Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 62 of 2025 with M.A. No. 74 of 2025

Ex WO Shashi Bhushan Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J N Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court. Heard the ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to move amendment application to correct the typographical mistakes in the original application. |
| | 5. List this case on 11.02.2025 under the category of New Admission. |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 63 of 2025 with M.A. No. 75 of 2025

Ex Sep Anil Kumar Chaudhary

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K Misra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others Resp By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| the Registry | | |
| | 22.04.2025 | |
| | <u>22.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) | |
| | Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) | |
| | | |
| | 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate on behalf of | |
| | the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for | |
| | the respondents when the case is listed next. | |
| | 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K K Misra, Ld. Counsel for | |
| | the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. | |
| | Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. | |
| | <u>M.A. No. 75 of 2025</u> | |
| | 3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. | |
| | 4. There is delay of 18 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for | |
| | the applicant has filed delay condonation application. | |
| | O.A. No. 63 of 2025 | |
| | 5. This application has been filed to stop the recovery of Rs. 8738/- per | |
| | month. Interim relief has also been sought by the applicant. | |
| | 6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks' time | |
| | to seek instructions and to file objection, if any, for grant of interim relief | |
| | as well as to the delay condonation application. | |
| | 7. List on 20.02.2025 . | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) | |
| | Member (A) Member (J) | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 65 of 2025 with M.A. No. 77 of 2025

Ex Nk Jitender Singh

Union of India & Others

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate Shri S K Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

| | Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate | |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| | Orders of the Tribunal | imai Singii, Auvocate |
| the | | |
| Registry | | |
| | 22.01.2025 | ()) |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, M | |
| | 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri A | |
| | behalf of the respondents is taken o | n record. His name be shown as |
| | Counsel for the respondents when the | case is listed next. |
| | 2. On the case being taken up for heari | ng Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. |
| | Counsel for the applicant and Shri As | hish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for |
| | the respondents are present before the | Court. |
| | M.A. No. 77 of 2025 | |
| | 3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and | d perused the records. |
| | 4. There is delay of 04 months and 24 d | ays in filing of Original Application. |
| | Ld. Counsel for the applicant has fil | led delay condonation application. |
| | Cause of delay shown in the affidavit a | annexed with the delay condonation |
| | application are sufficient to condone the | e delay. Delay is condoned. |
| | 5. Delay condonation application stand | s disposed off. |
| | O.A. No. 65 of 2025 | |
| | 6. This application has been filed for a | constitution of fresh reassessment |
| | medical board and for grant of disability | element to the applicant. |
| | 7. Case needs adjudication. | |
| | 8. Admit. | |
| | 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks | and is allowed four weeks' time to |
| | file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affida | vit, if any, may be filed within two |
| | weeks thereafter. | |
| | 10. Applicant is directed to correct the nar | ne of the Respondent No. 1 as per |
| | the present provision. | |
| | 11.List on 28.04.2025 . | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) |
| | RK/- | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 4)

Ex.A. No. 16 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 1059 of 2022

Sgt Gireesh Kumar Savita (Retd)

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. Heard the ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 25.04.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 1059 of 2022. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal. List on 13.05.2025. |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/- |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 18 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 387 of 2023

Ex Nk Amar Nath Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court. Heard the ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 24.08.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 387 of 2023. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal. List on 14.05.2025. |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/- |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 19 of 2025 Inre: O.A. No. 250 of 2023

Ex Hav Ajeet Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others Res By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| the Registry | | |
| | | |
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) | |
| | Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) | |
| | | |
| | 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the | |
| | respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the | |
| | respondents when the case is listed next. | |
| | 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for | |
| | the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are | |
| | present through video conferencing. | |
| | 3. Heard the ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records. | |
| | 4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated | |
| | 18.07.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 250 of 2023. | |
| | 5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time | |
| | to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this | |
| | Tribunal. | |
| | 6. List on 14.05.2025 . | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |
| | RK/- | |

Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 7)

Applicant

Respondents

Member (J)

M.A. No. 1216 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1217 Inre: O.A. No. 431 of 2021

Rect Ajay Kumar Singh Bohra

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D S Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri D S Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present before the Court. M.A No. 1216/2024 2. Heard Shri D.S Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and perused the records. 3. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 22.02.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 431/2021. 4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant wants to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay 02 years and 07 months in filing of application be condoned. 5. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than two years which is beyond statutory period and the delay has not been properly explained. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. M.A No. 1217/2024 6. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. 7. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is also **dismissed** on the ground of delay. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)

RK/-

Member (A)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 1)

