

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1383 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2000 of 2023  
Nb Sub Ram Babu Verma Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate  
Versus  
Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 2000 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. Heard Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed with delay of 10 months and 22 days.</p> <p>5. Applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>6. Submission of Ld. counsel for the applicant is that subject matter being relating to pensionary benefits, bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. On the other hand, submission of Ld. counsel for the respondents is that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>8. Thus, keeping in view that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds for delay shown in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being sufficient, we condone the delay in filing original application.</p> <p>9. Accordingly, delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1383 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>8. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on <b>22.01.2024</b>.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rpm/-</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

**O.A. No. 1388 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2016 of 2023**

<b>Mrs Krishna Kumari Khatri W/o Late Sep Jit Bahadur Chhetri</b>	<b>Applicant</b>
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava(Retd)	
and Amarendu Srivastava, Advocates	
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b>	<b>Respondents</b>
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate	

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>3. This original application has been filed for grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 02 years, 04 months and 27 days in filing the original application.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that several papers annexed with O.A. are in Nepali language and their translation have not been filed either in Hindi or in English. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection on delay condonation application and also defects in filing the original application.</p> <p>5. List on <b>16.01.2024.</b></p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.3)  
DY-No. 1299 of 2023

Smt Dilsara Devi Gauchan  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. List has been revised. None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>3. In this case, certain defects were pointed out by the Registry and applicant was granted time, as last opportunity, to remove the defects but till date, defects have not been removed.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, this case is <b>dismissed</b> for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rpm/-</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.4)  
DY-No. 1300 of 2023

Smt Gom Jom Ghaleni  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. List has been revised. None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>3. In this case, certain defects were pointed out by the Registry and applicant was granted time, as last opportunity, to remove the defects but till date, defects have not been removed.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, this case is <b>dismissed</b> for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rpm/-</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

**DY-No. 1301 of 2023**

Applicant

## Versus

## Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. List has been revised. None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>3. In this case, certain defects were pointed out by the Registry and applicant was granted time, as last opportunity, to remove the defects but till date, defects have not been removed.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, this case is <b>dismissed</b> for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Smt Bol Maya Poudel alias Bal Maya** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. List has been revised. None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>3. In this case, certain defects were pointed out by the Registry and applicant was granted time, as last opportunity, to remove the defects but till date, defects have not been removed.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, this case is <b>dismissed</b> for non-prosecution.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>  rpm/-</p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**EX-A. No. 134 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 123 of 2010**

Applicant

## Versus

## Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that order under execution has already been complied with. Applicant was granted time to file objection, if any, but the objection has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file objection, if any, on compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>03.01.2024.</b></p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.8)  
EX-A. No. 108 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 390 of 2021

**Ex Sub/SKT Arvind Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate  
Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on <b>23.01.2024</b>.</p> <div><div><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rpm/-</i></div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></div></div>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.9)  
M.A. No. 1144 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1145 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 428 of 2017

Gaya Ram  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal  
and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocates

Applicant  
Versus  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. counsel for the respondent Nos. 4 and 5 are present.</div><div>2. Mohd. Zafar Khan, respondent Nos. 4 and 5 of O.A. No. 428 of 2017, who is applicant in this case, was granted time to file reply to objection, if any, but reply to objection has not been filed.</div><div>3. Mohd. Zafar Khan prays for and granted two weeks further time to file reply to objection.</div><div>4. Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that his name is not mentioned in the cause list as counsel for the applicant. Registry is directed to mention name of Shri Ashok Kumar as counsel for the applicant in cause list of next date.</div><div>5. List on 03.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rpm/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.10)  
O.A. No. 220 of 2016

Radhey Shyam

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. In this case, applicant was granted time to submit list clarifying the payment made by the respondents which is still pending but the same has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week’s further time, as a last opportunity, to file pending list which is yet to be made by the respondents.</div><div>4. List on 08.12.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rpm/-</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.11)  
O.A. No. 465 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Rakesh Kumar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Versus  
Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 03.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>rpm/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.12)  
O.A. No. 664 of 2023

Ex POME Prabhash Kumar Jha

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><b>02.12.2023</b></div><div><b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b></div><div><b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. Notice was issued to respondent No. 6. i.e. Bank. Shri Nitin Khanna, Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondent No. 6. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Vakalatnama alongwith counter affidavit on behalf of the respondent No. 6.</div><div>5. Interim order in favour of the applicant is extended till further order.</div><div>6. List on <b>09.01.2024</b>.</div><div>7. Copy of this order be provided to respondents in usual way.</div></div> <div><div><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> rpm/-</div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.13)  
O.A. No. 852 of 2023

Ex Nk (TS) Satendra Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 03.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>rpm/-</div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.14)**  
**O.A. No. 854 of 2023**

