

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 1)

O.A(A) No. 1249 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1769 of 2023

Ex Sub/DED Sundaran Mahohar Singh Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and
Shri Anil Dev Jamwal, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. List on 20.11.2023.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 2)

O.A.(A). No. 1252 of 2023

Ex Hav Lal Singh Through Pairokar Bheekam Singh, Brother Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri T Prasad and
Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This appeal has been filed by the appellant under Section 15 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against sentence dated 27.04.2023 passed by GCM, finding of GCM and confirmation order dated 08.08.2023 passed by GCM against the appellant to suffer rigorous imprisonment of five years, reducing in rank and dismissal from service.4. Matter needs adjudication.5. Admit.6. Learned counsel for the respondents may file counter affidavit within four weeks.7. Learned counsel for the appellant has also prayed for grant of interim relief of suspension of sentence and release on bail during pendency of O.A. (A).8. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection, if any, on interim relief sought by the appellant.9. List on 03.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No.3)

EX-A. No. 396 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 513 of 2022

Ex Sub (RT) Dilip Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col Ashok Kumar (Retd)
and Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 02.02.2023 passed in O.A. No. 513 of 2022.</p> <p>4. This is a fresh execution application. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 01.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No1)

EX-A. No. 38 of 2019 Inre T.A. No. 513 of 2010

Ex Swr Satendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col BP Singh (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col BP Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Objection, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 20.11.2023.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

EX-A. No. 216 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 501 of 2018

Smt Geeta Pal, W/o Late Ex Cfn Ramesh Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited by learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which the officer responsible for delay in implementation of order shall remain present before the Tribunal on next date to explain the cause of delay.</p> <p>4. List on 06.11.2023.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 231 of 2022 Inre T.A. No. 6 of 2021

Ex Sgt Somdatt Awasthi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued.4. The respondents are directed to bring corrected PPO on record within two weeks.5. List on 06.11.2023. <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 275 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 686 of 2021

Ex HFO Man Bahadur Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief for Shri R chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed three weeks further time to file compliance report. Learned counsel for the respondents shall also deposit cost imposed by next date fixed.</p> <p>3. List on 20.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 281 of 2022 Inre EX-A No. 67 of 2019 Inre T.A No. 1278 of 2010

Sep/NA VV Khedkar Rao (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh
and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocates

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj.</p> <p><u>EX-A. No. 281 of 2022</u></p> <p>2. This execution application has been moved by the applicant under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 read with Rule 25 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 for effective implementation of the judgment dated 07.09.2018 passed by this Tribunal in T.A. No. 1278 of 2010.</p> <p>3. The respondents have filed their detailed counter reply after providing copy to the learned counsel for the applicant which is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that though payment with regard to pay and allowances for the period 16.09.1994 to 27.11.1997 has been made by the respondents as directed by the Tribunal, but above mentioned period has not been included as qualifying service for pensionary benefits.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that for implementation of judgment dated 07.09.2018 passed by this Tribunal in T.A. No. 1278 of 2010, the applicant had moved execution application No. 67 of 2019 which was heard and disposed off on merit in full satisfaction vide order dated 28.01.2022 and this application is barred by principle of res judicata.</p> <p>6. We have considered submissions of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>7. T.A. No. 1278 of 2010 was filed by the applicant for following reliefs:- (i) Issue a writ, order or mandamus directing the respondents to release the salary and allowances to the petitioner from 16th September 1994 onwards, and pay the salary to the petitioner of the rank which he</p> |

had held, with penal rate of interest.

(ii) Issue any other writ, order or direction as this Hon'ble Court may consider appropriate in the interest of justice, fair pay and equity.

(iii) Award cost of the petition to the petitioner.

