

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 325 of 2023

LME Aditya Arun (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Heard Ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 05.04.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 326 of 2023 with M.A. No.368 of 2023

Ratna Kumari M/o Sep Aneesh M Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Shailesh Kumar Pathak, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>There is delay of 04 months and 12 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on maintainability of the O.A.</p> <p>List on 02.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 327 of 2023 with M.A. No. 370 of 2023

Lt Col Anurag Bhai (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed with delay of 03 years, 02 months and 29 days.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on condonation of delay.</p> <p>List on 02.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 330 of 2023 with M.A. No. 373 of 2023

Smt Munni Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Girish Tiwari, Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Shri Sandeep Tripathi and Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Heard Ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Issue notice to respondent Nos. 4, 5, & 6 returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>Steps within a week.</p> <p>List on 02.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 331 of 2023 with M.A. No. 374 of 2023

Smt Priti Widow D/o Ex Late Sep Ram Chander Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Mrs Deepti Prasad Bajpai., Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Heard Ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 02.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 332 of 2023 with M.A. No. 375 of 2023

Ex Hav Pradhan Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 375 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 05 months and 29 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned. Accordingly, delay in filing the application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 332 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 02.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 333 of 2023 with M.A. No. 376 of 2023

Ex Hav Narendra Singh Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 376 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 09 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter.</p> <p>His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned. Accordingly, delay in filing the application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 333 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 31.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 334 of 2023 with M.A. No. 377 of 2023

Ex Hav Bahadur Chhetri Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 377 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 03 years, 02 months and 10 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing the application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 334 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 31.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 335 of 2023 with M.A. No. 378 of 2023

Ex Hav/Hony NbSub Hari Nath Singh & another Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 378 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 04 years and 13 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned. Accordingly, delay in filing the application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 335 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 01.06.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 78 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 266 of 2021

Kashinath Tiwari

S/o Ex Maj Late Mahanth Prasad Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This execution application has been filed for execution of order dated 10.08.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 266 of 2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 31.05.023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

M.A. No. 391 of 2023 with M.A. No. 392 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 774 of 2021

Union of India & Others Applicant-respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Versus

PO Log (Mat) Rahul Dev Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 392 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing application for leave to appeal. The leave to appeal has been preferred with delay of 08 months and 23 days which being not properly explained, delay condonation application deserves to be rejected.</p> <p>In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><u>LTA i.e. M.A. No. 391 of 2023</u></p> <p>This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against judgment and order dated 26.04.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 774 of 2021.</p> <p>Since application for condonation of delay has been rejected, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

EX-A No. 86 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 303 of 2019

Rect Beeresh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Compliance report has not been filed.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file compliance report subject to payment of cost of Rs 5,000/- which shall be paid to the applicant.</p> <p>List on 22.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

EX-A No. 172 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 428 of 2017

Ex Sep Gaya Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent Nos. 4 and 5.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 22.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

EX-A No. 184 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 733 of 2020

Ex Rect/NA Hari Om Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Compliance report filed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on the compliance report.</p> <p>List on 23.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

EX-A No. 255 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 517 of 2017

Ex Sep Rahul Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Compliance report filed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on the compliance report.</p> <p>List on 23.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

EX-A No. 379 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 71 of 2020

Smt Vitana Devi, W/o Late Sep Surya Pal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The execution application has been filed for execution of order dated 30.11.2020 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 71 of 2020.</p> <p>On 10.02.2023, respondents were granted four weeks time to file affidavit of compliance with further directions that if affidavit of compliance is not filed within the aforesaid period, the officer responsible for non implementation of the order shall present physically before the Bench on next date.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending before the PIFA. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which additional cost of Rs 10,000/- shall be imposed which shall be recovered from the officers responsible for non-implementation of order.</p> <p>Copy of order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents for compliance.</p> <p>List on 23.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)

EX-A No. 396 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 186 of 2022

Hav Clk Deepak Sahu Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 23.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 250 of 2021

Nisi Kumari W/o Ex Hav Raghubansh Chandra Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Advocate
Shri KK Seth, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharmesh Sinha and Shri KK Seth, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file supplementary affidavit.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 311 of 2021

Smt Chandrakanta, W/o Hav Krishna Pal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Shailendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Counter affidavit and Vakalatnama filed by Shri Praveen Pratap Singh, Advocate on behalf of respondent No 7 is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 759 of 2022

Ex Hav Vishweshwar Dayal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Ms. Sunita Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant list this case on 29.03.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 986 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1194 of 2022

Mrs Dhan Maya Thapa Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief for Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 132 of 2023

Ex JWO Manikant Pathak

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit has been sent to the concerned authority for vetting and the same shall be filed shortly.</p> <p>He seeks and is allowed a week's time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 29.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 136 of 2023

Ex Nk Chinta Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 30.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 182 of 2023