**Ex RFN Sandeep Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Narayan Dutt Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Respondents' counsel is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Major Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>03.01.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.15)**  
**O.A. No. 857 of 2023**

**Ex Nk (TS) Sandeep Bahadur Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate  
Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Heard.</p> <p>5. Arguments concluded. Judgement is reserved.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.16)**

**O.A. No. 1069 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1442 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub Gauri Shankar Maharana** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra  
and Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocates  
Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This original application has been filed for grant of notional increment to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>5. Admit.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>7. List on <b>23.01.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>  rpm/-</p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
O.A. No. 1072 of 2023

Court No.2 (Sl. No.17)

Ex Sub Gulsher Ali  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Applicant  
Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Objection filed on maintainability of O.A. on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard Ld. counsel for the parties for admission of this O.A.</div><div>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents objected that this O.A. has been filed without availing remedies provided under Section 21 of the AFT Act.</div><div>5. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that this O.A. has been filed for grant of notional increment after retirement of the applicant.</div><div>6. We are of view that it is not mandatory to avail alternate remedies in all cases. Matter needs adjudication. Objection raised by the respondent is overruled.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two week thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 17.01.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rpm/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.18)**  
**O.A. No. 1111 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1516 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Chandra Mohan Joshi**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh  
and Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocates

Applicant  
Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application has not been filed.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1516 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. Heard Shri VP Pandey Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of notional increment to the applicant with delay of 01 year and 11 days.</p> <p>5. Applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>6. Submission of Ld. counsel for the applicant is that subject matter being relating to pensionary benefits, bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. On the other hand, submission of Ld. counsel for the respondents is that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>8. Thus, keeping in view that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds for delay shown in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being sufficient, we condone the delay in filing original application.</p> <p>9. Accordingly, delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1111 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>8. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two week thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on <b>17.01.2024</b>.</p> <div><div><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rpm/-</i></div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**RIBUNAL, REGIONAL**  
**O.A. No. 1260 of 2023**

<b>Ex CFN/Support Staff (ER) Akbar Umar Bhaliya</b>	Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Vikash Singh Chauhan, Advocates	
Versus	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b>	Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate	

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In this case, on prayer of the respondents, time was granted to seek instructions and file objection, if any, on maintainability of the O.A. but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as a last opportunity, to file objection on maintainability, if any.</p> <p>4. List on <b>03.01.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> rpm/-</p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.20)  
O.A.(A). No. 1252 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1973 of 2023

**Ex Hav Lal Singh Through Pairokar Bheekam Singh, Brother** Appellant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri T Prashad and  
Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocates  
Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri T Prashad, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection against bail application filed on behalf of the respondent, in Court, today is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. counsel for the parties on bail application at length.</p> <p>4. For order, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>5. List on <b>17.01.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.21)  
T.A. No. 51 of 2022

**L/Nk Deepak Kumar** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Anagh Mishra, Advocate  
Versus  
**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present on behalf of the petitioner.</p> <p>2. Petitioner was granted time to provide bench copy but the same has not been provided. Last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to provide bench copy failing which this T.A. will be dismissed on next date of listing.</p> <p>3. List on <b>03.01.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rpm/-</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL**  
**O.A. No. 202 of 2012**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col Ashok Kumar (Retd)  
and Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

and Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocates  
Versus

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the prayer of Ld. counsel for the applicant, this case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.01.2024</b>.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to provide original documents on next day of listing for perusal of the bench.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
O.A. No. 228 of 2015

Court No.2 (Sl. No.23)

Ramesh Chandra Dixit  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Applicant in person

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><b>02.12.2023</b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. None is present on behalf of the applicant.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on <b>22.01.2024</b>.</div></div><div><div><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rpm/-</i></div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></div></div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.24)  
O.A. No. 296 2015 with M.A. No. 464 of 2019

**Prakash Rai** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Maj RD Singh (Retd), Advocate  
Versus  
**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>02.12.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>2. Despite granting of several opportunities, reply of M.A. No. 464 of 2019 has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file reply as earlier directed by the bench, failing which inference shall be drawn against respondents.</p> <p>4. List on <b>03.01.2024</b>.</p> <div><div><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rpm/-</i></div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></div></div>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.25)  
O.A.(A). No. 106 of 2021

Ex Sep Ranvir Singh(Through Shri Bishram Singh Legal Gardian)     Appellant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate  
Versus  
Union of India & Others     Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.     On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2.     Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he is not prepared to argue the case, today. On his request, the case is adjourned for the day.</div><div>3.     List on 25.01.2024.</div><div>4.     Respondents are directed to provide original documents on next date of listing for perusal of the bench.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rpm/-</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
T.A. No. 84 of 2012

Court No.2 (Sl. No.26)

Narendra Kumar Rana  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Written submission has already been filed by the petitioner.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file written submission, if any.</div><div>4. List on 30.01.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rpm/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>