8. The above mentioned T.A. was finally decided by this Tribunal on merit by order dated 07.09.2018. The last operative portion of the judgment is reproduced as under:-

*"16. In the result, the T.A. is **allowed**. The impugned order denying disbursement of pay and allowances for the period 16.09.1994 till 27.11.1997 is set aside. The petitioner shall be paid pay and allowances admissible to him for the said period. The entire exercise shall be completed by the respondents within a period of four months from the date of production of a certified copy of this order failing which the petitioner shall be entitled to interest at the rate of 9% per annum till the date of actual payment.*

9. For implementation of the judgment of the Tribunal dated 07.09.2018, execution application No 67 of 2019 was filed by the applicant. In compliance of the judgment, respondents had paid Rs 1,29,290/- on account of pay and allowances for the period 16.09.1994 to 27.11.1997 including interest of Rs 27,968/-. In the above execution application affidavit of compliance was filed by the respondents in which it was submitted that respondents had fully complied with the order of this Tribunal passed on 07.09.2018 in T.A. No. 1278 of 2010. Opportunity to file objection on affidavit of compliance was given to the applicant but applicant has not filed any objection.

10. Both the parties were heard and learned counsel for the applicant submitted that order dated 07.09.2018 has been complied with. After giving full opportunity of hearing to the parties, execution application No 67 of 2019 was disposed off finally on 28.01.2022 by following order:-

"No objection has been filed against affidavit of compliance rather Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that Execution Application may be dismissed being infructuous as order has been complied with.

*Accordingly, Execution Application is **dismissed** being infructuous."*

11. Now the prayer of the applicant is for issuance of fresh PPO including the period from 16.09.1994 to 27.11.1997 as qualifying service for pension and to disburse difference of retiral benefits accordingly with suitable rate of interest.

12. Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the execution court cannot go beyond the decree/order. The respondents have complied with the judgment.

13. We find substance in argument of learned counsel for the respondents that direction passed in judgment of the Tribunal has been complied with by the

respondents and this fact was conceded by learned counsel for the applicant in execution application No. 67 of 2019.

14. It is also pertinent to mention that principles of res judicata provided in Section 11 of CPC is also applicable in execution proceedings. Once execution application has been finally disposed off after hearing both the parties, filing another execution application is barred by res judicata.

15. There is no sufficient ground to invoke the inherent power under Section 25 of Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rule, 2008 for passing any additional direction for the respondents.

16. In view of the above, this execution application is **dismissed**.

(Lt Gen Anil Puri)
Member (A)

rathore

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 99 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 84 of 2021

Smt Sonali @ Soni Wife of (Late) Gnr Rathod Yogesh Prahlad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|--|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. None is present for the applicant.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed three weeks further time to file compliance report else cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be payable.4. List on 22.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 07)

EX-A. No. 165 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 161 of 2022

Ex Sgt Kapil Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra
and Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report failing which cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be payable which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 01.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 1708 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1709 of 2023 Inre T.A. No. 596 of 2010

Union of India & Others

Applicants-Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Versus

Udai Veer Singh

Respondent-Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondent is present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No.1708 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 22 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate, but for the reason that some time was taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, therefore, delay of 22 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1709 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 11.08.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Transferred Application No. 596 of 2010.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 51 of 2023

Nk (Amb Asst) Hari Prakash Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shyam Sundar Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List on 29.11.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 58 of 2023

Smt Kalawati, W/o Late Ex Nk Triloki Nath Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Jay Prakash Singh
and Shri MVS Chauhan, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|--|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of respondent No 4 and 5 is taken on record. His name be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Jay Prakash Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 and Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondent No 4 and 5 are present.</p> <p>3. On prayer of learned counsel for the applicant time was granted to file amendment application for impleading Air Force Record Office (AFRO) as respondent No 6 but counsel appearing today for the applicant submits that he is newly engaged by applicant and on perusal of O.A. there is no need to implead AFRO because no relief has been sought against AFRO.</p> <p>4. As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant order dated 07.08.2023 is amended to the extent of moving amendment application for impleadment of AFRO as respondent No 6.</p> <p>5. Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Advocate has appeared for respondent No 4 and 5, therefore, there is no need to issue notice to respondent No 4 and 5.</p> <p>6. List on 11.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 136 of 2023

Ex Nk Chinta Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey and
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|--|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. is allowed.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order of date passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 146 of 2023

Smt Kiran Devi Daughter-in-Law Late Ex Hav Dhani Ram Kamal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Balwant Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Balwant Rai, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List this case on 11.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 152 of 2023

Smt Mukesh, W/O Late Nk Rish Pal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharna Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on maintainability of the O.A. filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Reply to aforesaid objection filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>4. This O.A. has been filed for compassionate appointment of the applicant.</p> <p>5. Order in chamber.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 233 of 2023

