

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A No. 1389 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2013 of 2023

Ex Hav Sanjay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Rahul Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2013 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application. There is a delay of 09 months and 29 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown for the delay is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application is disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1389 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 17.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

O.A No. 1390 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2014 of 2023

Ex Hav Heera Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate
Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rakesh Singh, Advocate holding brief for Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Tribunal and Ms Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2014 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 years, 11 months and 11 days.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1390 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List this case on 23.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A No. 1396 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2042 of 2023

Ex Spr Rashpal

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:

Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:

Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he intends to amend the O.A.4. He is permitted to take necessary steps within two weeks.5. List on 08.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. (A) No. 1391 of 2023

Abhay Datt Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This O.A. (Appeal) has been filed under Section 15 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 challenging DCM proceedings and findings of sentence dated 27.02.2023 passed by the DCM.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on maintainability.</p> <p>5. List on 11.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 461 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 706 of 2020

Ex hav Girish Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This is a fresh execution application filed for execution of order dated 24.11.2021 passed in O.A. No 706 of 2022.4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.5. List on 19.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (SI. No. 6)

M.A. No. 2003 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2004 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 850 of 2021

Union of India & Others Applicants-Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex Hav Sharafat Minya Respondent-Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : -

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-respondents Union of India & Others Vs Ex Hav Sarafat Miyan on leave to appeal.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2003 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for grant of leave to appeal in O.A. No. 850 of 2021. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved beyond statutory period by four months and odd. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay under the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. It is well settled proposition of law that the Tribunal is not empowered to condone delay in moving application for leave to appeal. Accordingly application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2004 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This application for grant of leave to appeal has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. In consequence thereof the application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 7)

M.A. No. 2011 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2012 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 37 of 2023

Union of India & Others Applicants-Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Ex Hav Amod Kumar Rai Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : -

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-respondents Union of India & Others Vs Ex Hav Amod Kumar Rai on leave to appeal.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2011 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 18 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after passing of the order dated 09.10.2023 sometime has taken place in obtaining instructions from the respondents. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned above and time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 18 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>LTA No 2012 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 09.10.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No 37 of 2023.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 2017 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2018 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 532 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants-Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Smt. Jal Devi, W/o Late Ex Nk Respondents
Ram Naresh Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-respondent Union of India Others Vs Smt Jal Devi W/o Late Ex Nk Ram Naresh Singh on leave to appeal</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2017 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 08 months in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after passing of the order dated 16.02.2023 sometime has taken place in obtaining instructions from the respondents. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned above and time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 08 months is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>LTA No 2018 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 16.02.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No 532 of 2022.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 9)

M.A. No. 2022 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 676 of 2023

Ex Sgt Reshikesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri -, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed under Section 154 of CPC for correction of judgment dated 01.09.2023 passed in O.A. No. 676 of 2023.4. Correction application is allowed.5. Let the correction be made accordingly. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 163 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. 229 of 2014

Ramvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In this case order under implementation has been stayed by the Hon'ble Apex Court.</p> <p>3. List on 05.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 11)

EX-A. No. 82 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 508 of 2019

Smt. Kalinder Devi alias Kalindi Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Tribunal and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with and she has filed affidavit of compliance.3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed a week's time to file objection on compliance report filed by the respondents.4. List on 09.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No.12)

EX-A. No. 216 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 501 of 2018

Smt. Geeta Pal, W/o Late Ex Cfn Applicant
Ramesh Chandra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further time.4. Respondents are allowed four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs 5,000/- which shall be deposited by next date fixed.5. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of concerned officer regarding progress and present status of order under implementation.6. List on 09.01.2024.7. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/OIC Legal Cell in usual way. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 13)

EX-A. No. 231 of 2022 Inre: T.A. No. 6 of 2021

Ex Sgt Somdatt Awasthi Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms Appoli Srivastava Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has been filed by the respondents on 01.11.2023. Objection may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 09.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 14)

EX-A. No. 381 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 327 of 2021

Smt Kanti Devi W/o Late Ex Hav Suresh Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Respondents were directed to file affidavit of the concerned officer and also reasons for delay in implementation of order, but affidavit has not been filed.4. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that matter is pending with T.A. Directorate. She prays for and is allowed further four weeks time to file affidavit of concerned officer mentioning therein present status of the case.5. Respondents are also directed to deposit cost imposed against them by the next date.6. List on 15.01.2024.7. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/OIC Legal Cell. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 15)

EX-A. No. 234 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 264 of 2018

Smt Vinita Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost of Rs 5,000/-. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of concerned officer alongwith progress of the case.4. List on 15.01.2024.5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/OIC Legal Cell. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 16)

EX-A. No. 326 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 510 of 2022

Smt Shashibala (Divorced Daughter) Late Ex Spr Madan Pal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Tribunal and Shri Ashok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Progress report in implementation of order dated 14.10.2022 filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.4. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that claim documents have been forwarded to PCDA (P), Allahabad. She prays for and is allowed three weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.5. List on 15.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 17)

EX-A. No. 351 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 532 of 2022

Smt Jal Devi, W/o Late Ex Nk Ram Naresh Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 22.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 315 of 2022

Smt Seema Pandey, W/o Late Hav Hemant Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Tribunal and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Supplementary counter filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 22.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 904 of 2022

Km. Meera Verma D/o Late Sub Dhaneshwar Ram Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Navita Sharma, Advocate
Shri Maneesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Suresh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Navita Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 22.01.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 5 of 2023

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Bhamar Pal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate,
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate,
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate,
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Matter is pending before the Larger Bench of AFT, PB, New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 323 of 2023

Ex WO Tarkeshwar Upadhyay Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Tribunal and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed despite granting three opportunities.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he will file counter affidavit within two days.4. List on 09.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 606 of 2023

Ex ACP Nb Sub Chanchal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Tribunal and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Supplementary counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 22.01.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 610 of 2023

Nb Sub (Opr) Pradeep Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate,
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.4. List on 21.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 680 of 2023

Brijesh Kumari W/o Late Ex Hav /MT Rambir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate,

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 29.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 849 of 2023

Ex Sub Maj Bachchu Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 29.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 861 of 2023

Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Santosh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed three weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 29.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 954 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1264 of 2023

Ex Nk Dvr Arvind Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Birendra Kumar Gautam, Advocate
Shri Suhel Ahmad Azmi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>2. Objection has already been filed on delay condonation application. Reply, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 1052 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1416 of 2023

Rajana Pandey W/o Late Spr Akhilesh Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Naraya Dutta Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the case list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 1262 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1798 of 2023

Ex Nk (AT) Bishwanath Ram (Dismissed)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Objection on delay condonation application filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to reply.4. List on 29.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 1278 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1818 of 2023

Smt Dilmani W/o Nk (Late) Mohd Saheed

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>2. Objection filed on behalf of the the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1818 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 30 years, 04 months and 09 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. The Original Application has been filed for grant of ex-gratia compensation.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that there is no delay in filing Original Application as applicant's appeal for grant of ex-gratia compensation was rejected on 08.07.2023 (Annexure No A-1 to O.A.).</p> <p>6. Submission of learned counsel for the respondents is that applicant had filed O.A. No 488 of 2021 which was allowed vide order dated 08.02.2022 granting special family pension to the applicant. His further submission is that applicant has filed this O.A. for grant of ex-gratia compensation after an inordinate delay of more than 30 years, which being not explained properly, delay may not be condoned.</p> <p>7. Having perused submission of learned counsel for the parties, we find that there is no delay in filing Original Application as appeal for grant of ex-gratia compensation has been rejected on 08.07.2023.</p> <p>8. Delay condonation application is disposed off.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1278 of 2023</u></p> <p>12. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 31)

Dairy No. 2246 of 2023

Gnr DMT Akash Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri DS Kauntae, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Certain defects were pointed by Registry. Applicant was directed to remove the defects. The defects have not been removed. On 30.10.2023 applicant was directed to remove defects within four weeks and he was also directed that if defects are not removed, the case will be dismissed in non prosecution.</p> <p>3. Today, neither learned counsel for the applicant is present nor have the defects been removed.</p> <p>4. Dairy No 2246 of 2023 is dismissed in non prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 265 of 2017

Smt Soni Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others (Army) Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No 5 has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondent No 5 prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 15.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 258 of 2021

Ex JWO Manoj Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VK Pandey, Advocate holding brief for Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.3. Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.4. List on 05.02.2024 for hearing.5. In the meantime, written submission may be filed by the parties. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 34)

O.A. No. 477 of 2021

Ex EA (P) 3 Sarvesh Kumar Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, In Person

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma (In Person), and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In this case arguments were heard at length by bench comprising Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava and Lt Gen Atul Kumar Jain. It would be proper to list this matter before the bench having Lt Gen Atul Kumar Jain as one of the member.</p> <p>3. List on 09.01.2024.</p> <p>4. Applicant shall supply copy of written submission to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 35)

O.A. No. 533 of 2022

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Ram Prakash Singh & 4 Other Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Similar matter is pending before the larger bench of AFT, PB, New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on 30.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 36)

O.A. No. 743 of 2022

Gp Capt Rajendra Pal Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before this Tribunal.2. Supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that she has received letter dated 19.10.2023 in which certain new facts have emerged.4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file supplementary counter affidavit.5. List on 08.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 37)

O.A. (A) No. 594 of 2018

Nk Gyanesh war Prasad Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Written submission filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 02.02.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 38)

T.A. No. 82 of 2012

Mahavir Singh Rawat

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Written submission filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. Respondents may file their written submission within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 25.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>