Ex.A. No. 242 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 56 of 2019

Ex Spr Bharat Chandra Biswas

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj is also present. Compliance affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the order has been complied with and PPO has been generated. RSMB has also been conducted on 04.09.2024. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that order has not been fully complied with. Payment has not been made to the applicant. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection to the compliance affidavit filed by the respondents. List on 09.04.2025. |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No.2)

Ex.A. No. 378 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 556 of 2021

Ex MWO (HFO) Devendra Singh Janmeda Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. An Officer from DAV- Legal Cell is also present on behalf of the respondents through virtual mode. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost of Rs. 55000/- due against the respondents has also not been deposited. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that they are assailing the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is under process. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing. List on 08.05.2025. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |
| | RK/- |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 3)

Ex.A. No. 208 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 299 of 2022

Ex Sgt. Vijay Kumar

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| the Registry | | |
| | 22.01.2025 | |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) | |
| | Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. | |
| | Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for | |
| | the respondents are present before the Court. | |
| | 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost has not | |
| | been deposited. Status report has also not been filed. | |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four | |
| | week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. | |
| | 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. | |
| | 4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer | |
| | with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in | |
| | implementation of the order. | |
| | 5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by | |
| | the next date of listing. | |
| | 6. List on 09.05.2025 . | |
| | 7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for | |
| | compliance of the order. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |
| | RK/- | |

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 4)

Ex.A. No. 214 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 551 of 2022

Ex Nk Abhinay Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate

Shri R K Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| the Registry | | |
| | 22.01.2025 | |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) | |
| | Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey, Ld. Counsel for | |
| | the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel | |
| | for the respondents is present through video conferencing. | |
| | 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost has also | |
| | not been deposited. | |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed | |
| | against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is | |
| | yet to be listed. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file | |
| | compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of | |
| | Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. | |
| | 4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by | |
| | the next date of listing. | |
| | 5. List on 09.05.2025 . | |
| | 6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for | |
| | compliance of the order. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |
| | RK/- | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 220 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 800 of 2022

Ex Sub (Hony Capt) Pramod Kumar Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K P Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| the Registry | | |
| | 22.04.2025 | |
| | <u>22.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) | |
| | Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) | |
| | | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K P Datta, Ld. Counsel for the | |
| | applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents | |
| | are present before the Court. | |
| | 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. | |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed | |
| | against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is | |
| | yet to be listed. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file | |
| | compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of | |
| | Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. | |
| | 4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit with regard to the | |
| | present status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of | |
| | the order. | |
| | 5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by | |
| | the next date of listing. | |
| | 6. List on 13.05.2025 . | |
| | 7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for | |
| | compliance of the order. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Viec Admire) Atul Kumer Jein) (Justice Anil Kumer) | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |
| | RK/- | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 223 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 877 of 2022

Ex Nb Sub Vijay Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K Misra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others Res By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| the Registry | | |
| | 22.01.2025 | |
| | <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> | |
| | Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K K Misra, Ld. Counsel for the | |
| | applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are | |
| | present before the Court. | |
| | 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. | |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed | |
| | against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is | |
| | yet to be listed. She prays for and is granted further four week's time to file | |
| | compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of | |
| | Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. | |
| | 4. It is also submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 20,000/- | |
| | has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited shall be | |
| | remitted to the applicant. | |
| | 5. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer | |
| | with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in | |
| | implementation of the order. | |
| | 6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by | |
| | the next date of listing. | |
| | 7. List on 13.05.2025 . | |
| | 8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for | |
| | compliance of the order. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |
| | RK/- | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 374 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 721 of 2022

Lt. Col. Madhu Mohan Dube (Retd) Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra , Advocate

| | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| the Registry | |
| Registry | |
| | 22.01.2025 |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) |
| | Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. |
| | Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Ms. |
| | Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the |
| | Court. |
| | 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed |
| | against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which |
| | |
| | notice has been issued. She prays for and is granted further four week's |
| | time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit/ affidavit regarding |
| | present status of the case and also apprise this Tribunal whether stay |
| | order has been passed in the case or not? |
| | 4. List on 14.05.2025 . |
| | 5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for |
| | compliance of the order. |
| | |
| | |
| | (Vice Admired Atul Kumer Jein) (Justice Anil Kumer) |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |
| | RK/- |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 8)

Ex.A. No. 375 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 799 of 2023

Sgt/MTD Indresh Kumar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| the Registry | |
| | 22.01.2025 |
| | <u>22.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) |
| | Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. |
| | Counsel for the applicant is not present today. Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, |
| | Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court. |
| | 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four |
| | week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit and also an |
| | affidavit regarding present status of the case. |
| | 4. List on 14.05.2025 . |
| | |
| | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) |
| | Member (A) Member (J) |
| | RK/- |

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 9)

