

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 302 of 2025

Ex Rect Durgesh Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of invalid pension.</div><div>5. Points raised by the applicant require consideration.</div><div>6. Admit.</div><div>7. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>8. List on 19.08.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 304 of 2025 with M.A. No. 373 of 2025

Ex Nk Naveen Chandra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 13 years, 09 months and 12 days in filing Original Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that name of the applicant has wrongly printed as Naveen Chandra which should be ‘Naveena Chandra’.</p> <p>7. Registry is directed to print correct name of the applicant in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>8. List on 07.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 132 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 611 of 2023

Ex Gnr Baljeet Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. This execution application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 28.11.2024 passed in OA No. 611 of 2023.</div><div>4. It is fresh execution case.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.</div><div>6 List on 21.08.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 5)

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This execution application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 12.12.2022 passed in OA No. 688 of 2022.</p> <p>4. It is fresh execution case.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>6 List on 01.09.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 6)

T.A. No. 15 of 2025

HFO Parsu Ram Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :
Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :
Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Vakalatnama filed by Shri AK Chaudhary, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Their names shall be shown as counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.</div><div>3. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>4. Counter affidavit is already on record. Applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, till next of listing.</div><div>5. On request of learned counsel for the parties, list this case on 12.08.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</p> <p>5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</p> <p>6. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit regarding present status of this execution case till next date of listing.</p> <p>7. List on 11.09.2025.</p> <p>8. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SR</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex-A. No. 52 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 151 of 2017
Along with Ex- A. No. 42 of 2021

Manish ShuklaApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

Versus
Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Respondents were granted time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit which has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry.</div><div>4. List on 11.09.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A. No. 166 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 501 of 2022

Ex MWO Surendra Nath Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	<p data-bbox="349 792 691 819">Orders of the Tribunal</p> <p data-bbox="349 921 521 959"><u>17.04.2025</u></p> <p data-bbox="349 959 1015 994"><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p data-bbox="349 994 1118 1029"><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol data-bbox="349 1088 1474 2007" style="list-style-type: none"> On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 30,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry in Jan. 2025. Cost so deposited, shall be remitted to the applicant. List on 12.09.2025. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <div data-bbox="389 2128 1409 2201"> <div data-bbox="389 2128 823 2201">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div> <div data-bbox="1112 2128 1409 2201">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div> </div> <p data-bbox="349 2201 389 2222">SB</p>
-----------------------	---

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 257 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1082 of 2022

Sqd Ldr Mahendra Pal Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the applicant also conceded that order has been complied with.</div><div>5. Since, the order has been complied with, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 348 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 763 of 2023

Ex Hav Kailash Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. 4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- to file compliance affidavit. 5. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit regarding present status of this execution case. 6. List on 12.09.2025. 7. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="383 1970 816 2034" style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="1105 1970 1409 2034" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 349 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 307 of 2023

Ex Hav Ravjit Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri AK Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Amrendu Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri AK Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the order is under process and is pending for financial concurrence. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit regarding present status of this execution case.</p> <p>5. List on 15.09.2025.</p> <p>6. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 350 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 744 of 2022

Col Arun Suryavanshy (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- to file compliance affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 15.09.2025.</div><div>5. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 361 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 622 of 2021

Ex Sub Hari Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents, on the basis of instruction, submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is yet to be listed.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of cost of Rs. 15,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit regarding present status of this execution case.</div><div>6. List on 16.09.2025.</div><div>7. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 488 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 218 of 2023

Ex Nk Sant RamApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance affidavit.</div><div>4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit regarding present status of this execution case.</div><div>5. List on 16.09.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 489 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 610 of 2022

Ex L/NK Pradip Jung Shahi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Affidavit regarding present status of this case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the order is under process. She prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- to file compliance affidavit.</div><div>5. List on 17.09.2025.</div><div>6. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 251 of 2024 with M.A. No. 319 of 2024

Ex L /NK Khushal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Siddharth Dayal, Advocate
Shri Swatantra Dev, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present for the applicant. Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Objection has already been filed on behalf of the respondents regarding maintainability as well as on delay condonation application.</p> <p>3. Reply has also been filed on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>4. List this case for hearing on admission on 29.07.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 447 of 2024 with M.A. No. 526 of 2024

Shiv Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant

Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Siddharth Dayal, Advocate
Shri Swatantra Dev, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents

Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present for the applicant. Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file objection, if any.</div><div>3. List on 01.08.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 487 of 2024

Ex JWO Triveni Narayan Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents and rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant are taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. Original Application is dismissed.</div><div>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 641 of 2024

Nb Sub / Nur Tech Om Prakash Fagana
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Time was granted to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>5. List on 29.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 644 of 2024

Ex Hav Tej Pal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received parawise comments. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 13.08.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 16)

Original Application No. 647 of 2024

No. 13988847A Ex. Hav. (MACP Nb Sub) Anup Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p data-bbox="355 924 513 956"><u>17.04.2025</u></p> <p data-bbox="355 956 1015 991"><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p data-bbox="355 991 1120 1024"><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p data-bbox="355 1088 1476 1171">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p data-bbox="355 1196 1476 1282">2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="355 1306 1476 1612">3. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for issuing direction to the respondents to set aside the order dated 14.02.2024 and also to conduct Re-Survey Medical Board (RSMB) for assessment of present medical condition/ disability percentage of the applicant and to grant disability element of disability pension in terms of disability found in RSMB.</p> <p data-bbox="355 1636 1476 2440">4. Briefly stated, facts of the case are that the applicant was enrolled in the Army Medical Corps of Indian Army on 08.06.1994 and discharged from the service on 30.06.2020 on fulfilling the conditions of his enrolment under Rule 13(3) Item III (i) of the Army Rules, 1954 after rendering 26 years and 23 days of service. The Release Medical Examination held at Military Hospital, Jalandhar Cantt. on 30.12.2019 assessed the applicant fit to be released from service in medical classification SHAPE-1. The applicant is in receipt of service pension. As per averments made by the applicant in the Original Application, after discharge of four months only, the applicant felt abnormality in breathing and other activities of body. He was admitted to the Command Hospital wherein he was diagnosed as (a) AA-ICD to be entered later and (b) CAD-ACS IWM/CARDIOGENIC SHOCK T2 DMHYPOTHYROIDISMHTN. The applicant's medical condition became worsen and he was again admitted in Command Hospital wherein he underwent Coronary Angiography and Coronary Angioplasty. He was then diagnosed with (a) 125.4-Coronary Artery</p>

	<p>Aneurysm (b) CAD-ACS IWMI, PCI TO RCA, (c) PCI to LAD and (d) Type-II DM. The applicant preferred a representation dated 07.06.2023 followed by first reminder dated 28.07.2023 and second reminder dated 15.09.2023 for holding of RSMB. He again preferred a representation dated 06.10.2023 for holding of RSMB and grant of disability element of disability pension which was rejected vide letter dated 14.02.2024 by the respondents.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Army and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in the Army. The diseases have occurred within two years of discharge. During the service period he served in more difficult uncongenial climatic condition and terrain, hence, applicant's disabilities be deemed to be attributable to or aggravated by military service. Thus, the present disabilities have strong causal connection with the difficult services rendered by the applicant. The applicant has manifested with the aforesaid disabilities are due to stress and strain of military service. Thus, it ought to be considered as attributable to or aggravation by Army Service. He relied upon the Regulation 86 of Pension Regulation for the Army 2008, Part-I which holds that in the event of manifestation of disability after retirement/ discharge, disability pension is admissible only if the disease is accepted as attributable to military service.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the applicant has proceeded on discharge in the medical category SHAPE-1 after undergoing Release Medical Examination at his last unit and notably, the applicant did not report any medical complaints during the Board proceedings. Before discharge from the service, Release Medical Examination held at Military Hospital, Jalandhar Cantt. on 30.12.2019 found that the applicant was in medical category SHAPE1 with no disability detected/claimed. He approached the respondents vide his letters dated 07.06.2023 and 06.10.2023 for conducting the RSMB which have been processed at the respondents' end. Office of the DGAFFMS/MA (Pension) has intimated vide letter dated 05.02.2024 that the applicant does not fulfil the criteria for PDC as per Rule 9 of Entitlement Rules 2023. Respondents' counsel further submitted that the applicant was discharged from service in medical category SHAPE-I whereas the applicants in various court orders herein relied upon by the applicant were invalidated/discharged in low medical category.</p> <p>7. Para 8(a) of the Entitlement Rules for the Casualty Pensionary Awards to the Armed Forces Personnel, 2008 reads as under :-</p> <p><i>"8. Post discharge claims:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Cases in which a disease was not present at the time of the member's retirement/discharge from service but arose within 7 years thereafter, may be recognized as attributable to service if it</i></p>
--	--