Ex Sgt Bramha Lal Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that after the Sixth Central Pay Commission, the Central Government fixed 1st July, as the date of increment for all Government Employees, thereafter, the applicant being enrolled on 06.06.1986 and retired on 30.06.2015 is entitled for grant of last increment due on 01.07.2015 as per decision of the Hon'ble Madras High Court in the case of <i>P. Ayamperumal Versus the Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench and Others</i> (W.P. No. 15732 of 2017, decided on 15.09.2017) and this Tribunal judgment in OA 366 of 2020, <i>Ex HFL Sarvesh Kumar vs. Union of India & Ors</i>, decided on 12.08.2021.</p> <p>4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that the applicant had served for complete one year from the date of his last annual increment, but he had not been granted annual increment as on the date of his discharge i.e. 30.06.2015 as per policy in vogue since the date of annual increment falls on the following day i.e. 01.07.2015. Therefore, benefit of the Hon'ble Madras High Court order being <i>in personam</i> cannot be extended to the applicant and hence, Original Application is liable to be dismissed.</p> <p>5. The law on notional increment has already been settled by the Hon'ble Madras High Court in the case of <i>P. Ayamperumal Versus the Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench and Others</i> (Supra). Against the said Judgment the Union of India had preferred Special Leave Petition (Civil) Diary No. 22282 of 2018 which was dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 23.07.2018. The relevant portion of the Judgment passed by the Hon'ble Madras Court is excerpted below:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“5. The petitioner retired as Additional Director General, Chennai on 30.06.2013 on attaining the age of superannuation. After the Sixth Pay Commission, the Central Government fixed 1st July as the date of increment</p>

for all employees by amending Rule 10 of the Central Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2008. In view of the said amendment, the petitioner was denied the last increment, though he completed a full one year in service, i.e., from 01.07.2012 to 30.06.2013. Hence, the petitioner filed the original application in O.A.No.310/00917/2015 before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench, and the same was rejected on the ground that an incumbent is only entitled to increment on 1st July if he continued in service on that day.

6. In the case on hand, the petitioner got retired on 30.06.2013. As per the Central Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2008, the increment has to be given only on 01.07.2013, but he had been superannuated on 30.06.2013 itself. The judgment referred to by the petitioner in State of Tamil Nadu, rep. by its **Secretary to Government, Finance Department and others v. M. Balasubramaniam**, reported in CDJ 2012 MHC 6525, was passed under similar circumstances on 20.09.2012, wherein this Court confirmed the order passed in W.P.No.8440 of 2011 allowing the writ petition filed by the employee, by observing that the employee had completed one full year of service from 01.04.2002 to 31.03.2003, which entitled him to the benefit of increment which accrued to him during that period.

7. The petitioner herein had completed one full year service as on 30.06.2013, but the increment fell due on 01.07.2013, on which date he was not in service. In view of the above judgment of this Court, naturally he has to be treated as having completed one full year of service, though the date of increment falls on the next day of his retirement. Applying the said judgment to the present case, the writ petition is allowed and the impugned order passed by the first respondent-Tribunal dated 21.03.2017 is quashed. The petitioner shall be given one notional increment for the period from 01.07.2012 to 30.06.2013, as he has completed one full year of service, though his increment fell on 01.07.2013, for the purpose of pensionary benefits and not for any other purpose. No costs.”

6. In view of law laid down by the Hon'ble Madras High Court, upheld by the Hon'ble Apex Court, we are of the view that since the applicant had completed one full year service as on 30.06.2015, but the increment fell due on the next day of his retirement 01.07.2015, on which date he was not in service, he has to be treated as having completed one full year of service.

6. In view of the above, the Original Application is **allowed**. The impugned order, if any, is set aside. The applicant shall be given one notional increment for the period from 01.07.2014 to 30.06.2015, as he has completed one full year of service, though his increment fell due on 01.07.2015, for the purpose of pensionary benefits and not for any other purpose, after verifying documents.

7. The respondents are directed to issue fresh Corrigendum P.P.O. accordingly. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment

8. Let a copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.

9. No order as to costs.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

rpm/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 220 of 2023 with M.A. No.231 of 2023

Maj Sunand Kumar Chaturvedi (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 231 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 07 years, 08 months and 04 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing the application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 220 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 30.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rpm/-</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 26)

DY. NO. 878 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 121 of 2020

Ex Rect Sukhwant Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that learned counsel for the applicant has not filed affidavit with recall application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to file affidavit and remove the defects within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 05.04.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 575 of 2019

Smt Roopa Singh Teveotia,
W/o Ex Hav (Late) Aman Pal Singh Teveotia Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>List on 03.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 642 of 2021

Chandra Bhan Singh
(Through Parokar Vivek Singh(Son)) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ishan Baghel, Advocate
Ms. Veena Rajes, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Shrama, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>This case has been taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>None is present on behalf of the applicant to address the Court. It seems that applicant has no interest in pursuing the matter.</p> <p>Case is dismissed for non prosecution.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 831 of 2021

Ex JWO Rishikesh Tiwary

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Ms. Supriya Tiwari, Advocate
Col RC Dixit (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Supriya Tiwari and Col RC Dixit (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Arguments concluded.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Judgement is reserved.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 444 of 2022

Smt Rajani Devi
W/o Late Ex Rfn Dinesh Kumar Solanki Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Arguments concluded.</p> <p>Judgement is reserved.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 488 of 2022

L/NK Vipin Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ganga Prasad, Advocate
Shri Prasun Mishra, Advocate
Shri Santosh Kumar Gupta

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ganga Prasad and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time, as a last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 01.06.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 741 of 2022

Smt Noor Saba

Widow Late Ex Nk Syed Moharram Ali

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:

Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:

Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate holding brief of Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Brief holder Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate seeks adjournment of the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 26.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 33)

T.A. No. 4 of 2021

Sub Maj (Hony Lt) Santosh Kumar Pandey (Retd) Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri R Chandra, Advocate
Shri KC Ghildiyal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, learned counsel for the respondents seeks adjournment.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 19.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 34)

T.A. No. 10 of 2022

Smt Rajeshwari Devi,
W/o Late Hav Ram Vir Singh Chouhan Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Vakalatnama filed by Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate holding brief of Dr. Shailendra Sharam Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>Brief holder prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit failing which the opportunity of filing counter affidavit shall be closed.</p> <p>List on 19.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>