Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No.2 (</u>SI. No. 1)

(supplementary)

O.A. No. 372 of 2023 with M.A. No. 441 of 2023

Sub Maj Azad Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:

Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Notes of Orders of the Tribunal

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the Registry		
Registry	03.04.2023	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal,	
	Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name	
	shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.	
	Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.	
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.	
	<u>M.A. No. 441 of 2023</u>	
	This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original	
	Application.	
	As per office report, there is a delay of 06 months in filing original	
	application.	
	Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a	
	pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further	
	submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but	
	for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.	
	Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the	
	prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not	
	sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.	
	Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not	
	applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay	
	condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be	
	condoned.	
	Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay	
	condonation application stands decided accordingly.	
	O.A. No 372 of 2023	
	Heard on admission.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents submits that present	
	application is not maintainable as this has been filed without availing	

alternative remedy as per Section 21 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007.

We have given our anxious consideration to the submission made by learned counsel for the respondents and we find that applicant has filed this application without representing to concerned authorities for grant of Battle Casualty status.

Accordingly, learned counsel for the applicant is directed to prefer representation to the authorities concerned for redressal of his grievance.

On receipt of representation from the applicant, the respondents shall redress his grievance within a period of two months from today.

With the aforesaid directions, the O.A. is disposed off.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

<u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 2)</u>

Ex-A/81 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 482 of 2018

Smt Manpreet Kaur W/o Late

Gnr Jagdeep Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

11		Versus De se en dente	
	ndia & Others	Respondents	
		Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate	
	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	03.04.2023		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay S	ingh, Member (A)	
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on		
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the		
	cause list when the case is listed next.		
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant and Sh	hri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents are present.		
	This is a fresh application for execution of order dated 22.07.2021		
	passed in O.A. No. 482 of 2018.		
	Learned counsel for	r the respondents prays for and is granted four	
	weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.		
	List on 04.07.2023 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

<u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 1)</u>

O.A. No. 232 of 2023 with M.A. No. 240 of 2023

Smt Kalpana Tripathi W/o Late Hav Yatindra Kumar Tripathi By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Union of India & Others

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

	Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
Regiony	03.04.2023		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) Memo of appearance filed by Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate on behalf		
	of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when		
	the case is listed next.		
	<u>M.A. No. 240 of 2023</u>		
	This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.		
	Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Kavita Mishra		
	Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.		
	As per office report, there is delay of 09 years and 25 days in filing Original		
	Application.		
	Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter		
	in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing		
	Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in		
	support of application.		
	Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits		
	that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed offer day to day explanation of delay.		
	Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and		
	grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine		
	and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.		
	Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation		
	application stands decided accordingly.		
	O.A. No. 232 of 2023		
	Matter needs adjudication.		
	Admit.		
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time		
	to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned		
	counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.		
	List on 07.07.2023 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 2)

O.A. No. 233 of 2023 with M.A. No. 241 of 2023

Pramod Kumar S/o Late Ex Sep Indra Pal By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry	02.04.2022		
	<u>03.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u> Memo of appearance filed by Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the		
	case is listed next.		
	<u>M.A. No. 241 of 2023</u>		
	Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh,		
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.		
	This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.		
	As per office report, there is delay of 07 years, 11 months and 09 days in filing		
	Original Application.		
	Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter		
	in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing		
	Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in		
	support of application.		
	Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits		
	that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to		
	offer day to day explanation of delay.		
	Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable an		
	grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine		
	and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.		
	Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation		
	application stands decided accordingly.		
	O.A. No. 233 of 2023		
	Matter needs adjudication.		
	Admit.		
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time		
	to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned		
	counsel for the applicant within next two weeks. Issue notice to respondent No 4 returnable within four weeks.		
	Steps within a week.		
	List on 17.05.2023 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2 (</u>SI. No. 3)

