

Dairy No. 4269 of 2024

Ex. Sub Daya Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and also perused the record.</p> <p>3. In this case, Registry has raised objection that impugned order is not legible.</p> <p>4. By arguments, Id. Counsel for the applicant appraise the Tribunal that rejection letter is also referred in Annexure A-6 which is annexed with this O.A. Objection raised by the Registry has been rectified by the applicant.</p> <p>5. Registry is directed to register this case as Original Application.</p> <p>6. List on 29.01.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)
O.A. No. 13 of 2025

Ex.NK Ved Prakash Upadhyay
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the records.</div><div>3. As reported by Registry, there is delay of more than 15 years in filing of this Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file objection on the delay condonation application.</div><div>5. List on 20.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 17 of 2025 with M.A. No. 15 of 2025

Ex. Rect. /MT Manoj Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>M.A. No. 15 of 2025</div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. There is delay of 02 years and 05 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div>O.A. No. 17 of 2025</div><div>6. This application has been filed for grant of disability element of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>7. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>8. Admit.</div><div>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>10. List on 07.04.2025.</div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 20 of 2025

Hav (Nur Astt) Kamal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Yogesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Dheeraj Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :
Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. This application has been filed for grant of premature discharge of the applicant from service.</div><div>4. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>5. Admit.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>7. It is submitted on behalf of the applicant that the spelling of the name of the applicant's counsel has wrongly been printed in the cause list as Shri Dheeraj Kumar Pandey instead of Shri Dhiraj Kumar Pandey. Registry is directed to correct the name of the Id. Counsel for the applicant accordingly in the next cause list.</div><div>8. List on 08.04.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	<div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)
O.A. No. 21 of 2025 with M.A. No. 18 of 2025

L/Dfr Mahendra Chakrawarti (Retd)Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present before the Court.</div><div>M.A. No. 18 of 2025</div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. There is delay of 11 months and 05 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div>O.A. No. 21 of 2025</div><div>6. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>7. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>8. Admit.</div><div>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>10. List on 08.04.2025.</div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 22 of 2025 with M.A. No. 19 of 2025

Col. Rajesh Maliwal (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present before the Court.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection that impugned order has not been challenged in this O.A.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to move amendment application.</div><div>5. List on 21.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 24 of 2025 with M.A. No. 21 of 2025

Sgt. Mahesh Kuamr Sigirsetti (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate
Shri D k Pandey, Advocate
Shri Yogesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Versus
Respondents
Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div></div><div><div>M.A. No. 21 of 2025</div><div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. There is delay of 11 months and 11 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div></div><div><div>O.A. No. 24 of 2025</div><div><div>6. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension.</div><div>7. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>8. Admit.</div><div>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>10.Ld. Counsel for the applicant further submits that the name of the applicant's counsel has wrongly been mentioned in the cause list as Shri</div></div></div></div>

	<p>DK Pandey instead of Shri Dhiraj Kumar Pandey. Registry is directed to correct the name of the Id. Counsel for the applicant accordingly in the next cause list.</p> <p>11.List on 08.04.2025.</p> <div><div><p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div> <p>RK/-</p>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)
O.A. No. 26 of 2025 with M.A. No. 23 of 2025

Sgt. Manish Negi (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>M.A. No. 23 of 2025</div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. There is delay of one year in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div>O.A. No. 26 of 2025</div><div>6. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>7. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>8. Admit.</div><div>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>10. List on 09.04.2025.</div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 27 of 2025 with M.A. No. 24 of 2025

Ex Hav Sahdeo PrasadApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div></div><div><div>M.A. No. 24 of 2025</div><div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. There is no delay in filing of Original Application. However delay condonation application has been filed which is rejected as not required.</div></div></div><div><div>O.A. No. 27 of 2025</div><div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection that 'Records' has not been impleaded in this O.A.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed a weeks' time to take steps to implead 'Records' in the array of parties.</div><div>7. List on 05.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 28 of 2025 with M.A. No. 25 of 2025

Sub Manjan Kumar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents raised objection on the maintainability of the O.A on the ground that as stated in the O.A, first appeal has been preferred by the applicant on 01.05.2024 but it is not clear whether the same has been decided or is still pending.</div><div>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant has preferred first appeal for grant of disability pension to the Record Office by hand on 01.05.2024. As per Section 21 (2)(b) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, the instant O.A is mature and is eligible to be adjudicated by this Tribunal as the period of six months have lapsed but no decision on his first appeal has been communicated to the applicant.</div><div>5. In view of above, objection raised by the respondents are set aside.</div><div><div>M.A. No. 25 of 2025</div><div>6. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>7. There is delay of 03 months and 13 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>8. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div></div></div>

O.A. No. 28 of 2025

- 9. This application has been filed for grant of disability element of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.
- 10. Case needs adjudication.
- 11. Admit.
- 12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 13. List on **09.04.2025**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex.A. No. 109 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 545 of 2022

Ex PO ELR Pankaj Kumar Mishra

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost i.e. Rs. 35,000/- due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>5. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>6. List on 29.04.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.2)

Ex.A. No. 166 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 501 of 2022

Ex MWO Surendra Nath Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 17.04.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex.A. No. 231 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 623 of 2022

