# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 1)

# Ex-A No. 174 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 318 of 2022

# Ex Nk Top Bahadur Thapa

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the Registry				
	<u>16.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)			
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today. Departmental Representative is present on behalf of the respondents.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Departmental Representative submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>It is also submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 10,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the present status of this execution case.</li> <li>Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</li> <li>List on 09.09.2025.</li> <li>Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol>			
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-			

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 2)

# Ex-A. No. 181 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 217 of 2022

# Ex Sub Maj Ajit Kumar Rai

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri RK Singh, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the respondents. Time was granted to the applicant to file objection which has not been filed.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week's further time as a last opportunity to file objection, if any.</li> <li>List on 13.05.2025.</li> </ol>		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 3)

# Ex-A. No. 335 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 248 of 2023

#### **Ex Hav Kailash Chand**

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents present.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that government sanction H been accorded on 08.03.2025 and implementation of the order is un process. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>List on 09.09.2025.</li> <li>Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell compliance of the order.</li> </ol>		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 4)

# Ex-A. No. 336 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 576 of 2023

#### Ex HFO Ashok Kumar Pachuri

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry			
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>List on 11.09.2025.</li> <li>Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol>		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 5)

# Ex-A. No. 337 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 429 of 2024

# Ex AC Pawan Negi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate

Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	<ol> <li>List revised.</li> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit and affidavit regarding present status of the case, on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>List on 11.09.2025.</li> </ol>		
	<ul> <li>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> <li>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</li> </ul>		
	RK/-		

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 6)

# Ex-A. No. 347 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1336 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 727 of 2023

# MWO Gulab Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

## Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others Resp By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal			
	<u>16.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>			
1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld.				
	the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents an present.			
	2. It is submitted by the ld. Counsel for the applicant that the applicant has			
	died on 18.09.2024 and is survived by his wife. Substitution application h			
	been moved. In support of substitution application, death certificate, copy of			
	Adhar card and affidavit have been filed.			
	3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.			
	4. There are sufficient grounds to allow the substitution application. Hence,			
	Substitution application is allowed. Applicant is directed to carry out the			
	amendment(s) in the O.A within two weeks.			
	5. List on <b>09.07.2025</b> .			
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)			
	RK/-			

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 7)

# Ex-A. No. 360 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 315 of 2021

# Ex Sub Maj Samar Pal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal			
	<u>16.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel			
	for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for			
	the respondents is not present today.			
	2. Compliance affidavit filed on behalf of respondents is taken on record.			
	3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to			
	file objection, if any, on compliance affidavit.			
	4. List on <b>10.07.2025</b> .			
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)			
	Member (A) Member (J)			

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 8)

# Ex-A. No. 485 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 807 of 2023

# **Ex WO Amar Singh Yadaw**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal			
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)			
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present today. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit.</li> <li>List on 12.09.2025.</li> </ol>			
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-			

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 9)

# Ex-A. No. 486 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1319 of 2023

# Ex WO Rakesh Narain Shukla

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>16.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal holding brief for Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit.</li> <li>Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li> <li>List on 12.09.2025.</li> </ol>		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 10)

# Ex-A. No. 2 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 1322 of 2023

# Ex Sgt Rauhtash Kumar

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal			
	<u>16.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant.			
	Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.			
	2. Heard the ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.			
	3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.			
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit.			
	<ol> <li>Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li> </ol>			
	6. List on <b>12.09.2025</b> .			
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)			
	RK/-			

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 11)

O.A. No. 128 of 2024

# Ex L Hav Dara Singh Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nishant Verma, Advocate

# Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal				
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)				
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey holding brief for Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant seeks adjournment.</li> <li>On request of applicant's counsel, case is adjourned. It will be the last opportunity to Id. counsel for the applicant to advance his arguments.</li> <li>List on 29.04.2025.</li> </ol>				
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)				

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 12)