Pramod Kumar S/o Late Ex Sep Indra Pal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondent No. 4 is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the respondents No. 4 when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 and Shri Saket Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondent No 4 are present.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>5. List on 29.11.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 433 of 2023

Ex Nk Suresh Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit is on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. is allowed.</p> <p>4. For orders, see our order of date passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 443 of 2023

Nb Sub Kamlesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd)
and Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava(Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.17)

O.A. No. 564 of 2023

Major Namita Singh(Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List this case on 17.11.2023. <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 606 of 2023

Ex ACP Nb Sub Chanchal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents and rejoinder affidavit on behalf of the applicant are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed a week's time to file supplementary affidavit alongwith annexures.</p> <p>4. List on 02.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 692 of 2023

Smt Radha Thapa M/O Late Rfn Shankar Kumar Thapa Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List this case on 17.11.2023. <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 803 of 2023 with M.A. No. 955 of 2023

Ex Hav Asif Husain Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Girish Tiwari,
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri,
Shri Sandeep Tripathi and Shri Vishnu Kant
Awasthi, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|--|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 955 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there is no delay in filing O.A. as cause of delay has been explained in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay of more than 10 years has not been properly explained.</p> <p>5. After considering the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and perusal of record, we find that delay is not deliberate and reasons shown in affidavit annexed with delay condonation application being sufficient, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application is allowed. M.A. No 955 of 2023 is disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 803 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which learned counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks next.</p> <p>9. List on 13.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 1093 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1488 of 2023

Hav Rakesh Kumar Sharma Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Alka Saxsena, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Alka Saxsena, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application and maintainability of the O.A has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed a week's time, as last opportunity, to file objection on delay condonation application and maintainability of this O.A.</p> <p>4. List on 02.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. (A). No. 251 of 2021

Hav Abdul Wasim Khan Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that original documents are not available today.3. Respondents are directed to produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the bench on next date.4. List on 18.12.2023 for hearing. <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. (A). No. 626 of 2022

Sgt Suraj S

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla
and Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Advocate holding brief for Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed by respondents on amendment application is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. List on 08.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: left;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)

T.A. No. 19 of 2023

Ex Pnr Persadi Lal

Petitioner in Person

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner:

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|--|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ex Pnr Persadi Lal, petitioner in person and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Time was granted to the petitioner for filing vakalatnama of counsel on his behalf but it has not been filed. Petitioner was also directed to file bench copy which has also not been filed.3. Registry is directed to prepare bench copy and provide the bench copy on next date of listing.4. List on 08.11.2023. <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 25)

T.A. No. 39 of 2023 with M.A. No. 889 of 2023

Ex Sgt Anurag Shukla Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the petitioner when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. List on 22.11.2023.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 26)

T.A. No. 40 of 2023

Smt Sarita Chaudhary W/o Late Nk Purushottam Chaudhary Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ankur Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Bench copy has not been filed.3. Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that he has filed vakalatnama in this case but his name has not been shown in the cause list.4. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the petitioner when the case is listed next.5. List on 02.11.2023 for hearing.6. On the date fixed, learned counsel for the petitioner shall file Bench copy. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 264 of 2017 alongwith T.A. No. 82 of 2016(Decided)

Devendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Mukesh Kumar, Shri SS Rajawat,
and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mukesh Kumar, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The case is heard at length but it requires further hearing.</p> <p>3. List on 11.12.2023.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 258 of 2021

Ex JWO Manoj Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|--|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VK Pandey, Advocate holding brief for Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of brief holder, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 04.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 989 of 2022

Smt Pin Maya Kumal W/O Late Ex Sub Tek Bahadur Thapa Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava(Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|--|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Col AK Srivastava(Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 30)

T.A. No. 15 of 2017 with M.A. No. 35 of 2020

Bhupendra Singh Bhadauria Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|--|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be heard.</p> <p>3. List on 19.12.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 31)

T.A. No. 55 of 2017

Sgt AK Das

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Ms. Nisha Pandey
and Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|--|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be heard.</p> <p>3. List on 15.12.2023 for hearing.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 32)

T.A. No. 3 of 2021

Ex Nk Clk Netrapal Singh Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri KK Misra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------------------------|---|
| | <p><u>16.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the parties, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 08.11.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |