

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 1)

O. A. No. 936 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1236 of 2023

Ex Sgt Arvind Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1236 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. As per office report, there is delay of 07 months and 02 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate or intentional but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it has not been explained on day to day basis.</p> <p>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, grounds shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 936 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>11. List on 12.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 2)

O. A. No. 937 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1237 of 2023

Ex Nk (ACP) Yamuna Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed by the applicant which is delayed by 11 years and 17 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file objection on delay condonation application and maintainability of the Original Application.</p> <p>5. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 3)

O. A. No. 940 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1239 of 2023

Ex Nk Yeshpal Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1239 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application which is delayed by 02 months and 02 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>6. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 940 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>11. List on 09.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 4)

O. A. No. 941 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1240 of 2023

Ex Hav Ram Pratap Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed by the applicant which is delayed by 14 years, 03 months and 17 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file objection.</p> <p>5. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 5)

EX- A. No. 255 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 406 of 2020

Smt Urmila Devi W/o (Late) Ex Nk (TS) Ram Naresh Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate,
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate, Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate.

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This Execution Application has been filed by the applicant for execution of order dated 27.04.2022 passed in O.A. No. 406 of 2020.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 6)

M. A. No. 1246 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 203 of 2023

Ex Sub Padmaker Prasad Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate,
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate, Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate.

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed by the applicant for making correction in order dated 03.05.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 203 of 2023.</p> <p>4. This being a typographical error, correction application is allowed. The date of discharge (30.06.2020) and date of increment (01.07.2020) mentioned in the order to be read as '30.06.2021' and '01.07.2021' respectively.</p> <p>5. With the aforesaid correction, Correction Application (MA No. 1246 of 2023) stands disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

C. A. No. 1 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 338 of 2022

Smt Shiv Kumari Singh W/o Late L/Nk Rakesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Mr Shikshit Lal Srivastava, Branch Manager SBI,
Nranch Jagdishpur Dist Amethi & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 5 & 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Written submission on affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents No. 5 & 6 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Applicant may file his reply, if any, within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 08.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

O. A. No. 338 of 2022

Smt Shiv Kumari Singh W/o Late L/Nk Rakesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 5 & 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondents No. 5 & 6 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 08.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX- A. No. 93 of 2019 Inre O. A. No. 481 of 2018

Dushyant Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Santosh Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has already been filed.</p> <p>3. There is no objection by the applicant in compliance report.</p> <p>4. Since order under execution has been complied with, this execution application has become infructuous. Accordingly, execution application is dismissed as infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX- A. No. 43 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 658 of 2020

Ex Dfr Shiv Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col RC Dixit (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, no one is present on behalf of the applicant. Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to deposit cost due against the respondents.</p> <p>3. List on 08.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX- A. No. 214 of 2022 Inre O. A. No. 500 of 2021

EX Hav Bhola Prasad Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed earlier on the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is granted on payment of cost of Rs. 5000/- failing which officer responsible for non compliance of the order shall remain physically present in the court on the next date fixed to explain the reasons of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>4. List on 26.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

M.A. No. 1303 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1304 of 2022 Inre O. A. No. 307 of 2016

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Versus

Ex Sub Jagirman Rai Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate on behalf of the respondent-applicant is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondent when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondent-applicant are present.</p> <p>3. Objection may be filed by the respondent-applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 14.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

O. A. No. 882 of 2022 with M.A No. 1091 of 2022

Tanuja Kumari Pandey, W/o Late Gdsm Abhishek Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Abhinandan Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Dhanjay Kumar Mishra, Advocate, Shri Dheeraj Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Abhinandan Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection to maintainability has already been filed by the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file reply to objection filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. List on 04.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

O. A. No. 18 of 2023

Hav Praveen Kumar Raghav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri UKS Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the applicant. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 21.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O. A. No. 504 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Ramakant

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dilip Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dilip Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 13.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O. A. No. 531 of 2023

Ex Nk (ACP) Shashi Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Prahlad Maurya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Prahlad Maurya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 10.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O. A. No. 610 of 2023

Nb Sub (Opr) Pradeep Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 12.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (SI. No. 12)

O. A. No. 619 of 2023 with M.A. No. 750 of 2023

Ex Hav Sarjeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Objection to delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 750 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application which is delayed by 01 year, 06 months and 01 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>6. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 619 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>11. List on 12.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

Diary No. 377 of 2023

Smt Shaheen Bano Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In this case defect was objected by the Registry that English translation of Nikahnama (Annexure No. 3) has not been filed. Applicant was granted time to remove the defect.</p> <p>3. Today, applicant has filed English translation of Nikahnama and now defect is removed.</p> <p>4. Registry is directed to register the case as Original Application.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 964 of 2023</u></p> <p>5. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>6. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>7. List on 22.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O. A. No. 781 of 2021

Ex Rifleman Sunder Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj. Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Dr. Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is not present today, as prayed by the Departmental Representative, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 24.08.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

O. A.(A) No. 39 of 2017 with M.A. No. 1237 of 2018

Smt Sunita Singh W/o Abhishek Kumar Singh (In Custody) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate,
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate, Shri Jitendar Saksena, Advocate,

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj. Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This case has come before us first time.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant and Departmental Representative for the respondents submitted that in this case arguments were heard at length by the Bench consisting of Hon'ble Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J) and Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A).</p> <p>4. Perusal of record and office report also shows that it is part-heard case of the Bench consisting of Hon'ble Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J) and Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A).</p> <p>5. Put up before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p>6. List on 17.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>