

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 1086 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1480 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Gyaneshwar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</li><li>3. Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>4. This Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year and 04 days. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</li><li>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any.</li><li>6. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 1090 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1485 of 2023**

**Ex CPO COM (EW) Yogendra Prasad Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the respondents.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 1091 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1486 of 2023**

**Ex POM PN1 Hem Raj** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>3. Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 5 is present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1486 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>4. There is delay of 01 year and 06 days in filing this Original Application.</p> <p>5. Leaned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>6. Heard on delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. It is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable and delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>8. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation.</p> <p>9. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1091 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>10. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Learned counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 5 prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>13. Notice be issued to respondent No. 6 returnable within four weeks. Applicant to take step within a week.</p> <p>14. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: left;"><small>SB</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 1092 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1487 of 2023**

**Ex (PORTEL) Manoj Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the respondents.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 5)**

**EX-A No. 306 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 351 of 2022**

**Ex Rifleman Laxman Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani(Retd), Shri Raj Kumar  
Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</li><li>2. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</li><li>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 12.01.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 351 of 2022.</li><li>4. It is a fresh Execution Application.</li><li>5. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</li><li>6. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 6)**

**EX-A No. 307 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 352 of 2022**

**Ex Rifleman Chandra Mohan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani(Retd), Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</li><li>3. Shri G.S. Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>4. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 22.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 352 of 2022.</li><li>5. It is a fresh Execution Application.</li><li>6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</li><li>7. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 7)**

**M.A. No. 1433 of 2023 with M.A. No.1434 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 706 of 2020**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants-Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Hav Girish Chandra**

Respondent-Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri ....., Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. None is present for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present and prays for adjournment of the case.</li><li>3. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</li><li>4. List on <b>10.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 8)**

**M.A. No. 1439 of 2023 with M.A. No.1440 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 219 of 2021**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants-Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Sep Siri Ram**

Respondent-Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 19.07.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 219 of 2021.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 10 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. Further submission of learned counsel for the applicants is that all Pension Disbursing Agencies (PDAs) in consultation with PCDA (Pension) are competent to release the pension in terms of policy in vogue. Pension of applicant for the period from 03.04.1998 to 31.12.2009 has been stopped by the PDA due to non submission of life certificate within time frame. Since, arrear of pension exceeds Rs. 10,000/- and it has become time barred, the same is required to be dealt with Para 89 (a) of Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-II) and thus, it is the responsibility of the PCDA to accord time barred sanction for grant of pension arrears for the period from 03.04.1998 to 16.05.2012. Hence, order dated 19.07.2023 passed in the Original Application is not justified and question of public importance is involved in this case and therefore, leave to prefer an appeal before the Hon'ble Apex Court may be granted to the applicants-Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>5. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay in filing application is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p>



6. We have perused the order dated 19.07.2023 passed in O.A. No. 219 of 2021 and rule position as described/cited by the applicants in the application under the provisions of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-II) for payment of time barred arrears of pension.

7. Para 89 (a) of Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-1) reads as under :-

“UNDRAWN PENSION AND ARREARS

**89.** (a) Unless the Government by general or special orders direct otherwise, a pension remaining undrawn for more than a year, from Pension Disbursing Authorities other than Defence Pension Disbursing Office shall cease to be payable by Pension Disbursing Authority. Defence Pension Disbursing Office may pay pension remaining undrawn upto a period of 3 years, if he is satisfied with the claimants' explanation for the delay. If pensioner afterwards appears or claim is presented on his behalf, the Pension Disbursing Authority may resume the payment of monthly pension accruing thereafter, but the arrears can be paid—

(i) by the Pension Disbursing Authority himself if the amount of arrears does not exceed Rs. 10000/- provided that arrear do not represent pension to be paid for the first time.

(ii) with the previous sanction of the Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension) if the arrear exceeds Rs. 10000/-.

-Provided that if, in any case, a pension remain undrawn for three years or more, neither monthly pension payment shall be resumed, nor arrears could be paid without the authority of Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions). If the pensioner reappears to draw his pension he may be Readmitted to the pensions establishment if he accounts for his failure to draw the pension to the satisfaction of the Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), who may at his discretion, grant or withhold the arrears of pension or any portion there of.

