

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 256 of 2025 with M.A. No. 316 of 2025

Col. Gunakara Giri (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding territorial jurisdiction before this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant’s last posting was in AMC Records, Lucknow, hence, there is jurisdiction before this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>6. We are of the view that since applicant’s last posting was in AMC, Lucknow, hence, this Tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain this Original Application.</p> <p>7. Applicant is directed to move amendment application for rectifying the mistake in Para 2 of the Original Application.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 316 of 2025</u></p> <p>8. There is delay of 01 month and 14 days in filing Original Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>9. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>10. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>11. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p>

	<p><u>O.A. No. 256 of 2025</u></p> <p>12. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element.</p> <p>13. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>14. Admit.</p> <p>15. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>16. List on 28.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral. Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
SB	

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 2)

**O.A. No. 241 of 2025 with M.A. No. 321 of 2025
with M.A. No. 292 of 2025**

Ex Hav Mahendra Singh Gurjar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. List revised. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. <p><u>M.A. No. 321 of 2025</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Amendment application moved by the applicant is taken on record. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on amendment application moved by the applicant and perused the record. 5. This application has been moved only to clarify the jurisdiction of the Tribunal. 6. We are of the view that there is sufficient ground to allow the application, accordingly, amendment application is allowed. 7. Applicant is directed to carry out amendment during the course of day. <p><u>M.A. No. 292 of 2025</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. There is delay of 03 months and 28 days in filing Original Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay. 9. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. 10. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application and it is also a case of pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. 11. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.

	<p><u>O.A. No. 241 of 2025</u></p> <p>12. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element.</p> <p>13. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>14. Admit.</p> <p>15. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>16. List on 28.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 3)

M.A. No. 315 of 2025 Inre: Ex. A. No. 51 of 2025 Inre: 1129 of 2022

Col. Lokendra Singh Chauhan (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Priyanka Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R C Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Priyanka Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 315 of 2025</u></p> <p>2. This application has been moved by the applicant to expedite the date fixed for hearing and list for early hearing of the case.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. In this case, 25.07.2025 is already fixed for hearing. Presently, large number of cases are listed for hearing as per roster, therefore, early hearing in this case is not possible.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, we are of the view that there is no sufficient ground to expedite the date of hearing in this case. Accordingly, early hearing application is rejected</p> <p>6. List the case on its already fixed date, i.e. 25.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex-A. No. 308 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1004 of 2022

Ex NC (E) Raghu Nath Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D S Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri D S Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is under process.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</div><div>5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div><div>6. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 17,500/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited, shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>7. List on 18.08.2025.</div><div>8. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.2)

Ex-A. No. 324 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1038 of 2022

Ex Hav Harish Kumar Trivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate holding brief of Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. 3. Maj Danish Farooqui, Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is under process. 4. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any. 5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing. 6. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 15,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited, shall be remitted to the applicant. 7. List on 18.08.2025. 8. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="389 2212 823 2274" style="width: 45%;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1073 2212 1375 2274" style="width: 45%;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A. No. 445 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 839 of 2021

Ex Nk Avnesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. 3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is yet to be listed. 4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any. 5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing. 6. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 25,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited, shall be remitted to the applicant. 7. List on 19.08.2025. 8. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="389 2150 823 2220" style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="1060 2150 1349 2220" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 466 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 327 of 2022

Ex Nk Ashok Kumar Badola
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is yet to be listed.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</div><div>5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div><div>6. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 15,000/- has already been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited, shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>7. Respondents are further directed to file affidavit regarding present status of this execution case within two weeks.</div><div>8. List on 19.08.2025.</div><div>9. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 238 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 1107 of 2022

Ex POEL (P) Ajay Singh Chauhan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div></div><div><div>6.</div><div>Respondents are further directed to file affidavit regarding present status of this execution case within two weeks.</div></div><div><div>7.</div><div>List on 20.08.2025.</div></div><div><div>8.</div><div>Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 307 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 352 of 2023

Ex Hav Akram Yadava
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Affidavit regarding present status of this execution case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</div><div>6. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div><div>7. List on 20.08.2025.</div><div>8. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 308 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 471 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Ram Samujh Yadava
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the court.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Affidavit regarding present status of this case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is under process.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.</div><div>6. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div><div>7. List on 21.08.2025.</div><div>8. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 310 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 800 of 2023

Hony Fg Offr Hari Prasad Tewari (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- to file compliance affidavit and status report of the case.</p> <p>4. List on 21.08.2025.</p> <p>5. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="388 1712 816 1774" style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="1066 1712 1357 1774" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 475 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 831 of 2023

Col. Rajan Anand (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance affidavit and affidavit regarding present status of this case.</div><div>4. List on 22.08.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 477 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 1426 of 2023

Ex Sep (MACP Hav) Kamlesh Kumar Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K P Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K P Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Time was granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit which has not been filed.</div><div>4. Maj Danish Farooqui, Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further to file compliance affidavit and affidavit regarding present status of this execution case.</div><div>4. List on 22.08.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 749 of 2023