O.A. No. 1185 of 2023

Ex NK Bharat Singh Rawat

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Registry | |
| | 22.01.2025 |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. |
| | Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for |
| | the respondents are present before the Court. |
| | 2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already on record. |
| | 3. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. |
| | 4. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. |
| | 5. O.A is Partly Allowed. |
| | 6. Detailed order on separate sheets. |
| | 7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have |
| | been disposed of. |
| | |
| | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |
| | RK/- |

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 10)

O.A. No. 1304 of 2023

Ex WO Ram Prasad Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Shri Rama Kant, Advocate Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

| | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| the Registry | |
| | 22.01.2025 |
| | <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for |
| | the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the |
| | respondents are present before the Court. |
| | 2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already on record. |
| | 3. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. |
| | 4. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. |
| | 5. O.A is Partly Allowed. |
| | 6. Detailed order on separate sheets. |
| | 7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have |
| | been disposed of. |
| | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) |
| | Member (A) Member (J) |
| | RK/- |

Court No. 1 (SI. No. 11)

O.A. No. 1306 of 2023

Lt Col Varun Chaturvedi (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present before the Court. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records. O.A is Allowed. Detailed order on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/- |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 12)

O.A. No. 1355 of 2023

Hav Sheo Ambol Singh (Retd)

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate Shri Shubham Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <u>22.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u> |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present today. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has received the parawise comments. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. List on 18.03.2025. |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 13)

O.A. No. 128 of 2024

Ex L Hav Dara Singh Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nishant Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks. List on 25.03.2025. |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 14)

O.A. No. 173 of 2024

Ex Hav Sumer Yadav

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <u>22.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u> |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today. Time was granted to the respondents to file counter affidavit which has not been filed. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted two weeks time as a last opportunity to file counter affidavit. List on 28.03.2025. |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/- |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 15)

O.A. No. 302 of 2024

Ex WO Gopal Ji Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others Resp By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Registry | |
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel |
| | for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. |
| | 2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit. |
| | 4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records. |
| | 5. O.A is Partly Allowed. |
| | 6. Detailed order on separate sheets. |
| | 7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have |
| | been disposed of. |
| | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |
| | RK/- |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 16)

O.A. No. 304 of 2024

Hony Fit Lt (Retd) Kanta Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others Res By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Registry | |
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents |
| | are present through video conferencing. |
| | 2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit. |
| | 4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records. |
| | 5. O.A is Allowed. |
| | 6. Detailed order on separate sheets. |
| | 7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have |
| | been disposed of. |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 308 of 2024

Ex Sgt. Satya Prakash

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri A K Chaudhary, Advocate

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| the | |
| Registry | |
| | 22.01.2025 |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) |
| | Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. |
| | Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri |
| | Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before |
| | the Court. |
| | 2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has received the |
| | |
| | parawise comments today itself. He prays for and is granted further four |
| | weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. |
| | 4. List on 23.04.2025 . |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |
| | |
| | RK/- |

Court No. 1 (SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 564 of 2024

Ex Sgt. Atul Uttam

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Registry | |
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for |
| | the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are |
| | present before the Court. |
| | 2. Counter affidavit has already been filed by the respondents which is |
| | available on record. |
| | 3. Time was granted to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit which has not |
| | been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks |
| | time as a last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit. |
| | 4. List on 27.03.2025 . |
| | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |
| | RK/- |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 565 of 2024

Applicant

Col. Sudhir Kumar Shishodia (Retd)ApplicaBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate

Ms. Shalani Puar, Awasthi

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R C Shukla, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Registry | 22.01.2025 |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) |
| | Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four |
| | weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. |
| | 4. List on 24.04.2025 . |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 20)

O.A. No. 653 of 2024

Ex Hav Rakesh Yadav

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Registry | |
| | <u>22.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) |
| | Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Alok |
| | Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. |
| | 2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has prepared the |
| | counter affidavit. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time as a |
| | last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit. |
| | 4. List on 27.03.2025 . |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |
| | RK/- |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 21)

O.A. No. 748 of 2024 with M.A. No. 844 of 2024

Ex L/Nk Ram Kirpal @Ram Kripal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counse for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. |
| | <u>M.A. No. 844 of 2024</u> |
| | There is a delay of 13 years and 15 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. |
| | Time was granted to the respondents to file objection but after availing two opportunities objection has not been filed. |
| | 4. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. |
| | 5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the |
| | application is not deliberate and the causes of delay have been explained in the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application. He further submits that Release Medical Board proceedings was not provided to the applicant and he obtain the same through RTI vide letter dated 10.01.2022. |
| | 6. Applicant's counsel also urged that the applicant himself has been suffering from various body ailments and is under continuous treatment and medical supervision. Applicant is also facing financial hardship. He submits that this is a pensionary matter which is recurring cause of action. |
| | Ld. Counsel for the respondents vehemently opposed the submission of the ld. counsel for the applicant and submits that long delay of more than 13 years have not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis. |
| | 8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being |

| (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 13. List on 29.04.2025 . | |
| weeks thereafter. | |
| | Affidavit, if any, may be filed within tw |
| 12.Ld. Counsel for the respondents | seeks and is allowed four weeks time |
| 11. Admit. | |
| 10. Case needs adjudication. | |
| has been wrongly rejected by the | respondents. |
| is submitted by the Ld. Counsel | for the applicant that applicant's cla |
| 9. This case has been filed for grant | of disability pension to the applicant |
| O.A. No. 748 of 2024 | |
| stands disposed of. | |
| (2008 (8) SCC 646), delay is co | ndoned. Delay condonation application |
| Court in the case of Union of | ndia and Others Vs. Tarsem Sing |
| pensionary matter and in view of | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 1084 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1218 of 2024

Sqn Ldr Navtej Singh

Union of India & Others

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate Gp Capt Y Venugopal (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) | |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Gp Capt Y Venugopal (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. Objection regarding maintainability of the O.A filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Reply, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks. List on 20.03.2025. | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/- | |

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 23)

O.A. No. 1115 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1251 of 2024

Ex Sub Udai Pratap Singh

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K P Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| the | |
| Registry | |
| | 22.01.2025 |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) |
| | <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u> |
| | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K P Datta, Ld. Counsel for |
| | the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents |
| | are present. |
| | 2. Objection on delay condonation application filed by the respondents is |
| | taken on record. Reply, if any, may be filed within two weeks alongwith |
| | supporting documents. |
| | 3. List on 21.03.2025 . |
| | |
| | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |
| | RK/- |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 24)

O.A. No. 1250 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1406 of 2024

Lt. Col Dhiraj Raja (Retd)

Applicant Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate Ms. Shalani Puar, Advocate

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court. Documents alongwith affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Ld. counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding maintainability of this O.A. He prays for and is granted two week's time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any. List on 25.03.2025. |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 25)

T A No. 66 of 2023

Rajpal Singh

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Me Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar J | |
| | Counsel for the applicant is not prese the respondents is present through vi 2. Adjournment application move | or hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. ent today. Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for deo conferencing. d by the applicant is available on record. e applicant, case is adjourned for the day. |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) |

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 26)

T A. No. 27 of 2024

Sgt. Manoj Kumar Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate

Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Drders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | 22.01.2025 Ion'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Ion'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) | |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel he applicant and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents a present. On request of Id. counsel for the respondents, case is adjourned for take. List on 06.03.2025. | are |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 27)

O.A. No. 551 of 2021

Ex Hav Arun Kumar Roy

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Hon | 01.2025 I'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Memb I'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, | |
| | 1. | List revised. | |
| | 2. | On the case being taken up for he | earing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. |
| | Cou | nsel for the applicant and Shri Yog | esh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the |
| | resp | oondents are present. | |
| | 3. | On request of Id. counsel for the ap | oplicant, case is adjourned. |
| | 4. | List on 01.04.2025 . | |
| | (\ RK/- | /ice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 28)

O.A. No. 931 of 2023

Col Umendra Kumar Vaish (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj. S M Mustafa (Retd) , Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh , Advocate

| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Registry | | |
| | 22.01.2025 | |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) | |
| | | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Maj. S M Mustafa (Retd), Ld. | |
| | Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Anju | |
| | Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video | |
| | conferencing. | |
| | 2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents and opportunity | |
| | to file the same has been closed on 04.10.2024. | |
| | 3. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and | |
| | perused the records. | |
| | 4. O.A is Partly Allowed. | |
| | 5. Detailed order on separate sheets. | |
| | 6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have | |
| | been disposed of. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |
| | RK/- | |

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 29)

O.A. No. 1352 of 2023

Sub /JE (Civil) Engineer) Vijay Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nitesh Verma, Advocate

Shri Chandra Kant Jha, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| the Registry | | |
| Registry | | |
| | 22.01.2025 Hon'hia Mr. Justica Anil Kumar, Mambar (J) | |
| | <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) | |
| | | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nitesh Verma, Ld. Counsel | |
| | for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents | |
| | are present. | |
| | 2. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. | |
| | 3. Amendment application moved by the applicant was allowed vide order | |
| | dated 16.10.2024 and applicant was directed to carry out the amendments | |
| | within two weeks but amendments have not been carried out by the applicant. | |
| | 4. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further | |
| | time to incorporate amendments in the O.A. | |
| | 5. List on 18.03.2025 . | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |
| | RK/- | |