

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 348 of 2023 with M.A. No. 398 of 2023

Ex JWO Satish Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:

Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:

Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar, Advocate holding brief for Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 398 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is a delay of 02 years, 03 months and 04 days in filing original application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 348 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>List on 01.06.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 350 of 2023 with M.A. No.400 of 2023

Ex CPL Sibnath Banerjee

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar, Advocate holding brief for Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 400 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is a delay of 01 year, 07 months and 03 days in filing original application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 350 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>List on 01.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)
O.A. No. 351 of 2023 with M.A. No.404 of 2023

JWO Rajeev Kumar Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar, Advocate holding brief for Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 404 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is a delay of 02 years, 03 months and 04 days in filing original application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 351 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>List on 05.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 354 of 2023 with M.A. No.408 of 2023

Driver Engine Static Tula Ram S/o Late Puran Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Gyanendra Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Gyanendra Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>There is delay of 30 years and 28 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 05.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 34 of 2023 with M.A. No.182 of 2023 Inre T.A. 24 of 2021

Ex Gnr Yogendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Advocate
Shri Ramakant Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ramakant Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 22.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

M.A. No. 1144 of 2022 with M.A. No.1145 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 428 of 2017

Gaya Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Let fresh notice be issued to the applicant's correct address returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>Steps within a week.</p> <p>List on 22.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 425 of 2022

Ex Hav(Hony Nb Sub) Bholu Shankar Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>Arguments heard.</p> <p>Order is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 607 of 2022

Ex Hony Sub Anil Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>Arguments heard.</p> <p>Order is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 695 of 2022

Ex Nk Dalvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri DK Dixit, Advocate holding brief for Shri Ved Prakash Pandey and Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by brief holder, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>List on 22.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 776 of 2022

Poonam Choudhary

W/o Late Lt Col Abhinav Choudhary

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:

Shri Abhinav Bhattacharya, Advocate

Shri Divya Singh, Advocate

Shri Amber Lal Gupta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:

Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhinav Bhattacharya, Shri Divya Singh and Shri Amber Lal Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Despite being given last opportunity, no counter affidavit has been filed, therefore opportunity to file counter affidavit is closed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 23.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 871 of 2022

Sub Rajvir Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Prahlad Maurya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Prahlad Maurya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>As prayed two weeks time, as last opportunity, is granted to learned counsel for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on 23.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 894 of 2022

Ex Sgt Satish Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh and Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Arguments heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Order is reserved.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 970 of 2022

Rambriksh Ram S/o Late Sh Shivshankar Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Abdul Fazal Jaffrey, Advocate
Shri Ram Manohar Kashyap, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abdul Fazal Jaffrey and Shri Ram Manohar Kashyap, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 03.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 133 of 2022

Smt Sanjeev, W/o Late Sep Mange Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>List on 03.07.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

T.A. No. 33 of 2022

Smt Siva Rani, W/o Late Sep Shri Ram Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Ms. Hemlata, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Hemlata, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Substitution application filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection.</p> <p>List on 20.04.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 120 of 2020

Smt Anita Singh,
W/o Late Ex Nk Indrajeet Singh & Others Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief for Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate holding brief for Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On submission made by brief holders the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 594 of 2021

Smt Mamta Chauhan Widow of Late Maj Virendra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 108 of 2022

Ex Craftsman/ Welder Krishna Bhan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief for Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On submission of brief holder, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 694 of 2022

Ex L/Nk (SHGD) Kirshna Bihari Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col RA Pandey (Retd), Advocate
Shri Arun Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Arun Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On request of Shri Arun Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant the case is adjourned</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 23.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 798 of 2022

Smt Birbala W/o Late Ex Nk (TS) Sadhu Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Arguments concluded.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Order is reserved.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)

T.A. No. 58 of 2022

Smt Radha Devi
W/o Late Shaheed Dinesh Singh Bhadauriya Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: **Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri JN Mishra, Advocate**
Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri JN Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the petitioner is not present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vide order dated 30.11.2022 learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to provide copy of transferred application to learned counsel for the respondents, which as per learned counsel for the respondents has not been provided.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the petitioner to provide copy of transferred application to learned counsel for the respondents within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 585 of 2022

Capt (IN) Kamlesh Kumar Varma (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Abhishek R Shukla, Advocate
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate
Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhishek R Shukla and Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The case is partly heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 03.05.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>