(b) A gratuity payment order shall remain inforce for one year only and no such order shall be retained by a Pension Disbursing Authority, if payment has not been made on it within a year of issue.

8. Operative portion of the order dated 19.07.2023 passed in O.A. No. 219 of 2021 is reproduced as under :-

*“15. Thus, it is clear that the amount of arrear being exceeding Rs. 10,000/- and also three years being passed, it is the responsibility of PCDA (P) Prayagraj to accord time barred sanction for grant of pension arrears for which required documents have already been submitted through Bank of India, Barabanki vide letter dated 16.05.2012.*

*16. In view of the above O.A. is allowed.*

*17. PCDA (P) Prayagraj is directed to consider applicant's claim for grant of arrears of pension for the period 03.04.1998 to 31.12.2009 after granting time barred sanction within a period of four months on receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% p.a.”*

9. We find that in the present case, amount of arrear of pension is exceeding Rs. 10,000/- and period for which arrear is to be granted is also beyond three years, therefore, as per regulation 89 (a) of Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-II), PCDA (P) Prayagraj being competent authority to

grant arrears of pension to the applicant was directed to consider claim of the applicant as per rule position.

10. The Tribunal has passed the order after duly considering the facts on record and the law to which applicants herein have no satisfactory reasons to justify that order passed by this Tribunal is against rule position/factual position of the case. Hence, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.

11. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **rejected**.

**(Lt Gen Anil Puri)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
**Member (J)**

*SB*

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)**

**EX. A. No. 73 of 2017 Inre T.A. No. 96 of 2016**

**Maj Gen DVS Rana** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri K.C. Ghildiyal  
& Shri R Chandra, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties.</p> <p>3. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>4. In this case, stay order granted by the Hon'ble Apex Court still holds good.</p> <p>5. List on <b>01.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.2)**

**EX. A. No. 86 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 65 of 2020**

**Smt. Chandrawati W/O Late Ex Sep Sita Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</li><li>2. Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>3. Compliance report has not been filed.</li><li>4. Time was granted to file objection, if any, but today, none is present for the applicant.</li><li>5. Two weeks further time is granted to the applicant to file objection to compliance report, if any.</li><li>6. List on <b>19.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)**

**EX. A. No. 228 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 603 of 2021**

**Ex Hav (Amb Asst) Mahendra Kumar Jena** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the applicant. Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed on respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>4. In this case, notice was issued to PIFA, Sena Bhawan, New Delhi through Defence Secretary to show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against her under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for intentionally delaying the implementation of order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. This order was passed on 05.07.2023. Perusal of record shows that Registry has sent notice very late on 05.09.2023. Service of notice is still awaited.</p> <p>6. List on <b>19.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.4)**

**EX. A. No. 291 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 285 of 2013**

**Smt. Sunita Devi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</li><li>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</li><li>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</li><li>4. This is a very old matter. Cost imposed on the respondents has also not been deposited.</li><li>5. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- failing which person responsible for delay in implementation of order shall be personally present before this Bench to clarify the reasons for delay.</li><li>6. List on <b>06.10.2023</b>.</li><li>7. A copy of order be provided to AFT Legal Cell for information and compliance.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)**

**EX. A. No. 164 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 331 of 2019**

**Smt Prabhawati** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative submits that Govt. sanction has been accorded on 10.07.2023. He prays for and is granted three weeks time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on <b>25.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)**

**O. A. No. 421 of 2022**

**Ex Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Akhilesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record shows that in this case separate counter affidavits have been filed by respondents No. 1 &amp; 2, 3, 4 &amp; 5.</p> <p>4. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>5. List on <b>25.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)**

**O. A. No. 573 of 2022 alongwith O.A. No.880 of 2021(Decided)**

**Hav Baggu Mahesh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)**

**O. A. No. 695 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Dalvir Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ved Prakash Pandey  
and Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Sh. Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>3. As prayed, two weeks time is granted to the respondents to file applicant's refusal to undergo promotion cadre, i.e. Unwillingness Certificate.</p> <p>4. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O. A. No. 984 of 2022**

**Hav (DMT) Chauhan Dharmendra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava(Retd)  
and Shri Amrendu Srivastava, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Sh. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</li><li>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</li><li>3. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</li><li>4. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</li><li>5. List on <b>27.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)**

