

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 1)

Applicant

Shri LMS Bist, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<p>Notes of the Registry</p>	<p>Orders of the Tribunal</p>
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Kumar Sinha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This Original Application has been filed against the order of dismissal of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. There is delay of more than 06 years in filing Original Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding incorrect impleadment of respondent No. 4 as Commanding Officer, 87 Garhwal Rifles, Lansdowne (Uttarakhand) whereas correct address of respondent No. 4 is Commanding Officer 7 Garhwal Rifles.</p> <p>7. Applicant is directed to rectify impleadment of respondent No. 4.</p> <p>8. List on 17.07.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 3)
O.A. No. 292 of 2025 with M.A. No. 361 of 2025

Ex Nk Hatkar Shantaram Pandurang
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 361 of 2025</u></p> <p>3. There is delay of 01 year, 02 months and 01 day in filing Original Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits thatdelay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 292 of 2025</u></p> <p>7. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension.</p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 01.08.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 124 of 2025 Inre:O.A. No. 987 of 2024

Ex Nk Gambhir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the applicant submits that he intends to withdraw this execution application with permission to file afresh.</div><div>5. Prayer of the applicant is allowed.</div><div>6. Execution application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 125 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 1048 of 2023

Ex Nk Pramod Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present. Maj AS Bajwa, Departmental Representative is present. 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and perused the record. 4. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the applicant submits that he intends to withdraw this execution application with permission to file afresh. 5. Prayer of the applicant is allowed. 6. Execution application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 126 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 592 of 2021

Ex Hav Kamal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the applicant submits that he intends to withdraw this execution application with permission to file afresh.</p> <p>5. Prayer of the applicant is allowed.</p> <p>6. Execution application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 127 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 690 of 2024

Ex WO Parasuram Giri Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the applicant submits that he intends to withdraw this execution application with permission to file afresh.</p> <p>5. Prayer of the applicant is allowed.</p> <p>6. Execution application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 319 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 127 of 2024

Ex L/NK Vinod Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Arvind K Tripathi, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Keshav Sharma, Advocate holding brief of Shri Arvind K Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis not present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned.</div><div>3. List this case on 24.04.2025for early hearing as a fresh case.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div><div>SB</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 9)

M.A. No. 359 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 98 of 2025

Nk Satendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Akar Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Akar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 359 of 2025</u></p> <p>2. This application has been moved by the applicant to expedite the date fixed for hearing and list for early hearing of the case.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. In this case, 13.05.2025 is already fixed for hearing. Presently due to functioning of only one Bench, large number of cases are listed for hearing as per roster, therefore, early hearing in this case is not possible.</p> <p>5. In view of the above fact, we are of the view that there is no sufficient ground to expedite the date of hearing in this case, application is liable to be dismissed. Accordingly, early hearing application is rejected.</p> <p>6. List the case for hearing on its already fixed date, i.e. 13.05.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>SB</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> <p>Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

Diary No. 2519 of 2024

Ex WMO Basavanneppa Basappa MaledApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate
Shri Mridul Pandey, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</div><div>2. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned.</div><div>3. List on 01.05.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 2)

Ex-A. No. 152 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 21 of 2018

Ex Nk / SKT Uma Shankar Yagik Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Time was granted to the applicant to carry out amendment within a week and to take step for notices which has not been taken.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to carry out amendment and take step for notices to Treasury Office, Banda and Chitrakoot and CPPC of the Bank.</p> <p>4. After impleadment of the parties, notices shall be issued by the Registry to newly added parties.</p> <p>5. List on 09.07.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A. No. 242 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 56 of 2019

Ex Spr Bharat Chandra Biswas Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and Shri Rajeev Tiwari, SAO, PCDA (P) Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Objection filed by the applicant on compliance report is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that order has not been complied with fully.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that case has been processed to PCDA (P) Prayagraj for issuance of Corrigendum PPO.</p> <p>6. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record within two weeks.</p> <p>7. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, SAO, PCDA (P) submits that two weeks time be granted to seek instruction and to apprise the Tribunal about issuance of the PPO.</p> <p>8. Respondentsarealso directed to file copy of RSMB within two weeks.</p> <p>9. List on 02.07.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 146 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 595 of 2022

Ex WO Dhirendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. 3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court but there is no stay order. 4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/-to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any. 5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing. 6. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 20,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Rs. 5000/-,out of the cost deposited by the respondents, shall be remitted to the AFT Bar Association, Lucknow and rest amount shall be remitted to the applicant. 7. List on 01.09.2025. 8. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 244 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 735 of 2021

Ex Nb Ris Ram Babu Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. SN Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ld. Counsel for the parties are not present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, SAO, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is present. 2. Compliance affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. 3. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, SAO, PCDA (P) Prayagraj submits that order has been complied with. 4. Objection, if any, may be filed within two weeks. 5. List on 11.07.2025. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 1636 782 1714"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> </div> <div data-bbox="862 1636 1216 1714"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 260 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 862 of 2022

Ex Sgt Ajeet Kumar Dwivedi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present. Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is yet to be listed.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/-to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</div><div>5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div><div>6. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 10,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited, shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>7. List on 02.09.2025.</div><div>8. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 392 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 288 of 2022

Ex L/NK Aher Ganpat Chhagan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. 3. Affidavit regarding present status of this case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. 4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is yet to be listed. 5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/-to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any. 6. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing. 7. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 15,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited, shall be remitted to the applicant. 8. List on 03.09.2025. 9. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 395 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1065 of 2022

Ex WO Chandra Bhusan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present. Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. 3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is yet to be listed. 4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/-to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any. 5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing. 6. List on 03.09.2025. 7. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 66 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 532 of 2023

