

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 105 of 2022**

**Ex Rect Vikas Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Anugrah Narayan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh and Shri Anugrah Narayan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Arguments concluded.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Order is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 2)**

**D.Y. No. 488 of 2023**

**Ex POME Raman Sahu** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This O.A. has been filed with a prayer for quashing impugned corrigendum PPO No 248201400572.</p> <p>There is defect as pointed out by the Registry as delay in filing application could not be notified because date is not mentioned in impugned corrigendum PPO.</p> <p>PPO is undated, therefore it is not possible to report whether O.A. has been filed within limitation period or is time barred.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant as precautionary purpose has moved an application for condonation of delay in filing O.A. In affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application it is mentioned that corrigendum PPO in respect of Sailor is uploaded from website and that applicant has been discharged from service w.e.f. 31.07.2014.</p> <p>It is not disputed that in pensionary matter bar of limitation is not applicable because of recurring cause of action. Objection raised regarding bar of limitation will be finally heard and decided at the time of final hearing.</p> <p>Applicant has also moved an application to grant interim relief against recovery of the amount of Rs 40,295/-. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that applicant was discharged on 31.07.2014 i.e. more than 08 years before the filing of this O.A. Notice has not been issued regarding any deduction from pension.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Matter needs adjudication</p>

Admit.

Learned counsel for the respondents to file counter affidavit within four weeks to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two week next.

Till the date of listing, recovery of amount of Rs 40,295/- from pensionary benefits of the applicant shall remain stayed.

List on **28.02.2023**.

**(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)**  
Member (A)

*rathore*

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 203 of 2023 with M.A. No. 211 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Padmaker Prasad Pandey**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Ms Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate  
**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri RC Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Ms Upasna Mishra, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 211 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 05 months and 14 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing the application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 203 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>14.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**EX-A. No. 38 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 34 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Ram Babu Pal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This Execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 04.07.2022 passed in O.A. No. 34 of 2022.</p> <p>Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>29.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)**

**M.A. No. 215 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1076 of 2022**

**Ex HFO Kamlesh Kumar Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 215 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>This application has been filed for correction of name of applicant's counsel in order dated 19.01.2023 passed in O.A. No 1076 of 2022.</p> <p>Shri VP Pandey, Advocate submits that he is the counsel in the instant case whereas name of Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate has wrongly been typed when the order was passed.</p> <p>Having perused the record, we find that Shri VP Pandey, Advocate is counsel in this case and he had filed O.A. No. 1076 of 2022.</p> <p>In view of the above, we are of the view that name of Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate has been wrongly printed in order dated 19.01.2023 which requires correction which is a clerical mistake in the order.</p> <p>Apropos above, name of Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate be deleted and in his place name of Shri VP Pandey, Advocate and Shri RK Singh, Advocate be endorsed as learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>Accordingly, M.A. No. 215 of 2023 is allowed and disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)**

**EX-A. No. 135 of 2022**

**Ex Gdsm Rohitaswa Verma**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the Execution Application.</p> <p>Ld. counsel for the respondents to file affidavit of compliance of modified order dated 14.10.2021 passed in T.A. No. 5 of 2019 and file affidavit of compliance within two weeks.</p> <p>Applicant directed to provide modified copy of order dated 14.10.2022 to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>29.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)**

**EX-A. No. 152 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 21 of 2018**

**Ex Nk/Skt Uma Shankar Yagik** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>None appeared on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of order dated 30.09.2021 passed by of this Tribunal in O.A. No. 21 of 2018 Release Medical Board of the applicant was conducted on 23.01.2022 at Military Hospital, Ahmedabad. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>29.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)**

**EX-A. No. 229 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 171 of 2020**

**Smt Suman Devi W/o Late Ex Lance Nk Ramesh Chandra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri KK Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that application for review of order dated 19.07.2021 passed in O.A. No 171 of 2020 has been filed and admitted by Court No 1 which is listed on 28.03.2023 for hearing. Put up this execution application before the concerned Court alongwith review application on <b>28.03.2023</b>. Meanwhile, respondents shall file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)**

**EX-A. No. 353 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 785 of 2021**

**Ex Sub (Nur Tech) Dhanabalan R** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>Ld. counsel for the Respondents submits that the matter is pending with PIFA. He seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>29.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)**

**EX-A. No. 375 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 55 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Raghu Raj Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>Ld. counsel for the Respondents submits that the matter is pending with PIFA. He seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>29.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 12 of 2021**

**Smt Phulmaya Lamichhane**

**W/o Nk (Late) Hari Bahadur Gurung**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:

Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate  
Shri Revi Raghvan, Advocate

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:

Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate holding brief of Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey and Shri Revi Raghvan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Amrita Chakraborty Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Rahul Pal, brief holder submits that Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. counsel for the applicant is engaged with other Court. He, therefore, seeks and allowed two weeks further time, as a last opportunity, to argue the case on next date fixed, otherwise, the case shall be heard without hearing learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>14.04.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)**

**O.A. No. 414 of 2021**

**Smt Usha Devi M/o Late AC Satyam Aarya** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Shri Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Brief holder Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as a last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>12.04.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 338 of 2022**

**Smt Shiv Kumari Singh**  
**W/o Late L/Nk Rakesh Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate  
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani and Mohd. Zafar Khan Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that copy annexed with CA is not legible. He, therefore, prays to provide legible copy.</p> <p>Respondent Nos. 5 and 6 is directed to file legible copy of Annexure 1 to CA within one week.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>14.04.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O.A. No. 519 of 2022**

**Ris Subhash Chandra Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Girish Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Sher Bahadur Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Girish Kumar Pandey and Shri Sher Bahadur Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>14.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 849 of 2022**

**Sub MV Karunagaran**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed three weeks time, as a last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant counsel within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>18.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 82 of 2023**

**JWO Ram Ashish Vishwakarma (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate  
Ms Upasna Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Kapil Sharma and Ms Upasna Mishra Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time, as a last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant counsel within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>18.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. (A) No. 441 of 2022**

**Rect Vivek Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This case is in regards to DCM and this matter pertains to Court No. 1 as per roster.</p> <p>List this case before the appropriate Bench on <b>13.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 228 of 2015**

**Ramesh Chandra Dixit**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: In Person, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing In Person, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Heard Ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>Objection on point of maintainability of this original application made by the Ld counsel for the respondents has been over ruled vide order dated 05.07.2021.</p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>18.04.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 121 of 2020**

**Ex Rect Sukhwant Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Case is revised. None appeared for the applicant.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>In absence of counsel for the applicant O.A. is dismissed in non prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 582 of 2020**

**Ex Rfn Chandra Bahadur**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing , Advocate holding brief of Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Supplementary counter affidavit filed by Ld. counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Brief holder submits that Applicant Counsel is not feeling well, therefore, he seeks adjournment of the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on <b>20.04.2023</b>.</p> <p>Meanwhile, supplementary rejoinder affidavit may be filed by the applicant counsel.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 675 of 2020**

**Ex Hav Surendra Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri SS Rajawat, Advocate  
Shri Mukesh Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>List has been revised.</p> <p>This case is listed today for hearing. None is present on behalf of the applicant to argue the case.</p> <p>In the interest of justice, list on <b>20.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 661 of 2021**

**Smt Mithlesh Devi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate  
Shri Vinod Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>23.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List has been revised.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">None appears on behalf of the applicant, therefore, in the interest of justice, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>20.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>