execution application filed on behalf
	of the respondents is taken on record.
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been
	filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which
	notice has been issued but there is no stay order.
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- to file
	compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.
	6. List on <b>22.04.2025.</b>
	7. Let copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 7)

# Ex.A. No. 126 of 2023 with M.A. No. 405 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 41 of 2021

#### Ex Nb Sub Chandra Bali

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

### Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	06.01.2025
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.
	3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that compliance is
	to be made by the respondent No. 5.
	4. Today, none is present for the respondents No. 5. Case is proceeded
	ex-parte against respondent No. 5, i.e. Union Bank of India, Bareilly Cantt.
	5. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week time
	to move application to implead CPPC, Union Bank of India as respondent.
	6. List on <b>22.04.2025.</b>
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 8)

Ex.A. No. 201 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 43 of 2022

# Ex Sgt. Atul Kumar

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D S Tiwari, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>06.01.2025</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	<u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>
	1. List revised.
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri D S Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents,
	through virtual mode, are present.
	3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.
	4. Despite availing several opportunities, compliance report has also not
	been filed.
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- to file
	compliance affidavit.
	6. Respondent area directed to file affidavit regarding present status of this
	case within two weeks failing which respondents shall deposit additional cost of
	Rs. 10,000/
	7. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till
	next date of listing.
	8. List on <b>23.04.2025.</b>
	9. Let copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 9)

# Ex.A. No. 285 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 675 of 2022

#### Ex NK Rambeer Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

### Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
Regiony	
	<u>06.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey,
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the court.
	2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that Writ Petition
	has been filed against the order of this before the Hon'ble High Court in which
	stay order has been passed.
	3. Since the order has been stayed by the Hon'ble High Court,
	respondents are directed to bring this fact on record and deposit all the cost
	due against them till next date of listing.
	4. List on <b>23.04.2025.</b>
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 10)

### Ex.A. No. 322 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 963 of 2022

Ex Sub Maj (RT) Ran Bahadur Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	06.01.2025
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	<u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present through virtual mode.
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.
	3. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that Writ Petition has been
	filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court and cost
	of Rs. 5000/- has been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited by the
	respondents shall be remitted to the applicant.
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks further time to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any on payment of
	cost of Rs. 10,000/
	5. List on <b>24.04.2025.</b>
	6. Let copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 11)

#### Ex.A. No. 67 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1055 of 2022

## Ex MWO Ombir Singh Upadhyay

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Shri R K Singh, Advocate

#### Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R C Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>06.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri R C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Civil Appeal has been
	filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
	4. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record till next of listing
	failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 5000/
	5. List on <b>24.04.2025.</b>
	7. Let copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No.12)

#### O.A. No. 189 of 2024

# Ex Hav Pushkar Singh Bhandari

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>06.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Counter affidavit has not been filed.</li> <li>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that affidavit has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</li> <li>List on 26.03.2025.</li> </ol>
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB

Court No. 1 (SI. No. 13)

### O.A. No. 196 of 2024

#### Ex NK Raj Mukh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R C Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>06.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</li> <li>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents and rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant are taken on record.</li> <li>Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li> <li>Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed of.</li> </ol>
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 14)

#### O.A. No. 211 of 2024

### Lt Col Nand Kishore Mishra

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>06.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing, the applicant appeared in person and submitted that Shri Virat Anand Singh and Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra are no more counsel in this case. He will personally appear in the case. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</li> <li>The applicant is permitted to appear in person.</li> <li>Counter affidavit has not been filed.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</li> <li>Registry is directed to show name of the applicant to appear in person when the case is listed next.</li> <li>List on 26.03.2025.</li> </ol>
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 15)

#### O.A. No.384 of 2024

# JWO Ram Prahlad Roy (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri A K Chuadhary, Advocate Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

#### Versus

### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R C Shukla, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
	06.04	2025	
	<u>06.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1.	<b>c</b> 1	r hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.
	Coun	sel for the applicant and Shri	R C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ondents are present.	
	2.	Counter affidavit filed by the resp	ondents is taken on record.
	3.	Learned counsel for the application	nt submits that he is not inclined to file
	rejoin	nder affidavit.	
	4.	Heard arguments of learned co	unsel for the parties and perused the
	recor	d.	
	5.	Original Application is allowed.	
	6.	Misc. Application(s), pending if a	ny, shall stand disposed of.
	(N SB	laj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 16)

#### O.A. No.534 of 2024

Ex NK Chandra Deo Singh Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate

Shri R K Singh, Advocate Shri D K Dixit, Advocate

## Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	06.01.2025		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	<u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey and Shri RK		
	Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel		
	for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks		
	further time to file counter affidavit.		
	4. List on <b>07.03.2025.</b>		
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
	Member (A) Member (J)		
	SB		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 17)

#### O.A. No. 591 of 2024 with M.A. No. 671 of 2024

#### Ex NK Subash Chandra Pradhan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

### Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	06.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Couns for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the responder are present.</li> <li>The applicant was granted time to file reply to the objection filed by t respondents which has not been filed.</li> </ol>	nts	
	<ol> <li>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two wee further time, as last opportunity, to file reply to the objection, if any.</li> <li>List on 28.02.2025.</li> </ol>	ks	
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 18)

# O.A. No. 708 of 2024

## Sgt. Rivaldo Verma (Retd)

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>06.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Counter affidavit has not been filed.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</li> <li>List on 27.03.2025.</li> </ol>		
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 19)