O.A. No. 157 of 2024

#### Ex Rect Rohit Kumar

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal				
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)				
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Cour				
	for the applicant is not present today. Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the				
	respondents is present before the Court.				
	2. Time was granted to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit which has no				
	been filed. Opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit is hereby closed.				
	3. Pleadings are complete.				
	4. List for final hearing on <b>10.07.2025</b> .				
	<b>(</b> ///	co Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)	(Justice Anil Kumar)		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kuma Member (A) Member (J)				
	RK/-				

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 13)

# O.A. No. 235 of 2024

# Sgt Ajay Kumar Upadhyay Rdo

Fit (Retd)

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal

the Registry	
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already taken on record.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit which is also taken on record.</li> <li>On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</li> <li>O.A is <b>Dismissed</b>.</li> <li>Detailed order on separate sheets.</li> <li>Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1(</u>SI. No. 14)

# Original Application No. 310 of 2024

Service No. JC-451453F Ex. Sub. Maj. Upendra Kumar Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>16.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</li> <li>Supplementary Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents and Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant are taken on record.</li> <li>Heard Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.) and Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li> <li>The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for issuing direction to the respondents to conduct Re-Assessment Medical Board (RAMB) to ascertain the persistence of disability Hypertension and to grant disability element of disability pension in terms of disability found in RAMB.</li> <li>Briefly stated, facts of the case are that the applicant was enrolled in the Grenadiers Regiment of Indian Army on 27.04.1993 and discharged from the service on 30.09.2023 on fulfilling the conditions of his enrolment under Rule 13(3) Item I (i) (a) of the Army Rules, 1954 after rendering 30 years, 05 months and 07 days of service. The Release Medical Examination held at Military Hospital, Aurangabad on 12.04.2023 assessed the applicant fit to be released from service pension. As per averments made by the applicant in the Original Application, the applicant detected to have Primary Hypertension during his posting at Srinagar (J &amp; K) in July, 2013 and he was treated at 92 Base Hospital. The Medical Board held on 25.07.2013 placed the applicant in low medical category S1H1A1Ps (T-24) for 24 weeks with observation that the onset of hypertensions was due to stress and strain of military service in Cl</li> </ol>
	Operation. The next categorisation Board was due on 09.01.2014 at nearest Military Hospital. The Re-Categorization Medical Board of the applicant was held on 29.01.2014 at 92 Base Hospital, Srinagar and the applicant was placed

in S1H1A1P2 (P) for two years and assessed the degree of disability @30%. The next categorization board was due on 09.01.2016. the applicant was recommended for NSG by his Commanding Officer in 2015 and referred the case for medical opinion. The applicant pointed out to his CO that he is suffering with hypertension and his next categorization is scheduled on 09.01.2016. The applicant was forced to appear before the categorization board in 2015 without keeping in mind the previous opinion of medical board that next categorization board was due in 2016. The applicant's medical board was conducted at 414 Field Hospital on 07.07.2015 without following the instructions of the previous board and the applicant's category was upgraded to SHAPE-1 with effect from 07.07.2015 with Primary Hypertension and advised the applicant to continue with medicine. The applicant was sent to National Security Guards for three years from September, 2016 to September, 2019. The applicant was examined in MI Room of the Grenadiers RC on 19.09.2023 (11 days before the discharge) wherein the applicant was prescribed certain medicine so as to cure the persistent problem. The applicant has been living his life with the persistence of disability Hypertension and is still undergoing consultation and treatment with ECHS and Command Hospital. The applicant has filed copies of relevant medical board proceedings and medical documents. The applicant preferred application dated 14.12.2023 for conduct of Re-Assessment Medical Board which too was rejected vide letter dated 18.01.2024. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Army and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in the Army. The respondents did not conduct Release Medical Board of the applicant while in service even after knowing that the applicant is continuously taking treatment for the aforesaid disability. Thus, the present disability has a strong causal connection that the disability was contacted during the service tenure only. The applicant has manifested with the aforesaid disability i.e. Primary Hypertension during his posting at Srinagar (J & K) in July, 2013 due to stress and strain of military service in CI Operation. Thus, it ought to be considered as attributable to or aggravation by Army Service. He relied upon Para 8(a) of the Entitlement Rules for the Casualty Pensionary Awards to the Armed Forces Personnel, 2008.