**O. A. No. 119 of 2023 with M.A. No. 116 of 2023**

**Ex Spr Rajesh Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey  
and Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but none is present for the applicant, today.</p> <p>4. Two weeks further time is granted, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit, if any.</p> <p>5. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O. A. No. 311 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Raj Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</li><li>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</li><li>3. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>4. Pleadings are complete.</li><li>5. List on <b>31.10.2023</b> for hearing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O. A. No. 331 of 2023**

**Smt Priti Widow D/o Ex Late Sep Ram Chander** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O. A. No. 332 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Pradhan Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari  
and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>5. List on <b>02.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O. A. No. 389 of 2023**

**Ex Hav/Clk Vinay Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>02.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O. A. No. 394 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Rajiv Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Unwillingness Certificate of the applicant to attend promotion cadre, if any.</p> <p>4. List on <b>02.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O. A. No. 610 of 2023**

**Nb Sub (Opr) Pradeep Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>02.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O. A. No. 648 of 2023**

**NC (E) T Ram Sajiwan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</li><li>2. None is present for the parties. WO S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representative is present.</li><li>3. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Today, it is last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</li><li>4. Departmental Representative submits that he will file counter affidavit within the course of the day.</li><li>5. List on <b>29.09.2023</b> for hearing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O. A. No. 717 of 2023 with M.A. No.869 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Vinod Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar  
and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. Today, none is present for the parties. WO S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 869 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. There is delay of 05 years, 06 months and 10 days in filing this Original Application.</p> <p>4. Leaned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>5. Time was granted to the respondents to file objection on delay condonation application but the same has not been filed.</p> <p>6. We have perused the record and we are of the view that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that cause shown to condone the delay is sufficient. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 717 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on <b>06.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O. A. No. 719 of 2023**

**Sub Ginesh Kochukuttan Kurup** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on <b>06.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O. A. No. 722 of 2023 with M.A. No. 873 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Hridayanand Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Tatsat Shukla  
and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on <b>06.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O. A. No. 866 of 2023**

**Sep Nasir Khan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Mohd Irfan Siddiqui, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</li><li>2. Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>3. Objection against maintainability of this Original Application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>4. Reply, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</li><li>5. List on <b>07.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O. A. No. 995 of 2023**

**Ex Sub/Clk Sushil Kumar Dash** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</li><li>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</li><li>3. Objection on maintainability of this Original Application has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>4. Departmental Representative submits that he will seek instruction in this regard and file detailed counter affidavit in this case.</li><li>5. As prayed by Departmental Representative, four weeks time is granted to file counter affidavit.</li><li>6. List on <b>19.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)**

**O. A.(A) No. 975 of 2022**

**Nb Sub Birender Singh Rawat(Through Pairokar Vinita Devi, wife)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar(Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</li><li>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</li><li>3. Time was granted to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed.</li><li>4. In the interest of justice, three weeks further time is granted, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit, if any.</li><li>5. List on <b>07.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)**

**O. A.(A) No. 898 of 2023**

**Ex Lt(MNS) Imrana Begum**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</li><li>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</li><li>3. Objection against maintainability filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>4. Reply, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</li><li>5. List on <b>07.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 25)**

**T.A. No. 1 of 2022**

**Wg Cdr Peter Carl Francis D'Souza(Retd)** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Anurag Tyagi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. WO S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. List on <b>07.11.2023</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 26)**

**O.A. No. 241 of 2018**

**Anuj Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. Time was granted to file written points of arguments but it has not been filed. It may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>18.10.2023</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 27)**

**O.A. No. 661 of 2021**

**Smt Mithlesh Devi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. List on <b>18.10.2023</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 28)**

**O.A.(A). No. 87 of 2018**

**Yadav Ajay Kumar Banwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Maj RD Singh(Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. List on <b>19.10.2023</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 29)**

**T.A. No. 20 of 2022**

**Ex JWO RBS Yadav** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. As per Armed Forces Tribunal Bar Association resolution dated 10.09.2023, learned counsel for the applicant is abstaining from judicial work today.</p> <p>2. None is present for the parties. WO S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>3. List on <b>19.10.2023</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>SB</small></p>