

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)**

**EX-A. No. 316 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 478 of 2021**

**Ex MEI Anuj Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. counsel for the Applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant was granted time to file objection, if any, on compliance report but the same has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that order has been complied with. Since order has been complied with, this execution application become infructuous and the same is disposed off as full satisfaction of the applicant.</p> <p>4. Cost which has already imposed on the respondents is waived off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RPM/-/</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**EX-A. No. 348 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 774 of 2021**

**Ex PO LOG (MAT) Rahul Dev** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the applicant, Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents, Lt Cdr Aman Chhibber and Sh. Vivek Bai Patel, DPA, Legal(Navy) are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance has not been filed. Cost due against respondents has also not been deposited. Respondents are unable to state status of implementation of the Court order.</p> <p>3. On request of Ld. counsel for the respondents, three weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/-. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer explaining the cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>4. Copy be provided to Ld. counsel for the respondents/Legal Cell, AFT, Lucknow.</p> <p>5. List on <b>05.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> RPM/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 2 of 2023**

**Cdr Onkar Prasad Pattnaik (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents at length.</p> <p>4. Arguments concluded, judgement reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 328 of 2023

**POM(H) Sunil Kumar Singh (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. counsel for the applicant, Shri Rajiv Pandey, advocate holding brief of the Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4, Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. counsel for the respondent No.5, Lt Cdr Aman Chhibber and Sh. Vivek Bai Patel, DPA, Legal(Navy) are present.</p> <p>2. Despite several opportunities granted to respondents to file counter affidavit, counter affidavit has not been filed. Opportunity to file counter affidavit is hereby closed. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have not yet been provided to him to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>05.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> RPM/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)**

**O. A. No. 679 of 2023 with M.A. No. 820 of 2023**

**Ex LME (Reservist) Harshawan Joshi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Written Objection against delay condonation application has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 820 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed with delay of 40 years, 7 months and 08 days.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action accrue in each month and therefore, bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant sent several letters to the respondents from the year 1980 and reminders sent in the year 1981, 1984, 1995 &amp; 2002 but no action was taken by the respondents to grant pension to the applicant. The applicant submitted all required documents in pursuance of Records, Bureau of Sailors letter dated 13.05.2002. Hence, there is no deliberate delay in filing Original Application but circumstantial and procedural delay on the part of Records, PDA and PCDA (Pension). Therefore, delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents vehemently opposed the prayer for condonation of delay and submitted that there is long delay of more than 40 years which has not been properly explained.</p> <p>6. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters does not accrue on a specific date but it runs from month to month and grounds and reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p>

7. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

**O.A. No. 679 of 2023**

8. Matter needs adjudication.

9. Admit.

10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11. List on **19.12.2023**.

**(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
**Member (J)**

*SB*

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)**

**O.A. No. 928 of 2023**

**Smt Geeta Mishra M/O Late PNR Reetesh Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 8 are present.</p> <p>2. Notice was issued to the respondent No. 9 (SBI). Shri Suresh Chandra Pandey, Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondent No. 9. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent No. 9.</p> <p>3. Notice has not been issued yet to respondent No. 10. Let notice be issued to respondent No. 10 returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</p> <p>4. List on <b>09.01.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> RPM/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 973 of 2023**

**Ex PO (UW) Shivashankar Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> RPM/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)**

**O.A. No. 975 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1294 of 2023**

**Ex PO (US) Hemant Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate holding brief of Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1294 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, holding brief of Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for grant of promotion to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 years, 03 months and 24 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>4. Applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay. Objection on delay condonation applicant has already been filed by Ld. counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>5. Reply to objection on delay condonation application filed by Ld. counsel for the applicant, today is taken on record. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. We are of view that grounds shown in delay condonation application is sufficient, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 975 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>05.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> RPM/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 1086 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1480 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Gyaneshwar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi  
and Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1480 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, holding brief of Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for grant of pay and allowances to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year and 04 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>4. Applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay. Objection on delay condonation applicant has not been filed by Ld. counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. We are of view that grounds shown in delay condonation application is sufficient, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1086 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>12.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> RPM/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)**

**O.A. No. 1090 of 2023**

**Ex CPO COM (EW) Yogendra Prasad Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 5 are present.</p> <p>2. Mhod. Zafar Khan, Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondent No. 6. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent No. 6.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit has not been filed. On prayer of Ld. counsel for the respondents, four weeks time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>06.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RPM/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 1091 of 2023**

**Ex POM P1 Hem Raj** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>06.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> RPM/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O.A. No. 1131 of 2023**

**Ex POME (SD) Ravinder Samant** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Yogesh Chandra Bhatt, Advocate on behalf of the respondents No. 5 and 6 is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the said respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 and Shri Yogesh Chandra Bhatt, Ld. counsel for the respondents No. 5 and 6 are present.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit</p> <p>4. Interim order passed in favour of the applicant is extended till further order.</p> <p>5. List on <b>06.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RPM/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)**

**T.A. No. 31 of 2023**

**Smt Sakina Khatoon**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Saurabh Tiwari, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Tiwari, Ld. counsel for the petitioner and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Bench copy has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant directed to provide Bench copy and a copy of petition to Ld. counsel for the respondents within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>13.12.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> RPM/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 740 of 2022**

**Ex LCK (S) Ghanshyam Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents at length.</p> <p>3. Arguments concluded, judgement reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RPM/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 741 of 2022**

**Smt Noor Saba Widow Late Ex Nk Syed Moharram Ali** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra  
and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri R Chandra and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents at length.</p> <p>3. Arguments concluded, judgement reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RPM/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 867 of 2022**

**Ex MCAA-II (Hony. Sub. Lt) Ram Gopal Pandey & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents, Lt Cdr Aman Chhibber and Sh. Vivek Bai Patel, DPA, Legal(Navy) are present.</p> <p>2. In this case, policy matter has been challenged and this O.A. needs physical hearing</p> <p>3. List for final hearing on <b>09.01.2024.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> RPM/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)**

**T.A. No. 55 of 2022**

**Poonam Devi W/o Late Subhash Chandra** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. counsel for the petitioner and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as a last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>14.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> RPM/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>