O.A. No. 252 of 2023 with M.A. No. 265 of 2023

Ex Swr Dharmendra Singh

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri VP Pandey, Advocate Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal F	Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra S	Sharma Atal, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	03.04.2023		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A	<u>A)</u>	
	Memo of appearance filed by Dr. S	Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate	
	on behalf of the respondents is taken on rec	cord. His name shall be shown in	
	the cause list when the case is listed next.		
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey , Shri Rakesh		
	Kumar Singh and Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.		
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.		
	Matter pertains to dismissal from service.		
	There is delay of 01 year, 01 month and 13 days in filing Original		
	Application.		
	Learned counsel for the respondents	seeks and is allowed two weeks	
	time to file objection on delay condonation application.		
	List on 17.05.2023 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

<u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 4)</u>

O.A. No. 293 of 2023

HMT Sandeep Kumar

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col RC Jain (Vetran), Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	03.04.2023	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Men	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Mem	ber (A)
	Memo of appearance filed by	Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
	on behalf of the respondents is taken	on record. His name shall be shown in
	the cause list when the case is listed ne	ext.
	On the case being taken up	for hearing Col RC Jain (Vetran), Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shai	lendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the
respondents are present.		
	Matter needs adjudication.	
	Admit.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.	
	List on 07.07.2023 .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

O.A. No. 358 of 2023 with M.A. No. 416 of 2023

Applicant

JWO Ishwar Dayal Gupta (Retd) By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Court No.2 (SI. No. 5)

	Member (A)	Membe	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)	(Justice)	Anil Kumar)
	List on 07.07.2023 .		
	counsel for the applicant within	next two weeks.	
	to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by le		ay be filed by learned
	Learned counsel for the	respondents prays for and is gr	anted four weeks time
	Admit.		
	Matter needs adjudication	n.	
	O.A. No. 358 of 2023		
	application stands decided acco	ordingly.	
	•	filing application is condoned.	Delay condonation
	and sufficient, delay is liable to b		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•	n support of delay condonation a	
		sionary matters bar of limitation	is not applicable and
	offer day to day explanation of c		
		d by the applicant is not sufficier	• • •
	Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits		ng the praver submits
		applicable. His further submission	
		ounsel for the applicant is that it	is a pensionary matter
	As per once report, the Application.	re is delay of 06 months and 20	
		condonation of delay in filing Or	•
	respondents on delay condonati	••	iginal Application
		d Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey,	La. Counsel for the
		a, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and M	•
	M.A. No. 416 of 2023		
	the case is listed next.		
	•	ecord. His name shall be shown	in the cause list when
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) Memo of appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on I		
	03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Ku	mar, Member (J)	
Registry			
the	Orders of the Tribunal		
	actitioner for Respondents: Orders of the Tribunal	Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advo	ocate
Union of Inc	lia & Others	Versus	Respondents

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 6)

O.A. No. 364 of 2023 with M.A. No. 427 of 2023

Ramesh Singh S/o Late Ex Nk Gopal Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. This O.A. has been filed with delay of 16 years, 03 months and 25 days. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection on application for condonation of delay. List on 17.05.2023. (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2 (</u>SI. No. 7)

O.A. No. 366 of 2023 with M.A. No. 429 of 2023

Ex Hav Anal Kumar

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Shri VP Pandey, Advocate Shri RK Singh, Advocate Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Respondents

	Кезропаета	
	Jaiswal, Advocate	
Orders of the Tribunal		
03 04 2023		
	nber (J)	
Memo of appearance filed by	Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of	
the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list		
when the case is listed next.		
On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh		
and Maj SM Mustafa, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld.		
Counsel for the respondents are present.		
This O.A. has been filed with delay of 13 years, 08 months and 07 days.		
Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks		
time to file objection on application for condonation of delay.		
List on 17.05.2023 .		
(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	
	the respondents is taken on record. H when the case is listed next. On the case being taken up for and Maj SM Mustafa, Ld. Counsel for Counsel for the respondents are presen This O.A. has been filed with de Learned counsel for the respon time to file objection on application for o List on 17.05.2023 .	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 8)</u>

