

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 286 of 2025 with M.A. No. 352 of 2025

Maj Soumya Mukherjee (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>08.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra holding brief for Shri JL Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Respondent No. 4 is not correctly impleaded. He prays for and is granted two weeks and no more time to seek instructions and to file objection. He is also directed to provide the correct name and address of the Respondent No. 4.</div><div>4. List this case under the heading of ‘New Admission’ on 02.05.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 289 of 2025 with M.A. No. 355 of 2025

WO Vikram Singh (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

HFO Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate
Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div><div>M.A. No. 355 of 2025</div><div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. There is delay of 01 month and 18 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div><div><div>O.A. No. 289 of 2025</div><div><div>6. This application has been filed for grant of disability element of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>7. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>8. Admit.</div><div>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>10. List on 11.08.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div></div></div></div>

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 290 of 2025 with M.A. No. 356 of 2025

Ex Rect Mohammad Vakeel (Invalid)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :
Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 23 years 01 month and 16 days in filing of Original Application as reported by the Registry. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks’ time to seek instructions and to file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. List on 09.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 5)

**M.A. No. 354 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 209 of 2025
With M.A. No. 246 of 2025**

Ex Rect Nikhil Singh Tomar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. Ld. counsel for the applicant has moved a recall application for recall of the order dated 25.03.2025 vide which O.A was dismissed in non-prosecution.4. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.5. Perusal of the file reveals that the recall application has been filed within time. Ld. counsel for the respondents has no objection to the recall application.6. Recall application is allowed. Registry is directed to restore the original application to its original number.7. Accordingly, MA No. 354/2025 is disposed of.8. List this O.A on 10.07.2025. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 6)
M.A. No. 357 of 2025 with M.A. No. 358 of 2025
Inre: O.A. No. 586 of 2021

Sub Lt Ashish Kumar Pandey (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Puru Modgil, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>08.04.2025</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div> <div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div> <div>4. This application has been filed for hearing the O.A No. 586/2021 in terms of order dated 20.02.2024 passed by the Hon’ble Allahabad High Court, Lucknow Bench. It is submitted by the applicant that copy of the order dated 20.02.2024 of Hon’ble High Court is also annexed with this application. Delay condonation application has also been filed by the applicant.</div> <div>5. Vide order dated 20.02.2024 passed by the Hon’ble High Court in Writ A- No. 1290 of 2024, writ petition filed by the applicant has been allowed and order dated 23.03.2023 passed in O.A No. 586/2021 by this Tribunal has been set aside. The case has been remanded back by the Hon’ble High Court to this Tribunal for hearing the matter afresh. Parties were directed to appear before this Tribunal on 04.03.2024 but none of the parties appeared on that date before this Tribunal.</div> <div>6. This application has been moved before this Tribunal after about one year of passing of the order of the Hon’ble High Court. Delay condonation application has also been filed by the applicant.</div> <div>7. It is just and proper to hear the parties in compliance of the order of the Hon’ble High Court.</div> <div>8. List the O.A for hearing on 08.05.2025.</div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex-A. No. 98 of 2021 Inre: O.A. No. 202 of 2019

Ex Sep Jiledar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present today. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.This execution application is very old case pending before this Tribunal for implementation of the order dated 18.01.2021 passed by this Bench. Despite availing several opportunities, order has not been implemented by the respondents. Entire cost due on the respondents has not been deposited. It is submitted by Departmental Representative that cost of Rs. 40,000/- has been deposited in the Registry.ADG (PS), IHQ, MoD and Secretary-DESW, MoD were directed to be present before this Tribunal through virtual mode for explaining the reasons of delay in implementation of the order but due to maintenance reasons virtual hearing is not available today. Affidavit of ADG (PS), MoD has been filed by the respondents’ counsel which is taken on record.It is submitted that writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court which is listed for hearing but there is no stay order passed by the Hon’ble High Court.Perusal of the file reveals that more than four years have lapsed from the date of order but compliance has not been made by the respondents. There is no definite period of disposal of writ petition filed before the Hon’ble High Court.In view of above, respondents are duty bound to implement the order conditionally subject to final outcome of the writ petition otherwise it may be deemed that they are not implementing the order intentionally.Respondents are directed to comply the order subject to outcome of the writ petition or file stay order of the Hon’ble High Court, if any. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them

	<p>in the Registry. Cost of Rs. 5000/- out of total cost deposited by the respondents till yet shall be remitted to the AFT Bar Association, Lucknow and rest of the deposited cost shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>8. Respondents shall file conditional compliance affidavit within four weeks failing which they are required to show cause as to why proceedings u/s 19(1) of AFT Act 2007 and Section 25 of AFT (Procedure) Rules 2008 be not initiated against them.</p> <p>9. List on 01.09.2025.</p> <p>10. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>11. Copy of this order shall also be forwarded to the ADG (PS), IHQ, MoD and Secretary-DESW, MoD for information and necessary compliance.</p> <p>12. Personal presence of ADG (PS), IHQ, MoD and Secretary-DESW, MoD is hereby exempted.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>
--	---

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex-A. No. 345 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 650 of 2020

Ex Sep Sachida Nand Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This execution application is pending for implementation of the order dated 31.08.2021 passed by this Tribunal. Several opportunities were granted to the respondents but compliance affidavit has not been filed. It is submitted that during the pendency of this execution case, applicant has died on 02.01.2023 and only legal heir is his wife. Substitution application alongwith delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant’s counsel and application of setting aside the abatement has also been filed which are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Heard the Ld. counsel for the parties on application for setting aside abatement, substitution and delay condonation application moved on behalf of the applicant and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay in filing the substitution application for which delay condonation application has been moved. Cause of delay mentioned in the delay condonation application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p>5. Substitution application is supported with an affidavit and death certificate of the deceased. There is sufficient ground to allow the substitution application, hence, Substitution application is allowed. Applicant’s counsel is directed to carry out the substitution within a week.</p> <p>6. There is also sufficient ground to allow application for setting aside the abatement. Application for setting aside the abatement is allowed.</p> <p>7. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>8. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition has been filed</p>

	<p>against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court in which stay order has been passed. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record within two weeks alongwith copy of the stay order passed by the Hon’ble High Court.</p> <p>9. Since, there is stay order passed by the Hon’ble High Court, list this case on 01.09.2025.</p> <div><div><p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div> <p>RK/-</p>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A. No. 369 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 382 of 2021

Ex Sep (DSC) Sushil Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the order has been complied with.</div><div>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant conceded the fact that the order has been complied with and payment has been made to the applicant but contended that the cost of Rs. 15,000/- is still due against the respondents.</div><div>5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 08.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 13 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 537 of 2022

Ex Rect Ram Moorat Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant

Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate

Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents

Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today. Departmental Representative is present on behalf of the respondents.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. It is submitted that statutory complaint has been disposed of by the Chief of Army Staff by order dated 02.05.2024. Copy of the order has been annexed with compliance affidavit but it is not a signed copy.</div><div>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant has raised objection that order has not been signed by the Chief of Army Staff. Respondents were directed to clarify the position but no clarification has been submitted by the respondents.</div><div>5. Now, Respondents are directed to file signed copy of the order dated 02.05.2024 within two weeks failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry.</div><div>6. List on 16.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 178 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 995 of 2022

Maj Uma Shankar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra holding brief for Shri JL Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which stay order has been passed.</div><div>4. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record within two weeks alongwith copy of the stay order.</div><div>5. List on 02.09.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 251 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 343 of 2019

Ex Sep Shamsher Shahi

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. ADG (Infantry) was directed to be present through virtual mode. Affidavit of ADG (Infantry), IHQ, MOD has been submitted which is taken on record.</div><div>4. It is submitted that compliance of the order is under process and matter has been forwarded to PIFA, Government sanction is awaited.</div><div>5. It is also submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 20,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit / affidavit regarding present status of the case, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>8. Personal presence of ADG (Infantry), IHQ, MoD is hereby exempted.</div><div>9. List on 28.07.2025.</div><div>10. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 441 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 48 of 2023