Ex NK Pramod Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. This case is listed today for physical hearing.</p> <p>2. None is present for the applicant. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present physically, however, is he present through virtual mode.</p> <p>3. List this case for physical hearing on 15.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 123 of 2024

Ex WO Aditya Kumar Trivedi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Time was granted to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. List this case for final hearing on 16.04.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 547 of 2024

Rect (Gnr) Sandeep Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Arvind Kumar Jauhari, Advocate
Ms. Ashuja Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Ashuja Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit has been prepared and sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 01.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

O.A. No. 564 of 2024

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. Original Application is dismissed.</p> <p>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 602 of 2024

Ex AC Vidya Dutt Dabral
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri KK Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. Original Application is partly allowed.</div><div>4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 603 of 2024

Ex Nb Sub Kuvendra SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus
Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate
, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>27.03.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the court. 2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. 3. Today is last opportunity to file counter affidavit but counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit has been prepared which has been sent for vetting. 5. In the interest of justice, learned counsel for the respondents is permitted to file counter affidavit within two weeks failing which opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed. 6. List on 01.07.2025.</div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 613 of 2024 with M.A. No. 705 of 2024

Sgt Mahaveer Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection and reply on maintainability filed by the parties are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding maintainability of this Original Application on the ground that alternate remedy provided in the Act has not been availed by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has filed application after availing alternate remedy. In this regard, he has submitted that applicant has preferred appeal dated 18.03.2024 to the respondents to redress his grievance but the same has not been decided by the authorities. Hence, applicant has availed alternate remedy and this Original Application is maintainable before the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>6. In view of submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and facts of the case, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to entertain this Original Application before this Tribunal.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 705 of 2024</u></p> <p>7. There is delay of 01 year, 09 months and 04 days in filing Original Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>9. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p>

	<p>10. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 613 of 2024</u></p> <p>11. This Original Application has been filed against the order of dismissal.</p> <p>12. Points raised by the applicant requires consideration.</p> <p>13. Admit.</p> <p>14. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>15. List on 29.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
SB	

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 623 of 2024

Col Upendra Kumar Singh Raghav (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Maj Danish Farooqui, Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 03.07.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="389 1642 823 1706" style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="1112 1642 1416 1706" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 653 of 2024

Ex Hav Rakesh Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court. Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 01.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 703 of 2024

Nk AT Avnish KumarApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court. Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that relief sought by the applicant has been redressed by the respondents. Now, this Original Application has become infructuous.</div><div>4. Original Application is dismissed as infructuous.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 708 of 2024

Sgt Rivaldo Verma (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 04.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 849 of 2024

Ex Nb Sub Hasanur Islam Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 07.07.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

O.A. No. 866 of 2024

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 08.07.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 1086 of 2024

Nk Sahani Shiv Dayal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Objection regarding maintainability of this Original Application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Reply, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 16.05.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 1201 of 2024

Sgt Pankaj Kumar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
HFO Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing HFO Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 10.07.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

T.A. No. 66 of 2023

Rajpal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court. Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has been filed without supplying its copy to learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit is taken on record with condition that learned counsel for the respondents shall supply copy of counter affidavit to learned counsel for the applicant within a week.</p> <p>4. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>5. List on 26.05.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
SB	

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

T.A. No. 35 of 2024

Gp Capt Sundeep Puri (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Application moved by the applicant under Rule 9 of the AFT (Procedure) Rules, 2008 for substitution of legal heirs of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Time was granted to the respondents to seek instruction and file objection, if any, but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>5. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that applicant died on 11.11.2019 and his mother is only legal heir of the deceased. In support of his version, applicant has filed death certificate and copy of Aadhar Card on affidavit.</p> <p>6. We are of the view that there is sufficient ground to allow the application. Accordingly, application is allowed.</p> <p>7. Applicant is directed to carry out substitution in the Original Application within two weeks.</p> <p>8. List this case for final hearing on 16.05.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 686 of 2023

Lt Col (Retd) Akash Sachan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri SS Rajawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court. Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record subject to condition that cost of Rs. 5000/- shall be paid till next date of listing.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 07.08.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 1370 of 2023

Ex Sgt Shailesh Kumar Jaiswal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate
Shri Devakinandan, Advocate
Shri R Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R C Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>27.03.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court. Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. This case is listed today for physical hearing but learned counsel for the respondents are not present before the Bench.</div><div>3. List this case for physical hearing on 15.07.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

T.A. No. 19 of 2024

Sgt Vimal Rawat (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2025</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. List revised.</p> <p>2. Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the court.</p> <p>3. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present even in revised term.</p> <p>4. This case is listed today for final hearing.</p> <p>5. Heard arguments of learned counsel for the applicant and perused the record.</p> <p>6. Transferred Application is allowed.</p> <p>7. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed of.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
SB	