

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 2)

O. A. No. 886 of 2023 with M. A. No. 1145 of 2023

Ex Sub (Dvr/MT) Tej Chand Rajwar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri K.K.S. Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M. A. No. 1145 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. As per report of registry there is delay of 10 months and 25 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Heard Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>6. Considering that grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O. A. No. 886 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>11. List on 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 3)

O. A. No. 887 of 2023 with M. A. No. 1146 of 2023

Saraswati Devi, W/o Late Sub Kedar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla and
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being listed for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant wants to withdraw this application. He is permitted to withdraw this application with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p>4. This O.A. is dismissed as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 4)

O. A. No. 888 of 2023 with M. A. No. 1147 of 2023

Ex Hav Arun Kumar Vimal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla and
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1147 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 02 years, 07 months and 12 days in filing Original Application. In O.A. prayer has been made for grant of notional increment after discharge from service which is part of pension.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 888 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 03.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 5)

O. A. No. 890 of 2023 with M. A. No. 1149 of 2023

Ex L/Nk Ranvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1149 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 10 months and 25 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 890 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 04.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O. A. No. 876 of 2023 with M. A. No. 1126 of 2023

Ritu Pandey D/o Ex LS Late Kailash Nath Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashish Ashthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1126 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 01 year, 09 months and 28 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Dharam Raj Singh and Shri Ashish Ashthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 876 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 05.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

EX- A. No. 231 of 2022 Inre T. A. No. 6 of 2021

Ex Sgt Somdatt Awasthi Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate holding brief for Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that in the corrigendum PPO placed on record the amount with regard to disability element has been shown as Nil whereas applicant is getting disability element of pension with effect from his date of discharge i.e. 01.07.2018.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to seek instructions regarding non reflection of disability element in the said corrigendum PPO. Objection on the above point may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within a week.</p> <p>5. List on 25.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX- A. No. 121 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 676 of 2022

Nk Ram Pal (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

M. A. No. 929 of 2023 with M.A. No. 930 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 23 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Hav (PA) Sachin Kumar Yadav

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for applicant-respondents.</p> <p>2. Notice has been issued on 13.07.2023. Service is still awaited.</p> <p>3. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

O. A. No. 421 of 2022

Ex Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Akhilesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were directed to file better affidavit clarifying details of LTC which has not been filed. Respondents may file better affidavit by the next date fixed.</p> <p>3. List on 12.09.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

O. A. No. 1027 of 2022

Hony Sub Mahboob Ali (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj K Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj K Shukla, Advocate appeared on behalf of the applicant and submitted that he wants to withdraw this application.</p> <p>2. Accordingly, he is allowed to withdraw this application with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p>3. This O.A. is dismissed as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

O. A. No. 165 of 2023

Ex Sub Ravi Sharan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

O. A. No. 505 of 2023

Ex MWO Prathvi Pal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Shri DC Lohumi, Advocate appeared and submitted that he will file vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No 5 and 6. He prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No 5 and 6.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next.</p> <p>4. List on 25.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O. A. No. 537 of 2023

Ex WO (Hony Fg Offr) Kanta Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the parties to address the court.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is granted one week and no more time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 30.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O. A. No. 542 of 2023 with M. A. No. 663 of 2023

Ex Hony Nb Sub Santosh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Objection to delay condonation application has not been filed on behalf of the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 663 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 14 years, 10 months and 22 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 542 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

T. A. No. 22 of 2023

Smt Bala Devi

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Ms Alka Saxena, Advocate

Versus

Smt Monika Tyagi & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Ms Alka Saxena, Advocate on behalf of the Petitioner is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as counsel for the petitioner when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms Alka Saxena, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to provide bench copy within a week and file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

M. A. No. 408 of 2022 Inre O. A. No. 358 of 2022

Smt Ram Maya Ghale W/o Late Ex Nk Dambar Bahadur Ghale Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In compliance of order dated 03.05.2023 amendment has been incorporated in O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file objection, if any, on the point of maintainability and delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. List on 26.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

O. A. No. 91 of 2017 alongwith M. A. No. 470 of 2017

Jageshwar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri DS Kauntae, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present to press this application.</p> <p>2. On the next date learned counsel for the respondents shall provide original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the bench.</p> <p>3. List on 10.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O. A. No. 241 of 2018

Anuj Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file points related to arguments in nutshell.</p> <p>3. List on 12.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

T. A. No. 15 of 2017 with M.A. No. 35 of 2020

Bhupendra Singh Bhaduria Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Smt Monika Tyagi & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list on 08.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) <i>rathore</i></p>