

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 384 of 2023 with M.A. No. 469 of 2023

Smt Kamlesh Devi W/o Late Ex Sep Satya Pal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>There is delay of 26 years, 09 months and 06 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 03.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

M.A. No. 454 of 2023 with M.A. No. 455 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 724 of 2021

Union of India & Others

Applicants-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Vinod Kumar Tiwari

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 454 of 2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">There is delay of 11 months and 27 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant-respondents is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that the delay has caused due to departmental correspondence. Thus, his submission is that delay being not deliberate may be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and we find that the delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the above, application for condonation of delay is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 455 of 2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since application for condonation of delay has been rejected, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>Rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 203 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 39 of 2013

Selina John

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Maj RD Singh (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that stay order granted by the Hon'ble Apex Court still holds good.</p> <p>List on 25.07.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 11 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 817 of 2021

Ex ACP Nb Sub Manendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that execution of order is under process. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 11.07.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 13 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 537 of 2022

Ex Rect Ram Moorat Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 30.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

M.A. No. 1303 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1304 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 307 of 2016

Union of India & Others Applicant-respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Versus

Ex Sub Jagirman Rai Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents submits that he will provide correct address within one week which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 26.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 682 of 2022

Ex Cpl Arun Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on 03.07.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 872 of 2022

Ex Sub (Lab Tech) Rajeev Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sanjai Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Anchit Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 14.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 873 of 2022

Ex Sub (Lab Tech) Vidhya Sagar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sanjai Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Anchit Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 14.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 927 of 2022

Smt Munni Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on 17.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 1028 of 2022

Ex Nk Ramfer Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For orders, see our judgment and order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 15 of 2023 with M.A. No. 16 of 2023

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Krishnapal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate, Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate, Shri Sandeep
Tripathi, Advocate and Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Objection filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 16 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Heard Dr. Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents</p> <p>As per office report, there is delay of 14 years, 06 months and 13 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 15 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>List on 11.07.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (SI. No. 13)

O.A. No. 40 of 2023 with M.A. No. 31 of 2023

Ex Sub Ram Prakash Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate**
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u> Reply to objection on delay condonation application is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 31 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application. As per office report, there is delay of 15 years, 01 month and 14 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant has filed reply to objection moved by the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that matter relates to fixation of pay which is a pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Undisputedly relief sought is regarding pay fixation and pensionary benefits for which cause of action accrues month to month and bar of limitation does not apply. Apart from this delay caused in filing O.A. seems to be sufficient.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 40 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>List on 11.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 121 of 2023

Ex Sub Rajesh Kumar Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri SN Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri VP Pandey and Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Departmental representative prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 31.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 131 of 2023

Ex PO MR 1Vikram Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 12.07.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 183 of 2023

Mrs Archana Singh W/o Late Col Samarendra Pratap, VSM Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 03.07.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)

T.A. No. 36 of 2022

Abhishek Kumar Mishra, S/o Sh Krishna Kumar Mishra Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: **Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Shrama Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to provide bench copy within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 12.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)

Diary No. 377 of 2023

Smt Shaheen Bano Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Advocate holding brief for Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Defect pointed out by the registry has not been removed.</p> <p>Brief holder prays for is granted a week's further time as last opportunity to remove the defect failing which the case will be dismissed in non prosecution.</p> <p>List on 29.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 558 of 2018

Nk (Amb Asst) Ram Prasad Ahirwar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the parties are directed to file written submission for perusal of the Bench within four weeks.</p> <p>List on 04.07.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 158 of 2020

Ex Sep Lakhveer Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri SN Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the parties. Written submissions have not been filed. Two weeks further time is granted to file written submission. List on 11.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 660 of 2020

Ex Rect Heera Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Written submission filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file written submission.</p> <p>List on 17.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 167 of 2021

Smt Prem Bati, W/o Late Ex Nb Sub Ram Sarup Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ms. Nisha Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 11.07.2023..</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 197 of 2021

Smt Prem Bati, W/o Late Ex Nb Sub Ram Sarup Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ms. Nisha Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 11.07.2023..</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>