

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 1326 of 2023**

**Lilawati Devi W/o Late Vijai Bahadur Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the said respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 are present.</li><li>3. Heard Ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li><li>4. Matter needs adjudication.</li><li>5. Admit.</li><li>6. Let notice be issued to respondent Nos. 4, 5 &amp; 6 returnable within four weeks.</li><li>7. Steps within three days.</li><li>8. List on <b>20.12.2023</b> for hearing on Interim Relief.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 1328 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1919 of 2023**

**Smt Onkari Devi W/o Late Nk Jagdish Chander** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar  
and Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocates  
**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1919 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year, 04 months and 22 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1328 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on <b>02.01.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 1329 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1920 of 2023**

**Smt Islamun Nisha W/o Late Sep Farid Islam** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra and  
Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocates  
**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1920 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year, 01month and 28 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1329 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on <b>02.01.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> RPM</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 1331 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1922 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Sushil Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar , Shri Rahul Pal  
and Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1922 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of notional increment to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year, 02 months and 05 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1331 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on <b>20.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)**

**EX-A. No. 438 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 22 of 2022**

**Sub (PA) Digar Singh Bist** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 04.01.2023 passed in O.A. No. 22 of 2022.</p> <p>4. This is a fresh execution application. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>08.01.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**EX-A. No. 19 of 2019 Inre T.A. No. 34 of 2010**

**Ex Sep Vinay Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh  
and Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. counsel for the respondents, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>10.11.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)**

**EX-A. No. 246 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 746 of 2021**

**Ex Sub (Hony Capt) Jaipal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On last date, respondents were directed to provide record on two queries made by the Bench but Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that he has not received copy of order dated 05.10.2023.</p> <p>3. Let a copy of the said order be provided to the Legal Cell, AFT Lucknow/respondents within a week.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents is further directed to submit report in compliance of the order dated 05.10.2023 passed by this Tribunal by next date fixed.</p> <p>5. List on <b>10.01.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.4)**

**EX.A. No. 124 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 902 of 2022**

**Ex Sgt Nishant Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey and  
Shri RK Singh, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Maj SM Mustafa(Retd), Advocate holding brief of Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of Compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs. 10000/- shall be paid by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>14.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No 5)

EX. A. No. 219 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 170 of 2022

**Hony Capt Karamvir**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order under execution has been complied with on 15.10.2023.</li><li>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents is directed to bring on record the compliance report within two weeks.</li><li>5. List on <b>15.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)**

**M.A. No. 1303 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1304 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 307 of 2016**  
**Union of India & Others** Applicants-Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Sub Jagirman Rai**

Respondents-Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-respondents is present. None is present on behalf of the respondent-applicant.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection on leave to appeal has not been filed by applicants-Union of India.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicants-Union of India prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as a last opportunity, to file reply to objection on LTA.</p> <p>4. List on <b>14.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 330 of 2023**

**Smt Munni Devi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Girish Tiwari,  
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Shri Sandeep  
Tripathi and Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocates

**Versus**

**Managing Director AGI & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Shri Jitendra Narain  
Mishra, Shri Devesh Kumar Agnihotri and Shri  
Tribhuvan Agnihotri, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 1 to 3 and Shri Jitendra Narain Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondent No. 4 and 5 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and 4 and 5 are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>14.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.8)**

**O.A. No. 361 of 2023**

**Ex Nk (ACP Hav) Jaya Prakash** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that matter has been processed for redressal of relief sought by the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on <b>21.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 381 of 2023**

**Hav/NA Abhay Kumar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. counsel for the applicant has moved an application for amendment of O.A.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection on amendment application.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)**

**O.A. No. 394 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Rajiv Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan  
and Shri Manoj Kumar Gupta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Managing Director AGI & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were directed to file unwillingness certificate but unwillingness certificate has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks and no more time to file unwillingness certificate.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

OA No. 548 of 2023

**Shri Vijay Singh Bisht S/o Late Lt Col(TS) Jagat Singh Bisht** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Managing Director AGI & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailednra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and granted two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

OA No. 574 of 2023

**Mrs Devaki Maya Gurung W/o Late Ex Rfn Dhan Bahadur Gurung** Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava(Retd), Shri Dharam Raj  
Singh and Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava(Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and the same has been sent for vetting. He, therefore, prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.12.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 703 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Maj (RT) Krishan Baldev Tiwari**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Managing Director AGI & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocates

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and granted two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)**

**OA No. 811 of 2023**

**Hav Sharda Prasad Tiwari**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and the same has been sent for vetting. He, therefore, prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 812 of 2023**

**Ex Hav (OPR) Suresh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</li><li>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments for preparation of counter affidavit have been received. He, therefore, prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 834 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub (PA) A.K. Tiwari**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comment are still awaited for preparation of counter affidavit. He, therefore, prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 925 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Alok Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra  
and Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and the same has been sent for vetting. He, therefore, prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>13.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.19)

O.A. No. 1234 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1748 of 2023

**Ex Sub (Nur Tech) Rajesh Kumar Dubey** Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bist, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as a last opportunity, to file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. List on <b>07.12.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.2**  
**(Ser No 20)**

**O.A. (A) No. 1245 of 2023**

**Sep (Gnr) Raj Karan Singh**

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. This appeal has been filed under Section 15 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the Appellant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents on bail application.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that for this offence, the applicant was kept in Quarter Guard with effect from 11.06.2022 and after confirmation of sentence on 12.09.2023 applicant was sent to district jail Tezpur (Assam) to undergo rigorous imprisonment and has completed approximately 16 months of detention out of 24 months of punishment.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant was falsely implicated only for showing his disability to perform duty of orderly of Major Jayant Kumar Dubey.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that the DCM proceedings was not in accordance with law because of violation of Section 102,112,145 of the Army Act, 1950 and Section 89 of Mental Health Care Act 2017.</p> <p>6. It is further submitted that during the District Court Martial (DCM) Proceedings it had come under knowledge of DCM that applicant was suffering from mental illness and matter was not dealt with the mandate of Section 145 of the Army Act.</p> <p>7. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the accused has no regard to military discipline and ethos as he physically assaulted and caused grievous hurt to his superior officer, Havildar (DMT) Deep Chand Dimiri and Havildar KamlaKant on 10<sup>th</sup> June 2022.</p> <p>8. Learned counsel for the respondents further submitted that on multiple occasions he threatened to kill Havildar (DMT) Kamla Kant in front of other officers and JCOs. He used insubordinate language to his superior officer Lt</p>

Col Sana Pawan Kumar.

9. It is further submitted that he is suffering from Mental and Behavioral al Disorder due to use of multiple drugs and psychoactive substance and hence if bail is granted, he may abscond which will hamper and delay the trial.

10. We have considered submission of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

11. Upon hearing both the sides, we find that the appellant was tried and sentenced by the DCM for following serious charges:-

- (i) Committing civil offences to say, voluntary causing grievous hurt, contrary to Section 325 of I.P.C.
- (ii) Using criminal force to his superior officer.
- (iii) Using insubordinate language to his superior officer.
- (iv) Using criminal force to his superior officer.
- (v) The sentence passed by DCM has been confirmed by competent authority on 31.08.2023.

12. Charges levelled have been proved by the statement of injured witness, independent witness and medical evidences which is on record.

13. The punishment provided for offence u/s 40 of the Army Act 1950 for such type of offences is up to 14 years imprisonment.

14. The charges against the appellant are of very serious nature for Military discipline.

15. Ld. counsel for the appellant has raised some legal points and this appeal has been filed on 10.10.2023. After considering the submissions made by the Learned Counsel for the parties, gravity of the offence under the Army Act 1950 and evidence on record to substantiate the charges, we are of the view that it will be proper to decide the appeal expeditiously rather to enlarge the accused on bail.

16. In view of the above, there is no sufficient ground to enlarge the appellant on bail.

17. Accordingly, bail application is **rejected**.

18. List on **14.12.2023**.

**(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
**Member (J)**

*rathore*



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 236 of 2021**

**Ex Hav Ram Bachan Singh Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, Shri AK Srivastava  
and Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ex Hav Ram Bachan Singh Yadav, applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On call of the case, Shri Ravi Kumar, Advocate filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant which is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the applicant in place of earlier counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.</p> <p>3. List on <b>04.01.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 587 of 2021

**Ex Rfn Jai Shankar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Today, respondents counsel is not present when the case has called out in revised list.</p> <p>3. On the request of Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>4. List on <b>13.12.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)**

**O.A. 781 of 2021**

**Ex Rifleman Sunder Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. counsel for the respondents, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>04.01.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)**

**O.A. No. 977 of 2022**

**Smt Janki Devi W/o Late Ex Gnr Ram Shankar Rai** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present</p> <p>2. During arguments of the case, Ld. counsel for applicant submits that he has filed an affidavit alongwith annexure on 03.10.2023 in registry but such papers are not on record.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to trace the papers and putup for perusal of the bench by next date fixed.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 25)**

**O.A.(A). No. 205 of 2021**

**Sep Pawan Chandra Bhatt through mother Smt Kamla Devi** Appellant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and  
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.11.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is also present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Heard.</p> <p>3. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>4. Judgement reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>RPM</i></p>