

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2

O. A. No. 408 of 2022

Rect Rinku Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Arvind Kumar Jauhari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Judgment pronounced. 2. O.A. No. 408 of 2022 is partly allowed. 3. For orders, see our judgment and order of date passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 4)
O. A.(A) No. 930 of 2023

Ex Sub M K Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Mishra, Advocate
Shri Vatsaly Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Mishra and Shri Vatsaly Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This O.A. (Appeal) has been filed by the applicant against conviction order of GCM dated 09.09.2022 and confirmation order dated 02.05.2023 by which GCM convicted the applicant with Rigorous Imprisonment of 3 years and dismissal from service.4. Matter needs adjudication.5. Admit.6. It shall be open for the respondents to file counter affidavit, if desire.7. Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to produce original documents pertaining to this appeal on the next date fixed for perusal of the Bench.8. Applicant has moved bail application to which learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to file objection.9. List on 08.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 5)

M. A. No. 1217 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1218 of 2023 Inre O.A No. 300 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Mrs Kamlesh Singh, M/o Late Lt Akash Chaudhary

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 24.03.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 300 of 2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 months and 08 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 6)

O. A. No. 317 of 2023

Ex Nk Devender Singh (DSC) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. For order, see our order passed on separate sheets. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 7)

O. A. No. 220 of 2016

Radhey Shyam Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Accounts Officer, PAO (OR) Rajput Regimental Centre, Fatehgarh (UP).</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

EX- A. No. 38 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 34 of 2022

Ex Nk Ram Babu Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 05.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

EX- A. No. 123 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 204 of 2022

Ex Nk Johar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 08.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

M. A. No. 804 of 2023 with M.A. No. 805 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 810 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Versus

Ex Sub Shiv Pujan Mishra

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">None is present on behalf of the respondent – applicant herein. Objection to leave to appeal has also not been filed by the respondent-applicant.Heard Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others.This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the order dated 30.11.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 810 of 2022.It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 04 months and 29 days in filing of application be condoned.In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

O. A. No. 346 of 2019

Prem Sagar Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate holding brief of learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Despite several opportunities granted, calculation sheet has not been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 10.10.2023 for final arguments.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

O. A. No. 871 of 2022

Sub Rajvir Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Prahlad Maurya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Prahlad Maurya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Amendment application has not been moved by the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week time, as last opportunity, to move amendment application.</p> <p>4. List on 21.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

O. A. No. 904 of 2022

Km. Meera Verma D/o Late Sub Dhaneshwar Ram Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Ms. Navita Sharma, Advocate
Shri Maneesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Suresh Singh on behalf of respondent No. 6 is taken on record. His name may be shown as learned counsel for the respondent No. 6 when the case is next listed.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Navita Sharma and Shri Maneesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 5 and Shri Suresh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 6 are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondent No. 6 prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 30.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;"><small>SB</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

O. A. No. 476 of 2023

Ex Sep ACP-1 Rakesh Chandra Pandey & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. 2. Heard arguments of Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 3. For order, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

O. A. No. 564 of 2023

Major Namita Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>5. List on 16.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O. A. No. 580 of 2023

Smt Chandrami Devi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama and counter affidavit filed by Mohd. Zafar Khan on behalf of respondents No. 6 & 7 is taken on record. His name may be shown as learned counsel for the respondents No. 6& 7 when the case is next listed.</p> <p>2. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Rahul Pal on behalf of respondent No. 8 is taken on record. His name may be shown as learned counsel for the respondent No. 8 when the case is next listed.</p> <p>3. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 5, Mohd. Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondents No. 6 & 7 and Shri Rahul Pal, learned counsel for the respondent No. 8 are present.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 5 and 8 prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 11.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O. A. No. 583 of 2023

Ex Nk Tej Bahadur Thapa

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 12.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O. A. No. 602 of 2023 with M.A. No. 734 of 2023

Ex Sub Sanjeet Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri SK Singh, Advocate
Shri Vikas Maurya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SK Singh and Shri Vikas Maurya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 17.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

O. A. No. 265 of 2017

Smt Soni Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others (army)

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondent No. 5. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as a last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 09.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

O. A. No. 692 of 2021

Col SK Dhawan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate
Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O. A. No. 249 of 2022

Col Vikas Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Prabhat Kumar Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Rachna Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Prabhat Kumar Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel holding brief of the applicant submits that Senior Advocate Dr. Ashok Nigam will argue this case but he is admitted in hospital. She prays for and is granted four weeks time to argue the case.</p> <p>3. List on 25.09.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

T. A. No. 82 of 2012

Mahavir Singh Rawat

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others (Army)

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In pursuance to order dated 30.05.2023, written submission has not been filed by the parties.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the parties prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file written submission.</p> <p>4. List on 05.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

T. A. No. 15 of 2017 with M.A. No. 35 of 2020

Bhupendra Singh Bhadauria Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivasatava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This is part-heard matter of other Bench. In this case, Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) has dissociated himself from hearing.</p> <p>3. List on 12.09.2023 before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB</small></p>