Ex WO Vinod Kumar Chaurasia

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the present status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 02.09.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 443 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 751 of 2022

Ex Sgt Gyanendu Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is yet to be listed. She prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. It is also submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 10,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry in March 2025. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit with regard to the present status of the execution case.</div><div>6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>7. List on 03.09.2025.</div><div>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 98 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 225 of 2023

Ex Sub Ash Mohammad Khan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. It is also submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 20,000/- has been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>6. List on 03.09.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 211 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 150 of 2023

Col (Dr.) Ritu Bakshi (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd) Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>5. List on 04.09.2025.</p> <p>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex-A. No. 320 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 923 of 2022

Ex Sub Amar Nath YadavaApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit with regard to the status of the execution filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court in which stay order has been passed. Copy of the stay order is annexed with the status report filed by the respondents.</div><div>5. List on 04.09.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 12)

Ex-A. No. 321 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 860 of 2023

Ex Sub Huidrom Bishorjit Meitei
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Purna Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Purna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Affidavit with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is yet to be listed. She prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 04.09.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

Ex-A. No. 480 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 145 of 2024

Maj M Prema (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><u>08.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div> <div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 08.09.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

Ex-A. No. 481 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 715 of 2023

Brig Purna Chandra Jena (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 08.09.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 45 of 2024

HFO Arun Pal Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ankur Rawat holding brief for Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents and rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant are taken on record.</div><div>3. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>4. O.A is Partly allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 110 of 2024

Ex Nb Sub Sanjay Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal holding brief for Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. Time was granted to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>5. List for final hearing on 15.05.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 599 of 2024 with M.A. No. 681 of 2024

Ex Nk Sutesh SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 01.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 625 of 2024

Nk (NA) Harish Gahlawat
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 10.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 684 of 2024

Ex Sub Vinay Kumar Maurya
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed. Opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit is hereby closed.</div><div>3. List on 09.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 884 of 2024

Ex Sep Bhagwan Giri
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has received the parawise comments. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 28.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 935 of 2024

Air Cmde Shrawan Kumar Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 28.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 20 of 2025

Hav (Nur Asst) Kamal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Yogesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Dhiraj Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has received the parawise comments. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 29.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 21 of 2025

L/Dfr Mahendra Chakrawarti (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 30.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 24 of 2025

Sgt Mahesh Kumar Sigirisetti (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate
Shri Dhiraj Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Yogesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Versus

Respondents

Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.04.2025 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 31.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

Applicant

Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. SN Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. SN Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. At the outset, Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the instant O.A. may be disposed of as applicant is only seeking decision on the representation/appeal dated 15.08.2024 preferred by him and ends of justice would be served if a direction is given by this Tribunal to the respondents/competent authority to consider and dispose of the pending appeal dated 15.08.2024 of the applicant attached as Annexure A-1 to this O.A. which may be decided by the Respondents by way of reasoned and speaking order within a stipulated period of time. 3. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to this and agrees with the submission of the applicant. 4. In view of the above innocuous prayer of the applicant, respondents/competent authority is directed to consider and decide the representation/ appeal dated 15.08.2024 of the applicant (Annexure A-1) and pass a reasoned and speaking order according to law, if not already decided, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, under intimation forthwith to the applicant. 5. It is made clear that nothing has been commented upon the merits of the case. 6. Applicant shall be at liberty to approach proper forum if he is not satisfied with the decision of the respondents passed on his representation. 7. The OA is disposed of accordingly. 8. Copy of the order be provided to the Id. counsel for the parties. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="389 2376 821 2440" style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="1115 2376 1404 2440" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</p> <p>2. List on 01.07.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 477 of 2021

Ex EA (P)3 Sarvesh Kumar Verma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Applicant in person

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Applicant is present in person. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. List on 14.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 1168 of 2023 with M.A. No. 242 of 2025

Ex Gdr Himanshu Rajawat

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Id. counsel for the parties are not present today.</div><div>2. List for final hearing on 14.05.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 236 of 2024

Pnr (GD) Rohit Kumar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Judgement Reserved.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>