EX-A. No. 24 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 25 of 2021

Smt Bimala Devi, W/o Late Ex Sep Gambhir Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

	ndia & Others Respondents	
	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate	
	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	03.04.2023	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	<u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>	
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents ar	
	present.	
	Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents submits that subject matter is	
	pending before PIFA since September, 2022. He prays for and is allowed four	
	weeks time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs 10,000/- shall	
	be payable.	
	On the date fixed, the officer responsible for not executing the order	
	shall be present before this Tribunal to clarify the reasons for non compliance	
	of the order.	

List on **26.05.2023**.

Let copy of this order be supplied to OIC Legal Cell.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)	(Justice Anil Kumar)	
Member (A)	Member (J)	
rathore		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 9)</u>

EX-A. No. 78 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 497 of 2018

Respondents

Nk (TS) Mistar Singh (Retd)

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant the case is adjour List on 02.05.2023 .		
		r the applicant the case is adjourned.
	respondents are present.	
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and SI	nri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the
	On the case being taken up for	⁻ hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh,
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	03.04.2023	
the Registry		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 10)</u>

EX-A. No. 118 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 4 of 2020

Ex Rect Tapas Kumar Adak

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Abhinav Bhattacharya, Advocate

Shri Abhijeet Mukherjee, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ami Jaiswal, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhinav Bhattacharya and Shri Abhijeet Mukherjee, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending before the Hon'ble Apex Court. List on 13.07.2023. (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

Court No.2 (SI. No. 11)

O.A. No. 121 of 2015

nka Mishra Practitioner for the Applicant:	Applicant Shri Bhaskar Prasad Dubey, Advocate
ndia & Others Practitioner for Respondents: Orders of the Tribunal	Versus Respondents Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhaskar Prasad Dubey Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counse for respondent No 1 to 4 and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for th respondent No 5 and 6 are present. On request of learned counsel for the applicant last opportunity of fou weeks time is granted to take steps for deletion/substitution application in O.A. List on 08.05.2023.	
(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	Practitioner for the Applicant: India & Others Practitioner for Respondents: Orders of the Tribunal 03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil K Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay S On the case being Ld. Counsel for the applicar for respondent No 1 to 4 an respondent No 5 and 6 are On request of learner weeks time is granted to tak List on 08.05.2023. (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2 (</u>SI. No. 12)

EX-A. No. 543 of 2022

Ex Sub Jai Narayan Rajput

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate
Notes of Orders of the Tribunal

the Registry	
	<u>03.04.2023</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	On request of learned counsel for the applicant two weeks further time is
	granted to file rejoinder affidavit.
	List on 29.05.2023 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

<u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 13)</u>

O.A. No. 973 of 2022

Ex Sep Raghavendra Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file counter affidavit. List on 30.05.2023. (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

<u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 14)</u>

Respondents

O.A. No. 139 of 2023

Ex Gdsm (MACP-1 Nk) Basant Kumar Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received and he shall file counter affidavit within a week. Let counter affidavit be filed within a week. List on 30.05.2023. (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

<u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 15)</u>

Petitioner

Respondents

T.A. No. 35 of 2022

Kumari Suman, D/o Ram Lal

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:
 Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

 Notes of the Registry
 Orders of the Tribunal

 03.04.2023
 03.04.2023

 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
 Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)

 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld.

 Counsel for the respondents is present.

 Despite notice being given none is present on behalf of the petitioner.

 On previous date also no one was present.

 Accordingly, the case is dismissed due to non prosecution.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Court No.2 (SI. No. 16)

T.A. No. 49 of 2022

Smt Nirmala Singh, W/o Shri Harendra Nath Singh (M/o Late Spr Ashutosh Singh Petitioner By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri, Advocate

Versus

	VEISUS		
ndia & Others		Respondents	
Practitioner for Respondents:	Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate		
Orders of the Tribunal			
00.04.0000			
Hon ble waj Gen Sanjay S	ingn, member (A)		
On the case being	g taken up for hearing Shri A	nurag Mishra, Ld.	
Counsel for the respondents	s is present.		
Notice served to the petitioner has returned back with endorsement as			
'petitioner has died'			
On call of the case no one is present on behalf of the petitioner.		petitioner.	
Accordingly, the case is dismissed due to non prosecution.			
(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore	(Justice A Member	nil Kumar) (J)	
	Orders of the Tribunal 03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil K Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay S On the case being Counsel for the respondents Notice served to the 'petitioner has died' On call of the case ne Accordingly, the case (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	ndia & Others Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate Orders of the Tribunal 03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing Shri A Counsel for the respondents is present. Notice served to the petitioner has returned back wi 'petitioner has died' On call of the case no one is present on behalf of the Accordingly, the case is dismissed due to non prosect (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice A Member (A) Member	

Court No.2 (SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 544 of 2019 with M.A. No. 851 of 2019

Versus

Sub Maj (RT) Ran Bahadur Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Misra, Advocate

Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Union of I	ndia & Others		Respondents	
By Legal F	ractitioner for Respondents:	Shri RC Shukla, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	00.04.0000			
	03.04.2023	where Member (1)		
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)			
	Hon ble Maj Gen Sanjay S	ingn, weinder (A)		
	On the case being taken up for hearing Ms Meghna Gupta, Advoca			
	holding brief for Shri Vinay Misra and Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for t		h, Ld. Counsel for the	
	applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Ms Meghna Gupta, Advocate prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day.			
	List on 31.05.2023 .			
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore		e Anil Kumar) ber (J)	

<u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 18)</u>

O.A. No. 575 of 2019

Smt Roopa Singh Teveotia, W/o Ex Hav (Late) Aman Pal Singh Teveotia Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing Ms Mehgna Gupta, Advocate holding brief for Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Ms Meghna Gupta, Advocate prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that adjournment was also sought on previous date. It is made clear that on next fixed date no adjournment will be granted to the applicant for arguing the case. List on 08.05.2023. (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

Court No.2 (SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 226 of 2021

Ex Rect Ramu Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) Vakalatnama filed by Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of applicant is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh and Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant are present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present. Departmental representative prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned. List on 31.05.2023. (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

<u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 20)</u>

O.A. No. 787 of 2021

Smt Lekh Maya Gurung W/o Maj PB Gurung

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	List on 11.05.2023 .	
	On request of learned counsel further time is allowed as last opportuni	for respondent No 4 and 5 two weeks ty to file supplementary affidavit
	are present.	
	and Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, lea	rned counsel for respondent No 4 and 5
	for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, lo	earned counsel for respondent No 1 to 3
	On the case being taken up fo	r hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Men Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Mem	
the Registry		
Notes of		
Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Advocate		u Kumar Shvaslava. Advocale

Court No.2 (SI. No. 21)

O.A. No. 81 of 2022

Cpl RS Deo

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ajeet Yadav, Advocate

Applicant Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

	03.04.2023		
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>		
	On the case being ta	ken up for hearing Shri Ajeet Yadav and Shri Ravi	
	Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. On request of learned counsel for the respondents two weeks time is granted to file supplementary counter affidavit alongwith guidelines/policy.		
	List on 30.05.2023 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

Court No.2 (SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 146 of 2022

Devi Bhukker (Through his wife Smt Savita)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate

Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Advocate holding brief for Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. On request of brief holder the case is adjourned. List on 16.05.2023. (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 23)</u>

T.A. No. 55 of 2022

Poonam Devi W/o Late Subhash Chandra

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 03.04.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is present. Departmental representative submits that that this being a transferred application she is not prepared with the case. On request of departmental representative last opportunity of four weeks time is granted to seeks instructions with regard to grant of family pension to second wife. List on 04.07.2023. (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore