

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 1)
EX.A. No. 475 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 515 of 2022

Ex WO Rama Kant Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Uma Kant Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Uma Kant Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 11.01.2023 passed in O.A. No. 515 of 2022. This is a fresh execution application.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 24.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 2)
EX.A. No. 478 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 861 of 2021

Ex Hony Nb Sub Anil Kumar Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>3. This execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 26.05.2023 passed in O.A. No. 861 of 2021. This is a fresh execution application.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 24.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 3)

M.A. No. 2067 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2068 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 699 of 2020

Union of India & Others

Applicants-Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Versus

Smt Sabuli Devi wife of Rfn (Late) Kishan Singh

Respondent-Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-respondent on the application for leave to appeal.</p> <p><u>M.A. No.2067 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay in filing leave to appeal. This appeal has been filed with delay of 01 year, 11 months and 03 days i.e. after lapse of statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay. In view of the above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2068 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. Since application for condonation of delay has been rejected, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

EX.A. No. 16 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 174 of 2015

Ex Nk Jageshwar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Officer In Charge The Records Signals & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal

and Shri DC Lohumi, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, and Shri DC Lohumi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order under execution has been complied with.</p> <p>4. Applicant was directed to file objection on compliance report, but objection has not been filed. Today, none is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>5. Since order under execution has been complied with and objection has not been filed, this execution application is disposed off with full and final satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)
EX.A. No. 371 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 135 of 2021

Smt. Bhagwan Devi, W/O Late Ex Sep Jagvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection against compliance report has not been filed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed a week's further time to file objection.</p> <p>3. List on 22.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)
EX.A. No. 78 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 266 of 2021

Kashinath Tiwari S/o Ex Maj (Late) Mahanth Prasad Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Aswasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken over.</p> <p>4. List on 22.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)
EX.A. No. 158 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 248 of 2022

Smt. Chandmuni Devi, M/o Late Ex Sep Sentu Kmar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh**
Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost of Rs 5,000/-.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to deposit cost imposed against them by next date fixed.</p> <p>5. List on 31.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX.A. No. 161 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 861 of 2022

Ex Nk (TS) Sul Khan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending before PS-4, Army Headquarters. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which affidavit of concerned officer of PS-4 shall be filed to explain the reason for delay in implementation of the order and present status of the case.</p> <p>4. List on 31.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)
EX.A. No. 355 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 365 of 2022

Ex Nk (GD/TA) Narayan Datt Punetha Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on 31.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.7)
EX.A. No. 357 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 344 of 2022

Ex Nk Shyam Datt

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on 31.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.8)
EX.A. No. 378 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 58 of 2022

Ex Nb Sub Mahender Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order under execution is in process. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No.9)

O.A. No. 358 of 2022 with M.A. No. 408 of 2022

Smt Ram Maya Ghale W/o Late Ex Nk Dambar Bahadur Ghale Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 408 of 2022</u></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. As per report of registry, there is delay of 27 years and 12 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed application for condonation of delay supported by affidavit.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>7. Considering that payment of pension is a recurring cause of action as held by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of <i>DS Nakara vs. Union of India & Ors</i>, AIR 1983 SC 130, and the cause shown in the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being sufficient, we condone the delay in filing this O.A.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 358 of 2022</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.10)
O.A. No. 1127 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1348 of 2022

Ex Sub Maj Anil Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file reply.</p> <p>3. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.11)

O.A. No. 18 of 2023

Hav Praveen Kumar Raghav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri UKS Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that copy of rejoinder affidavit has not been served on the respondents.</p> <p>4. Applicant is directed to provide copy of rejoinder affidavit to learned counsel for the respondents within a week.</p> <p>5. List on 05.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.12)
O.A. No. 58 of 2023

Smt Kalawati, W/o Late Ex Nk Triloki Nath Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Jay Prakash Singh
and Shri MVS Chauhan, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh
and Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Jay Prakash Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 and Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 4 and 5 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No 4 and 5 has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondent No 4 and 5 prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 05.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.13)

O.A. No. 146 of 2023

Smt Kiran Devi, Daughter-in-Law Late Ex Hav Dhani Ram Kamal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Balwant Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have since been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No.14)

O.A. No. 239 of 2023 with M.A. No. 249 of 2023 with M.A. No. 250 of 2023
and M.A. No. 251 of 2023

Nb Sub Vijay Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri DS Kauntae, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present for the applicant.</p> <p>2. No one is present on behalf of the applicant. In the interest of justice, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 26.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.15)

O.A. No. 321 of 2023

Smt Shahnaz Bano W/o Late Hav Shaikh Hafeezullah Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Anil Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Anil Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Time was granted to learned counsel for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed three weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 05.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.16)

O.A. No. 405 of 2023

Miss Vishnu Kumari D/o Late Rfn Durga Bahadur Gurung Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey
and Shri TK Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>2. Counter and rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the parties are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.17)

O.A. No. 424 of 2023 with M.A. No. 518 of 2023

Ex Nk/Clk Periyanna Arunachalam

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tatsat Shukla and
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Reply filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 05.02.2024 for hearing on maintainability of this O.A. and delay condonation application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No.18)

O.A. No. 516 of 2023 with M.A. No. 624 of 2023

Ex Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Ram Prasad Pal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh, Maj SM
Mustafa (Retd) and Shri DK Dixit, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 624 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. There is a delay of 15 years, 01 month and 10 days in filing application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that in the year 2005, applicant was denied his due promotion to the rank of Nb Sub and he has been representing his cause since then but till date of retirement no fruitful action was taken by the respondents to rectify the anomaly. He further submits that delay in filing this O.A. has been caused due to unavoidable circumstances beyond his control which is neither deliberate nor intentional but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents has filed objection stating that present O.A. has been filed without availing alternative remedy in utter contravention to Sec 21 of the AFT Act, 2007. It is further submitted that applicant has not challenged letter dated 30.03.2016.</p> <p>5. Reply to objection has been filed by the applicant, which is taken on record. In reply, applicant has stated that he had preferred application dated 23.12.2015 which was replied by the respondents on 30.03.2016 denying his promotion. It is further submitted that applicant has been continuously agitating for his cause during the course of his service but no action was taken for redressal of his grievance.</p> <p>6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 516 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 17.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.19)

O.A. No. 525 of 2023 with M.A. No. 634 of 2023

Wg Cdr Gaurav Saxena

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari
and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file reply but reply has not been filed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file reply.</p> <p>3. List on 06.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.20)

O.A. No. 580 of 2023

Smt. Chandrami Devi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Mohd Zafar Khan
and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5, Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondent No 6 and 7 and Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 8 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No 1 to 5 and 6 to 7 is already on record.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent No 8 is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 06.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.21)

O.A. No. 643 of 2023

Ex Nk Sher Bahadur Gurung

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh
and Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is allowed to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No.22)

O.A. No. 1242 of 2023

Col Sanjay Khanduri (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col RC Jain (Vetran) Shri Raj Kumar Mishra
and Ms. Divya Jain, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on 24.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.23)

O.A. No. 1254 of 2023

Ex Sub Pramod Kumar Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi
and Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. As prayed, six weeks further time is allowed to learned counsel for the respondents to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.24)

O.A.(A). No. 626 of 2022

Sgt Suraj S

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla and
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection and rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant are taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 19.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No.25)

O.A.(A). No. 633 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1895 of 2023

Ex Cpl Manoj Kumar

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Abhishek Singh and
Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1895 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. Today, case is taken up for hearing on amendment application filed by applicant which is numbered as M.A. No. 1895 of 2023.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. Amendment application has been filed by applicant for seeking amendment in O.A. as under:-</p> <p>(i) To correct typographical mistake in writing section of AFT, Act in para 1 and 2 of the O.A. Applicant wants to delete Section 15 of AFT Act and in place he wants to add Section 14 of AFT Act.</p> <p>(ii) Applicant also wants to seek amendment in relief clause of the O.A. for quashing the order dated 09.08.2023 rejecting the appeal.</p> <p>(iii) Permission is also sought for amendment of facts regarding grounds of quashing the order dated 09.08.2023.</p> <p>5. Respondents were granted time to file objection on amendment application but no written objection has been filed. Nature of O.A. is not likely to be changed by proposed amendment.</p> <p>6. In view of the above amendment application is allowed. Applicant may incorporate the necessary amendment within a week.</p> <p>7. M.A. No. 1895 of 2023 is disposed off.</p> <p>8. Registry is directed to make necessary endorsement in concerned register.</p> <p><u>O.A.(A) No. 633 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. List on 12.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.26)

T.A. No. 36 of 2022

Abhishek Kumar Mishra, S/o Sh Krishna Kumar Mishra Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on maintainability of transferred application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 12.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No.27)

O.A. No. 106 of 2013 with M.A. No. 464 of 2020

Mahabir Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate was appointed Amicus Curie on behalf of the applicant but he is not present today.</p> <p>3. Notice be issued to Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate to be present on next date of listing.</p> <p>4. List on 26.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No.28)

O.A. No. 164 of 2013 with M.A. No. 1406 of 2014

Warrant Officer Shashi Kant Chaturvedi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Applicant in Person

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate Respondents
the respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, applicant in person and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on 17.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No.29)

O.A. No. 264 of 2017 alongwith T.A. No. 82 of 2016 (Decided)

Devendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Mukesh Kumar, Shri SS Rajawat
and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mukesh Kumar, applicant in person and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on 24.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.30)

O.A. No. 776 of 2022

Poonam Choudhary W/O Late Lt Col Abhinav Choudhary Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Abhinav Bhattacharya, Ms. Divya Singh
and Shri Amber Lal Gupta, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Abhinav Bhattacharya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on 24.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.31)
O.A. No. 1021 of 2022

Buddhi Sagar Mishra S/O Late Ex Nk Awadesh Mishra & another Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on 05.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.32)

O.A. No. 222 of 2023

Ex Rfn Pramod Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on 19.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.33)

O.A.(A) No. 474 of 2018

Mukesh Kumar through his wife Smt Pooja Devi Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on 06.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.34)

O.A.(A) No. 375 of 2019

Ex Sapper Ajay Kumar Shriwastava Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Gaurav Gupta and
Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Gaurav Gupta and Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on 08.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>