

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp No. 1)

O.A. No. 1069 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1442 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Gauri Shankar Maharana Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate, Ms
Upasna Mishra, Advocate and Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, no one is present for the parties.</p> <p>2. List on 16.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp No. 2)

O.A. No. 1072 of 2023

Ex Sub Gulsher Ali Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present for the applicant due to resolution dated 10.09.2023 passed by AFT Bar Association.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 16.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp No. 3)

O.A. No. 1073 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1445 of 2023

Smt Om Kumari W/o Ex Sep (Late) Ishwar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 3 is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 is present.</p> <p>3. This O.A. has been filed with delay of 38 years, 03 months and 11 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application. Today none is present for the applicant due to resolution dated 10.09.2023 passed by AFT Bar Association.</p> <p>4. Let notice be issued to respondent No 4 and 5 returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>6. List on 19.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp No.4)

M.A. No. 1472 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1473 of 2023
Inre O.A. No. 21 of 2022

Union of India & Ors

Applicant-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the applicants: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Sub (PA) ASH Mohammad Khan

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for respondent: Shri.... , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, no one is present for the parties.</p> <p>2. List on 26.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp No.5)

M.A. No. 1474 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1475 of 2023
Inre O.A. No. 642 of 2022

Union of India & Ors Applicant-respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Smt Ran Maya Gurung, W/o
Rfn Am Bahadur Gurung Respondent-applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, no one is present for the parties.</p> <p>2. List on 26.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp No 6)

O. A. No. 664 of 2023 with M.A. No. 799 of 2023

Ex POME Prabhash Kumar Jha Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 07.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. In this case interim order was passed on 02.06.2023 for stay of recovery from pension of the applicant till next date of listing which was listed on 05.09.2023. Since lawyers were abstaining from court work, further proceedings in the matter could not be completed.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 799 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. As per office report, there is a delay of 05 months and 12 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>5. Heard learned counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p>6. It is submitted in the application that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>7. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>8. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be</p>

condoned.

9. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.

O.A. No 664 of 2023

10. Matter needs adjudication.

11. Admit.

12. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.

13. List on **16.11.2023**.

14. Interim order passed earlier for stay of recovery is extended till further orders.

(Lt Gen Anil Puri)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

rathore

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.1)
EX-A. No. 201 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 111 of 2012

Vineeta Kohli Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Ram Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present for the applicant due to resolution dated 10.09.2023 passed by AFT Bar Association.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on 25.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

EX-A. No. 82 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 508 of 2019

Smt Kalinder Devi Alias Kalindi Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. As per resolution dated 10.9.2023 passed by AFT Bar Association, none is present for the parties.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that Govt sanction has been accorded on 19.07.2023. He further submits that LPC-cum-Data Sheet has been submitted by Records to PAO (OR) on 05.08.2023.4. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj present in the court submits that PPO shall be generated soon after receipt of requisite documents from PAO (OR).5. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.6. List on 02.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX-A. No.169 of 2022 Inre EX-A. No. 107 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 226 of 2014

Ex Sub Radhey Shyam Upadhya

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. As per resolution dated 10.9.2023 passed by AFT Bar Association, none is present for the parties.2. Compliance report has already been filed. Time was granted to file objection but no objection has been filed.3. To weeks further time is granted to learned counsel for the applicant to file objection, if any.4. List on 25.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)
EX-A. No.371 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 135 of 2021

Smt Bhagwan Devi, W/o Late Ex Sep Jagvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per resolution dated 10.9.2023 passed by AFT Bar Association, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been generated on 09.08.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance alongwith PPO.</p> <p>4. List on 02.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)
EX-A. No. 83 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 581 of 2022

Lt Col Ambar Mittal (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, none is present for the parties.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.4. List on 02.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 131 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 666 of 2020

Smt Susheela Devi Wife of Sep (Late) Ram Dulare Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Letter from Treasury Officer, Mainpuri is placed on record as per which amount due to the applicant has been paid. Today due to AFT Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023 learned counsel for the applicant is not present.3. Objection, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.4. List on 27.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)
EX-A. No. 158 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 242 of 2022

Smt Chandmuni Devi, M/o Late Ex Sep Sentu Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 02.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)
EX-A. No. 161 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 861 of 2022

Ex Nk (TS) Sul Khan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance is under process. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 02.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 193 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 621 of 2022

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Mahamed Alam Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been generated. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 03.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.10)

M.A. No. 1394 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1395 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 883 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant-Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Ex L/Nk Ritesh Kumar

Respondent-Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. None is present for the parties as per as per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023.</p> <p>2. List on 25.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.11)

M.A. No. 1396 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1397 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 507 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant-Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Mukesh

Respondent-Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1396 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 06 months and 15 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after passing of the order dated 09.01.2023 some delay took place in getting instructions. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned above and time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 06 months and 15 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1397 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 09.01.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No 507 of 2022.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 926 of 2022

Smt Phool Kumari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. No counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent No 5, however, letter from Treasury Officer, Mainpuri is on record which states that amount due to the applicant has been paid.</p> <p>3. Let objection, if any, be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 25.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 962 of 2022