#### O.A. No. 866 of 2024

#### Ex Sep Dadan Rawat

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>06.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</li> <li>Counter affidavit has not been filed.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</li> <li>List on 27.03.2025.</li> </ol>	
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB	

# <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 20)

#### O.A. No. 943 of 2024

### Ex Sgt Arvind Kumar Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	<u>06.01.2025</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	non ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singn, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.	
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.	
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks	
	further time to file counter affidavit.	
	4. List on <b>28.03.2025.</b>	
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 21)

#### O.A. No. 944 of 2024

Smt. Anjali W/o Ex NK Sanjeev Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

### Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	<u>06.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>	
	1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra and Shri Madan Kumar Swarnkar on behalf of the respondent No. 6 is taken	
	on record.	
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for	
	the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents, through virtual mode, and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel	
	for the respondent No. 6 are present.	
	3. Counter affidavit has not been filed.	
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks	
	further time to file counter affidavit.	
	5. List on <b>28.03.2025.</b>	
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)(Justice Anil Kumar)Member (A)Member (J)SB	

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 22)

### O.A. No. 945 of 2024

### Ex NK Santosh Kumar Rai

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	06.01.2025		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	<u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for		
	the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through		
	virtual mode, are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have		
	been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file		
	counter affidavit.		
	4. List on <b>28.03.2025.</b>		
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 23)

O.A. No. 946 of 2024

Hav Sujith V

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri G C Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G S Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	06.01.2025	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri G C Tripathi, Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant and Shri G S Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are	
	present through virtual mode.	
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.	
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have	
	been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file	
	counter affidavit.	
	4. List on <b>28.03.2025.</b>	
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)	
	Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 24)

#### O.A. No. 1157 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1295 of 2024

## Gp Capt K V Rama Rao (Retd)

Union of India & Others

Applicant Gp Capt Y Venugopal (Retd), Advocate Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Gp Capt Y Venugopal (Retd), Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>06.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents		
	are present.		
	2. Respondents were granted time to file objection on maintainability of this		
	case which has not been filed.		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks		
	further time, as last opportunity, to file objection, if any.		
	4. List on <b>06.03.2025</b> .		
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)(Justice Anil Kumar)Member (A)Member (J)SB		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 25)

#### O.A. No. 1170 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1316 of 2024

## Ex Sep Ram Lal Prasad

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

#### Versus

_	Versus			
Union of India & Others Respondents Respondents Respondents				
Notes of	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry	<i>y</i>			
	06.01.2025			
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
	Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Roh	iit Kumar, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Couns	sel for the respondents		
	are present.			
	M.A. No. 1316 of 2024			
	2. There is delay of 04 months and 03 days in filin	g Original Application.		
	The applicant has moved application for condoning the d	elay.		
	3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused	I the record.		
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that do	elay caused in filing of		
	Original Application is not deliberate, but on account	of reasons stated in		
	affidavit filed in support of application.			
	5. We are of the view that ground shown in affida	avit filed in support of		
	application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is	s allowed and delay in		
	filing application is condoned. Delay condonation applic	ation stands disposed		
	of.			
	<u>O.A. No. 1170 of 2024</u>			
	6. This Original Application has been filed for grant o	f disability pension.		
	7. Matter needs adjudication.			
	8. Admit.			
	9. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted	four weeks time to file		
	counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be	filed within two weeks		
	thereafter.			
	10. List on <b>07.04.2025.</b>			
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil	•		
		iber (J)		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 26)

#### O.A. No. 986 of 2023

## Hav Jadhav Ajit Shrirang

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>06.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</li> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Judgment reserved.</li> </ol>	
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB	

### Union of India & Others

# <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 27)

#### O.A. No. 1308 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1873 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Harisudan Thapa

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate Shri Raghuveer Singh, Advocate

#### Versus

### **Union of India & Others**

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal				
Registry					
	06.01.2025				
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)				
	1. C	On the case being taken up f	or hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the				
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.				
	2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.				
	3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, two weeks time is				
	granted to apprise the court about dates/period of leave of the applicant.				
	4. List for hearing on <b>24.02.2025</b> .				
	(Maj. G	Sen. Sanjay Singh)	(Justice Anil Kumar)		
	SB	Member (A)	Member (J)		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 28)

O.A. No. 58 of 2024

#### Ex NK Ashok Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal				
	<u>06.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>				
	1. List revised.				
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel				
	for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are				
	present.				
	3. The case is listed today for final hearing.				
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week time				
	to file reply to the supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents.				
	5. List for hearing on <b>17.02.2025</b> .				
	(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)				

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 29)

#### T.A. No. 24 of 2024

### Ex JWO Pramod Kumar Dwivedi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Orders of the Tribunal				
<u>06.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>				
1.	On the case being taken up f	or hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for		
the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents				
are present.				
2.	Rejoinder affidavit filed on be	half of the applicant is taken on record.		
3.	Heard arguments of learned	counsel for the parties and perused the		
record.				
4. Transferred Application is partly allowed.				
5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed of.				
(Ma		(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)		
	06.01. Hon'b Hon'b 1. the ap are pro 2. 3. record 4. 5.	<ul> <li><u>06.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, M</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, M</u></li> <li>1. On the case being taken up for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kuma are present.</li> <li>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on beind 3. Heard arguments of learned record.</li> <li>4. Transferred Application is part 5. Misc. Application(s), pending</li> <li>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</li> </ul>		