Ex Sgt Ram Prawesh Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	<p>Orders of the Tribunal</p> <p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Affidavit regarding present status of this case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is yet to be listed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/-to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing. List on 04.09.2025. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>
-----------------------	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 212 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 797 of 2023

WO Ranvir Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/-to file compliance affidavit and affidavit regarding present status of this execution case.</div><div>4. List on 04.09.2025.</div><div>5. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

Ex-A. No. 426 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1254 of 2024
Inre: O.A. No. 1018 of 2023

Lt Col Ashoke Kumar Saha (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajai Kumar Paney, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and amendment application filed by the applicant and perused the record.</div><div>3. This application has been moved to rectify the typographical mistake in execution application which will not change the nature of the case.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.</div><div>5. We are of the view that there is sufficient ground to allow the application, accordingly, application is allowed.</div><div>6. Applicant is directed to carry out amendment in execution application within two weeks.</div><div>7. List on 16.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 12)

Ex-A. No. 431 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 805 of 2021

Smt. Hema Rani D/o Late Jagdish Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process and presently it is pending before PS-4. 3. Respondents are directed to file affidavit regarding present status of this case. 4. Applicant was directed to file certified copy of the order which has not been filed. 5. Today, learned counsel for the applicant has provided certified copy of the order without an affidavit for perusal of the Bench. 6. List on 04.09.2025. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 1150 of 2023

Col Sandeep Kumar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Today is last opportunity to file counter affidavit but despite availing several opportunities, counter affidavit has not been filed. Opportunity to file counter affidavit is closed.</div><div>4. List this case for final hearing on 17.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1500 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2165 of 2023

Ex L/NK Ram Kumar Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate
Shri AK Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Vinod Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri AK Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 3 is present. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1, 2 & 4 is not present today. Maj AS Bajwa, Departmental Representative for the respondents No. 1,2&4 is present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2165 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. As reported by the Registry, there is delay of 01 year, 11 months and 02 days in filing Original Application. Delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>3. Time was granted to the respondent No. 3 and respondents No. 1, 2 & 4 to file objection on delay condonation application as well as on maintainability of this Original Application.</p> <p>4. Objection regarding delay condonation application has been filed on behalf of the respondents No. 1, 2 & 4 which is on record but there is no objection regarding maintainability of this Original Application.</p> <p>5. Respondent No. 3 has not filed any objection regarding maintainability as well as on delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>8. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p>

	<p><u>O.A. No. 1500 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. This Original Application has been filed for grant of benefit of AGIF disability scheme.</p> <p>10. There is no objection of the respondents regarding maintainability of this Original Application.</p> <p>11. Points raised by the learned counsel for the applicant require consideration.</p> <p>12. Admit.</p> <p>13. Counter affidavit has already been filed on behalf of the respondents No. 1, 2 & 4 which is taken on record.</p> <p>14. Rejoinder affidavit has also been filed by the applicant to counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondents No. 1, 2 & 4 which is taken on record.</p> <p>15. Respondent No. 3 may file his counter affidavit within four weeks to which rejoinder affidavit may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>16. List on 05.08.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>
--	---

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1534 of 2023

Lt Col Mukesh Babu Pathak (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Shalani Puar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present for the applicant. Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today is last opportunity to file counter affidavit which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time on payment of cost of Rs. 5000/- to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 11.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 259 of 2024

Ex JWO Radha Krishan Upadhyay
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents and rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant are taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Pleadings are complete.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List for final hearing on 07.05.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 348 of 2024

Ex Hav Niraj Kumar Mishra

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. List for final hearing on 27.05.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 353 of 2024

Ex DFR/ ASH Raj Kumar Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 11.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 688 of 2024

Ex WO Vinay Kumar Upadhyay

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Chandan Kumar Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List for final hearing on 06.05.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 787 of 2024

Ex Hav Asha Ram Arya
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri DS Tiwari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri DS Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 15.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 1013 of 2024

Ris (ADT) Prabha Shankar Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Jainendra Pandey, Advocate
Shri Diwakar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Anjani Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present for the applicant.Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 15.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 26of 2025

Sgt Manish Negi (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 31.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 28 of 2025 with M.A. No. 25 of 2025

Sub Manjan Kumar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 31.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

Diary No. 350 of 2025

MWO Kaushal Kishor Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Shukla, Advocate
Shri Gopal Dwivedi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>09.04.2025</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Chandan Kumar Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>2. Applicant was directed to rectify the defects within two weeks which has not been rectified.</div> <div>3. Brief holder prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to rectify the defects.</div> <div>4. List on 11.07.2025.</div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Ex LAC Tejendra Singh Rana Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties at length. Before concluding arguments, we want to peruse Court of Inquiry record in this case. List this case for physical hearing on 30.07.2025 as part-heard case. Respondents are directed to provide Court of Inquiry proceedings for perusal of the Bench on next date of listing. Parties may file written points of arguments till next date of listing alongwith rulings and legal pronouncements, if any. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 187 of 2023

Ex COM-I (Tel) Satyaban Sahoo
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Supplementary affidavit alongwith annexures filed by the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Respondents may file supplementary counter affidavit within two weeks.</div><div>4. Respondents were granted time to file reply to the application filed under Section 14 (4) of AFT Act 2007 which has not been filed.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week further time, as last opportunity, to file reply.</div><div>6. List for final hearing on 17.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 56 of 2024

Ex Nk Deepak Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India& Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>09.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate holding brief of Shri Vinay Pandey Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Today, this case is fixed for final hearing.</div><div>3. Time was granted to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed. Opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit is closed.</div><div>4. List this case for final hearing on 14.05.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

O.A. No. 330 of 2024

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>4. List for final hearing on 01.07.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>