Ex MCAA –II (Hony Sub Lt) Ram Naresh Maurya

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost has also not been deposited.</div><div>3. Responsible Officer appears on behalf of the respondents through virtual mode submits that cost due against the respondents shall be deposited shortly.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court but there is not stay order passed by the Hon’ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 29.04.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex.A. No. 270 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 614 of 2022

Ex POA (AH) Ramesh Chander

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present today. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 29.04.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 271 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 737 of 2022

Ex CPO HS Munder Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued. She prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 29.04.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 272 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 02 of 2023

Ex MC EAR II Bhushan Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 29.04.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 303 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 429 of 2022

ERA3 Ajay Kumar (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 29.04.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	<div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex.A. No. 304 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 896 of 2022

Col. Kamlesh Chauhan (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate
Shri R K Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court. She prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 10,000/- has been deposited in the month of November 2024 by the respondents. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on 24.04.2025.</p> <p>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 453 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 116 of 2022

Ex MCEAP-1 Umesh Katiyar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate
Shri R K Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 29.04.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex.A. No. 468 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 309 of 2022

Ex MCPO-I (GS) Mahesh Chandra Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 29.04.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex.A. No. 502 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 298 of 2022

Ex CPO WTR Brij Kishore Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasana Mishra, Advocate
Shri A K Chaudhary, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Status report has also not been filed. Cost has not been deposited. 3. Responsible Officer appeared on behalf of the respondents through virtual mode submits that cost shall be deposited shortly and present status of the case shall also be filed within two weeks. 4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ Status report on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 5. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them and also filed an affidavit regarding present status of the case by the next date of listing. 6. List on 29.04.2025. 7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="389 2171 823 2239" style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="1047 2171 1343 2239" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p data-bbox="349 2276 402 2298">RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 12)

Ex.A. No. 503 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1113 of 2022

MCME I (Hony Sub Lt) Kanuri Dhayananda (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost has also not been deposited. Affidavit with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, has also not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ status report of this case, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them and file present status of the case by the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 29.04.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

Ex.A. No. 14 of 2024 Inre :O.A. No. 1108 of 2022

MCEAA-II (Hony Sub Lt) Harendra Prasad (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them and file an affidavit with regard to present status of the case, by the next date of listing.</p> <p>5. List on 29.04.2025.</p> <p>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

Ex.A. No. 224 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 408 of 2022

Rect Rinku Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Arvind Kumar Jauhari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Arvind Kumar Jauhari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 28.04.2025.</div><div>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 31 of 2024

Sgt. Mohammad Hadish (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. This O.A has been admitted on 11.01.2024. Since then, despite availing several opportunities Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Today, it is the last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on 26.03.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 387 of 2024

Sgt. Vinod Kumar Verma Rdo Fit (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</p> <p>5. O.A is Partly Allowed.</p> <p>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 397 of 2024

Sub Manoj Kumar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. O.A is Partly Allowed.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 547 of 2024

Rect (Gnr) Sandeep Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Arvind Kumar Jauhari, Advocate
Shri Ashuja Gupta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Arvind Kumar Jauhari , Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Departmental Representative submits that parawise comments have been forwarded to the Id. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>5. List on 27.03.2025 .</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

O.A. No. 602 of 2024

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K K S Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. 2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks. 3. List on 27.03.2025. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="336 1481 821 1569"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="821 1481 1464 1569"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

O.A. No. 603 of 2024

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity. 4. List on 27.03.2025. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="376 1542 823 1607" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1060 1542 1349 1607" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 613 of 2024 with M.A. No. 705 of 2024

Sgt. Mahaveer Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Vishnu kant Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. List for further hearing on 27.03.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 633 of 2024

Maj Gen Rajeev Sharma (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawsie comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 15.04.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 686 of 2024 with M.A. No. 784 of 2024

Ex Hav (MP) Hire Yogeshwar Nanaji Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised objection regarding territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal to entertain this case. Respondents were granted time to file objection which has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as one more last opportunity to file objection, if any.</p> <p>5. List on 05.03.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 558 of 2018

NK (Amb Asst) Ram Prasad Ahirwar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. This case is listed today for physical hearing. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court but respondents’ counsel is present through virtual mode.</div><div>3. On the request of Id. Counsel for the respondents, case is adjourned for the day.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is directed to be present physically on the next date or file his written submission till the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List for physical hearing on 18.03.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 450 of 2021

Rect Md Nurulhoda Ansari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Shrikant Mishra, Advocate
Shri Amarnath Tiwari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shrikant Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the parties were directed to file their written submissions which have not been filed.</div><div>3. Departmental Representative present on behalf of the respondents submits that the arguing counsel is out of station and seeks adjournment.</div><div>4. Case is adjourned accordingly.</div><div>5. Written submissions may be filed by the parties till the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 19.03.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 1174 of 2023

Col. Jeetendra Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Col. R N Tiwari (Retd), Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. R N Tiwari (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Opportunity to file counter affidavit has already been closed on 17.10.2024.</div><div>3. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>4. O.A is Partly Allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 84 of 2024

Ex Sepoy Gaurav KumarApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K Misra, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.01.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K. K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit which has not yet been filed.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. O.A is Allowed.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 95 of 2024

Lt. Col Shivendra Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : In Person

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing applicant is present in person and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Ld. counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding maintainability of the O.A before this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to clarify the facts and to file his written submissions alongwith legal provisions.</p> <p>4. List on 20.03.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>