6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the applicant has proceeded on discharge in the medical category SHAPE-1 after undergoing Release Medical Examination at his last unit and notably, the applicant did not report any medical complaints during the Board proceedings, which indicates that the applicant was suffering from 'NIL' disease. Before discharge from the service, Release Medical Examination held at Military Hospital, Aurangabad

on 12.04.2023 found that the applicant was in medical category SHAPE1 with no disability detected/claimed. Further, the applicant had not claimed any disability and he has given no such information about his health vide Para 3, 5 & 6 of Part-I (Personal Statement) respectively of AFMSF-18 dated 12.04.2023. As such there is no need for issuing direction to hold Re-Assessment Medical Board (RAMB) to assess the disability of the applicant and the applicant is not entitled for the grant of disability element of disability pension.

7. Para 8(a) of the Entitlement Rules for the Casualty Pensionary Awards to the Armed Forces Personnel, 2008 reads as under :-

# "8. Post discharge claims:

(a) Cases in which a disease was not present at the time of the member's retirement/discharge from service but arose within 7 years thereafter, may be recognized as attributable to service if it can be established by the competent medical authority that the disability is delayed manifestation of a pathological process set in motion by service conditions obtaining prior to discharge."

8. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel of both the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case especially Para 8(a) of the Entitlement Rules for the Casualty Pensionary Awards to the Armed Forces Personnel, 2008, the applicant suffering from the aforesaid disability from July, 2013 and that the applicant was discharged from service on 30.09.2023, we **dispose of** the Original Application finally with a direction to the respondents to conduct Re-Assessment Medical Board (RAMB) of the applicant to assess his disability for deciding entitlement of disability element of disability pension within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

9. Let a copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)

AKD/-

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 15)

# O.A. No. 364 of 2024 with M.A. No. 442 of 2024

# Ex Sep Ram Khilawan

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
rtegietiy	
	<u>16.04.2025</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Maj SM Mustafa (Retd.) holding
	brief for Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh
	Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.
	2. Objection on delay condonation application filed by the respondents is
	taken on record to which the reply, if any, may be filed by the applicant within
	two weeks.
	3. List on <b>30.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

Court No. 1 (SI. No. 16)

# O.A. No. 436 of 2024

# Col Ajay Uniyal (Retd)

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col RC Jain (Veteran), Advocate Ms. Divya Jain, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>16.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Col RC Jain (Veteran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>This case was admitted on 05.04.2024. Despite availing several opportunities counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited.</li> <li>Today, it is the last opportunity to file counter affidavit which has not been filed. Respondents' counsel prays for and is granted further four weeks time as one more last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry.</li> <li>List on 04.08.2025.</li> <li>Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 17)

## O.A. No. 542 of 2024

Sep Aravind Kumar Yadav (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

# Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	40.04.0005
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yogesh Kumar Mishra
	holding brief for Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant
	is present before the Court. Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents is not present today. Departmental Representative is
	present on behalf of the respondents.
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
	3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further two
	weeks time as a last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit.
	4. List on <b>31.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 598 of 2024

# Sub Maj Harihar Singh Yadav

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench on the next date.</li> <li>List on 14.07.2025.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 19)

## O.A. No. 615 of 2024 with M.A. No. 707 of 2024

# Ex Sep Vijendra Singh

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
Кедізсі у	
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Han'ble Mr., Justice Anil Kumer, Member ( ))
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant.
	Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the
	Court.
	2. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.
	3. There is delay of more than 45 years in filing of this Original Application.
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application to
	which the objection has also been filed by the respondents.
	4. We are of the view that delay condonation application shall be
	decided on merit during the final disposal of this original application.
	5. This original application has been filed for grant of disability pension.
	6. Case needs adjudication.
	7. Admit.
	8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has already filed Counter Affidavit
	which is taken on record. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed by
	the applicant within two weeks.
	9. List on <b>07.08.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

