

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

Reserved

**O.A. No 842 of 2021**

**Sardar Raja Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Order pronounced today in the open Court.</p> <p>O.A. No. 842 of 2021, Sardar Raja Singh, vs. Union of India and others is <b>partly allowed.</b></p> <p>For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Supplementary**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.1)**

**O.A. No. 32 of 2023**

**Ex Cpl Nabin Sahu**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>On the last date, learned counsel for the applicant was asked why he has approached this Tribunal without availing alternate remedy. He sought one day time to file his reply. Today neither learned counsel for the applicant is present nor he has filed any reply.</p> <p>As a matter of last chance, one more opportunity is granted to file his reply regarding availing of alternate remedy.</p> <p>List on <b>24.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Supplementary**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.2)**

**O.A. No. 316 of 2022 with M.A. No. 368 of 2022**

**Gp Capt Bhupinder Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed with the prayer to set aside the displeasure order dated 12.07.2016 passed by respondent No. 3 and expunge any adverse remark if endorsed in Annual Confidential Report of the applicant on account of award of censure.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that Leave Travel Concession Claim of applicant and his family for Rs. 21,038/- was promulgated with Accounts Section. On scrutiny, it was revealed that the journey was performed only by the family of the applicant. Applicant could not perform journey and his ticket was cancelled but due to oversight, claim was submitted on behalf of both the</p>

applicant as well as for his family. A show cause notice was issued and officer was awarded censure. Due to award of censure, applicant was not empanelled for promotion to the next rank. He preferred statutory complaint against the censure before the respondents which was rejected. Then applicant filed O.A. No 1577 and M.A. No 1753 of 2018 before AFT Principal Bench, New Delhi which was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 24.09.2018. The applicant again filed application to recall the order dated 24.09.2018 which was rejected. The applicant further filed O.A. No 2111 of 2018 being aggrieved by censure awarded to him in 2016 for financial impropriety and non- empanelment to the rank of Air Commodore which was dismissed vide order dated 04.12.2020. Learned counsel for the applicant pleaded that keeping in view the excellent service profile of the officer, award of censure be set aside and any adverse remark if endorsed in Annual Confidential Report of the applicant on account of award of censure be expunged.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that this Original Application is not maintainable in this Tribunal. Applicant filed O.A. No 1577 of 2018 before AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi for non- empanelment for promotion and expunge of censure order which was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 24.09.2018. The applicant again filed O.A. No 12111 of 2018 before AFT Principal Bench with the prayer to set aside censure award for financial impropriety and non-empanelment to

the rank of Air Commodore and to decide his statutory complaint. His statutory complaint was rejected by the respondents by a reasoned and speaking order. Original Application was dismissed by AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi on merit after hearing learned counsel of both the parties vide order dated 04.12.2020. Learned counsel for the respondents also stated that in para 4.15 of the O.A., the applicant has stated that he has also preferred a Writ Petition against the order of AFT Principal Bench, New Delhi dated 04.12.2020 before the Hon'ble Delhi High Court for redressal of his grievance and as such it is abundantly clear that applicant has availed several forums for redressal of common questions which is in utter contravention of the settled legal prepositions. Instant O.A. has no substance and is liable to be dismissed at the admission stage.

We have heard learned counsel of both the parties and perused the documents available on record.

The question before us to decide is "whether this O.A. is maintainable in this Tribunal or not"?

On perusal of document available on record, it transpires that applicant preferred wrong claim of Leave Travel Concession for Rs. 21,038/- towards the journey from Vadodara to Pathankot and back on 13.06.2013 and 17.06.2013 respectively by rail along with spouse, son, daughter and dependent mother whereas the railway records show that the PNR Numbers quoted in support of the said

journey were cancelled prior to the commencement of journey and as such the said journey was not preferred as claimed. Audit had also revealed that two of three PNRs related to onward journey were cancelled prior to commencement of the journey. The return PNR was also cancelled before commencement of the journey. It was the responsibility of the officer to ensure correctness of the claim before preferring. A show cause notice was issued and applicant was awarded censure. Applicant preferred statutory complaint dated 17.05.2018 against non-empanelment for promotion which was decided by the respondents by a reasoned and speaking order vide letter dated 05.10.2018. The applicant was informed that the Board has considered all officers as per the provisions of promotion Policy dated 30.12.2015 and as per provisions of AFO 03/2008. He was not empanelled for promotion based on comparative merit and there was no discrimination against him. Then applicant filed O.A. No 1577 of 2018 before AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi, with the prayer for non-empanelment for promotion and expunging of censure order which was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 24.09.2018 with liberty to file a fresh. He further filed another O.A. for recall of order dated 24.09.2018 which was rejected. The applicant again filed O.A. No 1919 of 2018 which was withdrawn vide order dated 04.12.2018. Finally, he filed O.A. No 2111 of 2018 against the censure awarded to him for financial impropriety and non-empanelment to the rank of

Air Commodore which was dismissed on merit after hearing learned counsel of both the parties by a detailed order dated 04.12.2020. Learned counsel for the applicant in the O.A. has stated that he has filed Writ Petition against the order dated 04.12.2020 passed by AFT Principal Bench, New Delhi before Hon'ble Delhi High Court which is pending. Thus, it appears that applicant has raised these issues at AFT Principal Bench by filing number of applications and those applications have already been dismissed on merit.