	<p><i>can be established by the competent medical authority that the disability is delayed manifestation of a pathological process set in motion by service conditions obtaining prior to discharge.”</i></p> <p>8. Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case especially Para 8(a) of the Entitlement Rules for the Casualty Pensionary Awards to the Armed Forces Personnel, 2008, the applicant suffering from the aforesaid disabilities from 09.06.2022 i.e. within two years of discharge and that the applicant was discharged from service on 30.06.2020, we dispose of the Original Application finally with a direction to the respondents to conduct Re-Survey Medical Board (RSMB) of the applicant to assess his disability for deciding entitlement of disability element of disability pension within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</p> <p>9. Let a copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.</p> <div><div><p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p><p>RK/AKD/-</p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 726 of 2024

Col Sanjeev Agnihotri (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 11.08.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

O.A. No. 1066 of 2024

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received parawise comments. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 12.08.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="336 1639 821 1717"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>SB</p> </div> <div data-bbox="821 1639 1464 1717"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1151 of 2024

Sub (Hony Lt) Shive Prakash Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit has been prepared and sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 13.08.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="388 1677 823 1741" style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="1114 1677 1416 1741" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">SB</p>

O.A. No. 9 of 2025 with M.A. No. 7 of 2025

Ex Hav / Opr & Dvr Kuldip Kumar Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Time was granted to the respondents to file objection on maintainability of the Original Application which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 09.07.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="391 1602 818 1666" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1114 1602 1403 1666" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 96 of 2025 with M.A. No. 112 of 2025

Nb Sub Mohd Laeek (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file objection.</p> <p>3. List on 11.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 122 of 2025 with M.A. No. 143 of 2025

Sub Nawab Yadawa (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>17.04.2025</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Objection on delay condonation application as well as on maintainability of the Original Application, filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div><u>M.A. No. 143 of 2025</u></div><div>3. There is delay of 11 years, 10 months and 17 days in filing Original Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</div><div>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</div><div>6. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</div><div><u>O.A. No. 122 of 2025</u></div><div>7. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element.</div><div>8. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>9. Admit.</div><div>10. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>11. List on 14.08.2025.</div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 163 of 2025 with M.A. No. 194 of 2025

Ex L/NK Dharam Dev RamApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Objection regarding maintainability of this Original Application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Reply, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 14.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

T.A. No. 34 of 2024

Sgt Ankit Srivastava (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri -, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shir Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present for the applicant. Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. This Original Application has been received by transfer from AFT (PB) New Delhi.</div><div>3. Notice was sent to the applicant which has been received back with endorsement of ‘unserved’.</div><div>4. Let fresh notice be issued to the applicant returnable within four weeks.</div><div>5. List on 22.08.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

T.A. No. 36 of 2024

Sgt Dhirendra Kumar Awasthi (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate
Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Rahul Pal, Shri Tatsat Shukla and Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Their names shall be shown as counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. List this case for final hearing on 06.08.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

T.A. No. 37 of 2024

Sgt Bhim Sain Sharma (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>3. List this case for final hearing on 29.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 1352 of 2023

Sub / JE (Civil Engineer) Vijay Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nitesh Verma, Advocate
Shri Chandra Kant Jha, Advocate
Shri JN Mishra, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nitesh Verma, Shri Chandra Kant Jha and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>3. List this case for final hearing on 30.07.2025.</p> <p>4. Registry is directed to print name of Shri JN Mishra, Advocate also as learned counsel for the applicant in the cause list.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
SB	

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 1376 of 2023

Ex Sep Hari Singh Ale Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate
Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents are directed to provide weight chart of the applicant for perusal of the Bench till next date of listing.</p> <p>3. List for hearing on 05.08.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 1525 of 2023

Ex Nk Shachche Lal Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.04.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ankur Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Respondents were directed to provide original RMB for perusal of the bench.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>On prayer of the learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>List this case for hearing on 28.04.2025.</div></div><div><div>6.</div><div>Respondents are directed to retain original RMB and provide on next date of hearing for perusal of the Bench.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

SB