Court No. 1 (SI. No. 20)

# O.A. No. 702 of 2024

#### Ex Nk Rakesh R

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.
	3. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to
	file rejoinder affidavit.
	4. List on <b>30.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

# Court No. 1 (SI. No. 21)

# O.A. No. 725 of 2024

# TS NK Durga Prasad (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

# Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>16.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yogesh Kumar Mishra holding brief for Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time as a last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit.</li> <li>List on 29.07.2025.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 22)

# O.A. No. 970 of 2024

Applicant

Sub (Hony Capt) Krishnarjuna Rao V (Retd) By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col RC Jain (Veteran), Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>16.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Col RC Jain (Veteran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li> <li>On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</li> <li>O.A is allowed.</li> <li>Detailed order on separate sheets.</li> <li>Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 23)

# O.A. No. 1009 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1123 of 2024

# Lt Col V.V. Prasad (Retd)

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> </ol>
	M.A. No. 1123 of 2024
	2. Objection to delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.
	3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.
	4. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is
	condoned.
	5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.
	O.A. No. 1009 of 2024
	<ul> <li>6. This application has been filed for grant of disability element of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li> <li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li> </ul>
	<ol> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ol>
	10. List on <b>07.08.2025</b>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 24)

# O.A. No. 1065 of 2024

# Hav Rajen Das (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri RK Singh, Advocate Shri Mridul Pandey, Advocate

## Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present. Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li> <li>Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has prepared the counter affidavit which has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit.</li> <li>List on 30.07.2025.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 25)

## O.A. No. 43 of 2025

WO Nand Kishor Shukla (Retd)

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate HFO Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>16.04.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. She prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li> <li>List on 08.08.2025.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

# Court No. 1 (SI. No. 26)

# O.A. No. 174 of 2025

Ex Gdsm Narayan Kumar Rai

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Utkarsh Mishra, Advocate

Shri Yash Gautam, Advocate Shri Sidhant Rathour, Advocate

# Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>16.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Utkarsh Mishra, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.
	3. There is delay of 02 years 05 months and 23 days in filing of this original
	application as reported by the Registry. Delay condonation application has
	been moved by the applicant.
	4. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time
	to seek instructions regarding dismissal of the applicant and file objection on
	delay condonation application filed by the applicant.
	5. List on <b>04.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 27)

# O.A. No. 263 of 2025 with M.A. No. 326 of 2025

#### Ex Sub Ashok Kumar Singh

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

#### Versus

**Union of India & Others** 

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 16.04.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. M.A. No. 326 of 2025 3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. 4. There is delay of 02 years 06 months and 11 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. 5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. O.A. No. 263 of 2025 6. This application has been filed for grant of disability element of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents. 7. Case needs adjudication. 8. Admit. 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 10. List on 04.08.2025. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 28)

#### O.A. No. 571 of 2023

Ex Hav Karna Bahadur Basnet

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Advocate Shri Krishna Kumar Seth, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 16.04.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. 3. O.A is **Partly allowed**. 4. Detailed order on separate sheets. 5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 29)

# O.A. No. 1300 of 2023

# Ex Sep Anjani Kumar Tripathi

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

# Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
Registry	
	<u>16.04.2025</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	non ble vice Admiral Atur Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. Amendment application moved on behalf of the applicant is taken on
	record.
	3. Heard Id. counsel for the parties on amendment application moved on
	behalf of the applicant and perused the records.
	4. By way of proposed amendments, applicant wants to amend the prayer
	clause which will not change the nature of the O.A. Amendment application is
	allowed. Applicant is directed to carry out the amendments within two weeks.
	5. List on <b>31.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	BK/-

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 30)

O.A. No. 123 of 2024

# Ex WO Aditya Kumar Trivedi

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>16.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already taken on record.</li> <li>Last opportunity was granted to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed. Opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit is hereby closed.</li> <li>On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</li> <li>O.A is <b>Dismissed</b>.</li> <li>Detailed order on separate sheets.</li> <li>Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-