

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 1)

O. A. No. 915 of 2023 with M. A. No. 1193 of 2023

Ex Nk (TS) Jagdish Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. As per report of the registry there is delay of 02 years, 01 month and 29 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on maintainability and delay condonation application, if any.</p> <p>5. List on 10.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 2)

O. A. No. 916 of 2023 with M. A. No. 1196 of 2023

Ex Nk Ram Kumar Sah & Another

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M. A. No. 1196 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. As per report of the registry there is delay of 02 years, 01 month and 15 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents opposing the application for condonation of delay submitted that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>6. Upon hearing learned counsel for the parties we find that reasons explained in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being sufficient, delay may be condoned.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. M.A. No. 1196 of 2023 is disposed off.</p> <p><u>O. A. No. 916 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which learned counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, within two weeks next.</p> <p>11. List on 10.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 3)

EX- A. No. 243 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 822 of 2022

Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Shauraj Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 30.01.2023 passed in O.A. No. 822 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 4)

M. A. No. 1179 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1180 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 438 of 2019

Union of India & Others

Applicant-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex Hav Kamal Dev Yadav

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M. A. No. 1179 of 2023</u></p> <p>1. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for grant of leave to appeal in O.A. No. 438 of 2019. There is delay of 01 year and 04 months in filing leave to appeal. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>2. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved beyond statutory period. Also the reasons shown in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being not sufficient, delay condonation application is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1180 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal against order dated 26.11.2021 passed in O.A. No. 438 of 2019. Since application for condonation of delay has been rejected, in consequence thereof application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 5)

M. A. No. 1188 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1189 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 221 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicant-respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Ex Nk (TS) Krishna Bahadur Chhetri Respondent-applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1188 of 2023</u></p> <p>1. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>2. There is delay of 03 months and 07 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after receipt of order dated 24.03.2023 from AFT Legal Cell there has been some delay in seeking instructions from the department concerned. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned above and time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 03 months and 07 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>LTA M.A. No. 1189 of 2023</u></p> <p>5. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 24.03.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No 221 of 2022.</p> <p>6. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, leave to appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

EX- A. No. 19 of 2019 Inre T.A. No. 34 of 2010

Ex Sep Vinay Kumar Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh, Advocate
Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh and Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Respondents were directed to verify the calculation sheet filed by the applicant through independent agency/chartered accountant and file report but no report has been filed by the respondents.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to get the calculation sheet verified by independent agency/chartered accountant and file affidavit.4. List on 29.08.2023.5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents and OIC Legal Cell. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

EX- A. No. 173 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 235 of 2020

Pradeep Kumar, S/o Late Ex Hav Gopal Das Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Cost of Rs 10,000/-imposed on the respondents has been deposited on 03.08.2023. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that the matter is pending with PS-4. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 09.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX- A. No. 233 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 263 of 2014

Ex Hav Ishwar Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P Bajpai and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. On 19.05.2023, Senior Accts Officer, PCDA (P), Allahabad had apprised the court that PPO has been generated on 04.05.2023. The said PPO has not been filed. Respondent No 4 submitted that PPO has not been received by bank.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of compliance alongwith PPO within two weeks.</p> <p>5. List on 13.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX- A. No. 325 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 585 of 2019

Nb Ris (RT) Rakesh Kumar Tripathi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Capt Himanshu Tyagi, OIC Legal of Armed Corps Records, Ahmednagar is also present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of concerned officer has been filed and taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost of Rs 10,000/- which shall be payable to applicant.</p> <p>5. List on 08.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX- A. No. 124 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 902 of 2022

Ex Sgt Nishant Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate holding brief for Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Agnihotri , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on 14.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX- A. No. 125 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 901 of 2022

Ex Sgt Rakesh Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate holding brief for Shri VP Pandey and Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on 14.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 695 of 2022

Ex Nk Dalvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate holding brief for Shri Ved Prakash Pandey and Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Brief holder prays for adjournment on the ground that Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant is out of station.</p> <p>3. As prayed, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on 12.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 698 of 2022

Ex JWO Ram Laut Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Shri Sharad Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Shri Sharad Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time as last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 09.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 842 of 2022

Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Surrender Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 30.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O. A. No. 860 of 2022

Chandra Bhan Singh, F/o Late Ex Sep Gitam Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 3 are present.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Notice has not been issued for respondent No. 4. Let notice be issued to respondent No. 4, Chanchal, wife of late Gitam Singh returnable within four weeks. Step within a week.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has impleaded Aman Singh, Yogyata Singh and Archana Singh as applicants being son and daughters of late Gitam Singh but Vakalatnama has not been filed on behalf of the newly impleaded applicants.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Vakalatnama on behalf of newly impleaded applicants.</p> <p>6. List on 27.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 311 of 2023

Ex Sub Raj Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 12.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 312 of 2023

Ex Sgt Mohammad Jamir Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter and rejoinder affidavits filed by the parties are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings completed.</p> <p>4. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A.(A) No. 554 of 2023 with M.A. No. 689 of 2023

Ex Sgt Mahaveer Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate, Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate, Shri
Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate, Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Girish Tiwari, Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Shri Sandeep Tripathi and Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Reply, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that his correct name is Rajesh Shukla but in the cause list it has been shown as Rajesh Sharma.</p> <p>5. Registry is directed to correct name of learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A.(A) No. 594 of 2018

Nk Gyaneshwar Prasad Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate has filed vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant on 17.03.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time as last opportunity to argue the case failing which the case will be decided on the basis of arguments of learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Written arguments may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant by the next date.</p> <p>4. List on 24.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

T.A. No. 50 of 2017

Hari Ram

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Mukesh Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mukesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Respondents were directed to file affidavit regarding weeding out of original documents. Affidavit has not been filed.3. Respondents are directed to file the said affidavit within two weeks.4. List on 31.08.2023.5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents and oIC Legal Cell. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)

T.A. No. 20 of 2022

Ex JWO RBS Yadav Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on 12.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>