In view of above we are of the opinion that instant Original Application is not maintainable and is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, O.A. is **dismissed** as not maintainable.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)  
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)  
Member (J)

Ukt/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.1)**

**Ex-A. No. 95 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 143 of 2015**

**Shaikh Ibrahim**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal is in process. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>List on <b>02.02.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.2)**

**Ex-A No. 2 of 2021 with M.A. No. 180 of 2021**  
**Inre: O.A. (A) No. 98 of 2014**

**Lt Col Subhash Chandra Pandey (Retd)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Tarun Pillai, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal is in process. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>List on <b>02.02.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.3)**

**Ex-A No. 89 of 2022 Inre: T.A. No. 1283 of 2010**

**Ex Sep Inderjeet Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file objection against compliance report.</p> <p>List on <b>27.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.4)**

**Ex- A No. 132 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 234 of 2020**

**Ex Sgt Pradyumn Pathak**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file objection against compliance report.</p> <p>List on <b>25.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.5)**

**O.A. No. 208 of 2014**

**Pravind Kumar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri KP Datta, Advocate submits that Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant is out of station and prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on <b>20.01.2023</b>.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.6)**

**O.A. No. 752 of 2020**

**Dhakne Deepak Babanrao**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has filed counter affidavit. He has received certain points which he wants to bring on record by way of supplementary counter affidavit. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file supplementary affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>13.02.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.7)**

**O.A. No. 831 of 2021**

**Ex JWO Rishikesh Tiwary**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Supriya Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Sqn Ldr Ashutosh Pandey, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he shall provide copy of the rejoinder affidavit to learned counsel for the respondents during course of the day.</p> <p>List on <b>14.02.2023</b> for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents including medical board proceedings pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p>

	<p>Let a copy of this order be provided to respondents.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>
--	--

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.8)**

**O.A. No. 102 of 2022**

**Dfr (ADT) Pradeep Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<p><b>Orders of the Tribunal</b></p> <p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Major Tarun Pillai, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">No one is present on behalf of the applicant. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>17.02.2023</b>.</p>
------------------------------	--

	<b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)
--	---	---

Ukt/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.9)**

O.A. No. 105 of 2022

**Ex Rect Vikas Kumar**  
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p> <u>11.01.2023</u>  <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u>  <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u> </p> <p style="text-align: center;">           On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Tripathi, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri         </p>



	<p>Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Rahul Tripathi, Advocate submits that his senior Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant is not available today and prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on <b>21.02.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>
--	--

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (SI. No.10)**

**O.A. No. 380 of 2022**

**Ex Rect Brijesh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p>

	<p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikash Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>17.02.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>
--	--

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.11)**

**O.A. No. 735 of 2022 with M.A. No. 908 of 2022**

**Ex Sep /ASH Munna Rai**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
------------------------------	-------------------------------

**11.01.2023**

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)**

**Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)**

On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file objection against condonation of delay.

List on **07.02.2023**.

**(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
Member (J)

Ukt/-

**Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.12)**

**O.A. (A) No. 626 of 2022**

**Sgt Suraj S**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>24.02.2023</b>.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.13)**

**O.A. (A) No. 1103 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1315 of 2022**

**Hav Clk Swapnil santosh Suryavanshi**  
**through Pairokar Smt, Varsha Swapnil**

**Applicant**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate, holding brief of Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Objection on maintainability of the case filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that applicant has committed heinous crime and if he is granted bail, it will adversely affect the case, hence applicant should not be granted bail.</p> <p>Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate, prays for and is granted 2 days' time to file reply to objection on maintainability of the case.</p> <p>List on <b>17.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.2 (Sl. No.14)**

T.A. No. 65 of 2022

**Pnr Ramashankar Ram S/o Garjan**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

**Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Vakalatnama filed by Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as counsel for the petitioner when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Tripathi, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Rahul Tripathi, Advocate submits that paper book of the case is not held with him and prays for adjournment. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on <b>24.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.15)**

**O.A. No. 481 of 2021 with M.A. No. 502 of 2021**

**Abhishek Badoni (Army Cadet)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents has raised objection on maintainability of the case. He prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file objection on maintainability as well as delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on <b>07.02.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.16)**

**O.A. No. 593 of 2022**

**Ex JWO Rakesh Kumar Sachdeva**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Subject matter of the case pertains to roster of Court No 3, hence case is transferred in Court No 3.</p> <p>List before Court No 3 as per roster on <b>27.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.17)**

**O.A. (A). No. 292 of 2016**

**Shaik Shabber**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is are present.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Pleadings have been exchanged and today case was listed for final hearing. As a matter of last chance, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>It is clarified that in case learned counsel for the applicant is not available on the next date fixed, no adjournment shall be granted and the court shall proceed ex-party.</p> <p>List on <b>19.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.19)**

**O.A. (A). No. 784 of 2020**

**Ex Gnr Naresh Kumar Sharma**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rakesh Kumar, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Rakesh Kumar, Advocate submits that his senior Shri Nishant Verma, learned counsel for the applicant is not available today and prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>List on <b>21.02.2023</b>.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.20)**

**O.A. (A). No. 292 of 2021**

**Ex Sigmn Rahul Sorout**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Argument concluded.</p> <p>Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.21)**

**O.A. No. 31 of 2014**

**Jeetendra Bahadur Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that original documents pertaining to case are not available today, hence hearing of the case be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on <b>06.02.2023</b>.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.22)**

**TA. No. 700 of 2010 alongwith T.A. No. 699 of 2010**  
**& T.A. No. 701 of 2010 (Decided Others)**

**Smt. Poonam Srivastava W/O Anand Kumar** **Applicant**  
**Srivastava (Deceased)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment of the case for want of details of all three Transferred Applications. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on <b>30.01.2023</b>.</p>

	<b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)
	Ukt/-	