

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)
(supplementary)

O.A. No. 372 of 2023 with M.A. No. 441 of 2023

Sub Maj Azad Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:

Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:

Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 441 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is a delay of 06 months in filing original application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No 372 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard on admission.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that present application is not maintainable as this has been filed without availing</p>

alternative remedy as per Section 21 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007.

We have given our anxious consideration to the submission made by learned counsel for the respondents and we find that applicant has filed this application without representing to concerned authorities for grant of Battle Casualty status.

Accordingly, learned counsel for the applicant is directed to prefer representation to the authorities concerned for redressal of his grievance.

On receipt of representation from the applicant, the respondents shall redress his grievance within a period of two months from today.

With the aforesaid directions, the O.A. is disposed off.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)
Member (A)

rathore

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex-A/81 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 482 of 2018

Smt Manpreet Kaur W/o Late
Gnr Jagdeep Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This is a fresh application for execution of order dated 22.07.2021 passed in O.A. No. 482 of 2018.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 04.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 232 of 2023 with M.A. No. 240 of 2023

Smt Kalpana Tripathi W/o Late Hav Yatindra Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 240 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application. As per office report, there is delay of 09 years and 25 days in filing Original Application. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 232 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication. Admit. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks. List on 07.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 233 of 2023 with M.A. No. 241 of 2023

Pramod Kumar S/o Late Ex Sep Indra Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 241 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is delay of 07 years, 11 months and 09 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 233 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>Issue notice to respondent No 4 returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>Steps within a week.</p> <p>List on 17.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 252 of 2023 with M.A. No. 265 of 2023

Ex Swr Dharmendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey , Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh and Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Matter pertains to dismissal from service.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">There is delay of 01 year, 01 month and 13 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 17.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 293 of 2023

HMT Sandeep Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col RC Jain (Vetran), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Col RC Jain (Vetran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 07.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 358 of 2023 with M.A. No. 416 of 2023

JWO Ishwar Dayal Gupta (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 416 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Kapil Sharma, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is delay of 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 358 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>List on 07.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 364 of 2023 with M.A. No. 427 of 2023

Ramesh Singh S/o Late Ex Nk Gopal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This O.A. has been filed with delay of 16 years, 03 months and 25 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 17.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 366 of 2023 with M.A. No. 429 of 2023

Ex Hav Anal Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate
Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh and Maj SM Mustafa, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This O.A. has been filed with delay of 13 years, 08 months and 07 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 17.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 24 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 25 of 2021

Smt Bimala Devi, W/o Late Ex Sep Gambhir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that subject matter is pending before PIFA since September, 2022. He prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs 10,000/- shall be payable.</p> <p>On the date fixed, the officer responsible for not executing the order shall be present before this Tribunal to clarify the reasons for non compliance of the order.</p> <p>List on 26.05.2023.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be supplied to OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 78 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 497 of 2018

Nk (TS) Mistar Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant the case is adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 02.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 118 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 4 of 2020

Ex Rect Tapas Kumar Adak Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Abhinav Bhattacharya, Advocate
Shri Abhijeet Mukherjee, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ami Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhinav Bhattacharya and Shri Abhijeet Mukherjee, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending before the Hon'ble Apex Court.</p> <p>List on 13.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 121 of 2015

Smt Priyanka Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhaskar Prasad Dubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhaskar Prasad Dubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for respondent No 1 to 4 and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 5 and 6 are present.</p> <p>On request of learned counsel for the applicant last opportunity of four weeks time is granted to take steps for deletion/substitution application in O.A.</p> <p>List on 08.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

EX-A. No. 543 of 2022

Ex Sub Jai Narayan Rajput Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On request of learned counsel for the applicant two weeks further time is granted to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 29.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 973 of 2022

Ex Sep Raghavendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 30.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 139 of 2023

Ex Gdsm (MACP-1 Nk) Basant Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received and he shall file counter affidavit within a week.</p> <p>Let counter affidavit be filed within a week.</p> <p>List on 30.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

T.A. No. 35 of 2022

Kumari Suman, D/o Ram Lal Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Despite notice being given none is present on behalf of the petitioner. On previous date also no one was present. Accordingly, the case is dismissed due to non prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

T.A. No. 49 of 2022

Smt Nirmala Singh, W/o Shri Harendra Nath Singh
(M/o Late Spr Ashutosh Singh) Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Notice served to the petitioner has returned back with endorsement as 'petitioner has died'</p> <p>On call of the case no one is present on behalf of the petitioner.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is dismissed due to non prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 544 of 2019 with M.A. No. 851 of 2019

Sub Maj (RT) Ran Bahadur Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Misra, Advocate
Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Ms Meghna Gupta, Advocate holding brief for Shri Vinay Misra and Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ms Meghna Gupta, Advocate prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>List on 31.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 575 of 2019

Smt Roopa Singh Teveotia,
W/o Ex Hav (Late) Aman Pal Singh Teveotia Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Ms Mehghna Gupta, Advocate holding brief for Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ms Meghna Gupta, Advocate prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that adjournment was also sought on previous date.</p> <p>It is made clear that on next fixed date no adjournment will be granted to the applicant for arguing the case.</p> <p>List on 08.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 226 of 2021

Ex Rect Ramu Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Vakalatnama filed by Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of applicant is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh and Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>Departmental representative prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on 31.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 787 of 2021

Smt Lekh Maya Gurung W/o Maj PB Gurung Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate
Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, learned counsel for respondent No 1 to 3 and Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for respondent No 4 and 5 are present.</p> <p>On request of learned counsel for respondent No 4 and 5 two weeks further time is allowed as last opportunity to file supplementary affidavit.</p> <p>List on 11.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 81 of 2022

Cpl RS Deo

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ajeet Yadav, Advocate
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajeet Yadav and Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On request of learned counsel for the respondents two weeks time is granted to file supplementary counter affidavit alongwith guidelines/policy.</p> <p>List on 30.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 146 of 2022

Devi Bhukker (Through his wife Smt Savita) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Advocate holding brief for Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On request of brief holder the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on 16.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)

T.A. No. 55 of 2022

Poonam Devi W/o Late Subhash Chandra Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Departmental representative submits that that this being a transferred application she is not prepared with the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On request of departmental representative last opportunity of four weeks time is granted to seeks instructions with regard to grant of family pension to second wife.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 04.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>