Brij Mohan Singh S/o Late Applicant
L/Nk Sat Narain Singh (Through Shri Bishram Singh Legal Guardian)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents is already on record. He further submits that time was granted to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, however, no rejoinder affidavit has been filed.</p> <p>3. Today learned counsel for the applicant is not present. Two weeks further time is granted to learned counsel for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 27.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1067 of 2022

Mrs Aarti Srivastava, W/o Late Col Ravindra Kumar Srivastava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 27.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 18 of 2023

Hav Praveen Kumar Raghav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri UKS Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri UKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and he will file it by tomorrow.4. Accordingly, learned counsel for the respondents is permitted to file counter affidavit within three days after providing its copy to learned counsel for the applicant.5. List on 02.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 257 of 2023

Ex Nk Kedar Nath Thakur Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, none is present for the parties.2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks next.4. List on 03.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 326 of 2023 with M.A. No. 368 of 2023

Ratna Kumari M/o Ex Sep Aneesh M Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailesh Kumar Pathak, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 368 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. There is delay of 04 months and 12 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. Objection has been filed on behalf of the respondents on delay condonation application in which maintainability has also been challenged.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that day-to-day explanation of delay has not been provided by the applicant. It is also submitted that this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to decide this case because applicant is resident of Kerala which does not fall under territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. On perusal of O.A. it is clear that this case being a pensionary matter, bar of limitation is not applicable. We are of the view that reasons given for delay condonation application being genuine and sufficient, delay in filing Original Application is condoned.</p> <p>7. So far as territorial jurisdiction of the Tribunal is concerned, this O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of pension and</p>

other dues in respect of her deceased son Ex Sep (Chef) Aneesh M by whom O.A. No. 68 of 2021 was filed and judgment was passed by this Tribunal on 15.09.2021 and respondents were directed to reinstate Ex Sep (Chef) Aneesh M, son of the applicant, but before implementation of the order he died on 02.05.2022. Thus, cause of action of this O.A. is the order passed by AFT, Regional Bench, Lucknow and it is also pertinent to mention here that applicant's son was initially enrolled and posted in 15 SIKH LIGHT INFANTRY REGIMENT, who are respondent No 4 and 5 of this O.A. and were parties as respondent No 3 and 4 also in O.A. No 68 of 2021. Therefore, in view of Rule 6 (i) of AFT Procedure Rules, 2008 this Tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain this O.A.

O.A. No. 326 of 2023

8. Matter needs adjudication.
9. Admit.
10. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which learned counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, within two weeks next.
11. List on **05.10.2023**.

(Lt Gen Anil Puri)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

rathore

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 405 of 2023 with M.A. No. 497 of 2023

Miss Vishnu Kumari D/o Late Rfn Durga Bahadur Gurung Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri TK Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 497 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 11 years, 01 month and 24 days.</p> <p>3. It is submitted in the application that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>4. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>5. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>7. Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 405 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List this case on 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 638 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Satyavir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amrendra Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, none is present for the parties.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 02.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 665 of 2023 with M.A. No. 800 of 2023

Ex PO MR1 Bharat Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, none is present for the parties.</p> <p>2. List on 08.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 706 of 2023

Ex Hav Satyendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, none is present for the parties.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 18.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 708 of 2023

Ex Sub Jitendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 08.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 718 of 2023 with M.A. No. 870 of 2023

Ex Nk Shiv Kishor Gupta

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that he has filed Vakalatnama in this case but his name has not been shown in the cause list.</p> <p>2. Registry is directed to show his name in the cause list.</p> <p>3. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>4. Objection on delay condonation application filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant may file replication within two weeks.</p> <p>5. List on 26.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. (A) No. 487 of 2021

Ex Wg Cdr Sushil Bajpai

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri SG Singh, Advocate
Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, none is present for the parties.</p> <p>2. Time was granted to learned counsel for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, but no rejoinder affidavit has been filed.</p> <p>3. Two weeks further time is granted to learned counsel for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 03.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 232 of 2010

Jagdish Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri SK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, none is present for the parties. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. List on 03.10.2023.</p> <p>3. On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 614 of 2020

Avnish Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench of AFT, PB, New Delhi</p> <p>3. List on 01.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 845 of 2021

Smt Bebi Devi & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Ajeet Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. On perusal of record we find that notice has not been issued to respondent No 5. Let notice be issued to respondent No 5 returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</p> <p>3. List on 06.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 79 of 2022

Indresh Kumar Chaudhari B/o Late Sep Mahendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate
Shri Satyendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, no one is present for the parties. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. List on 06.10.2023.</p> <p>3. On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall provide board proceedings regarding selection on compassionate appointment.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 104 of 2022

Pnr Rohit Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Anugrah Narayan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary counter affidavit is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List on 11.10.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 977 of 2022

Smt Janki Devi W/o Late Ex Gnr Ram Shankar Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. List on 06.10.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>