

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1254 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1778 of 2023

Ex Sub Pramod Kumar Sharma Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 01 year, 01 month and 10 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>6. Considering the submissions of both sides, we are of the view that ground shown is sufficient to condone the delay, accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1254 of 2023</u></p> <p>7. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 11.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1256 of 2023 with M.A. Mo. 1780 of 2023

Ex NL/Cik SD Sorabh Tyagi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. on the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate holding brief of Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 8 are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 04 years, 08 months and 13 days in filing this Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Mohd. Zafar Khan prays for and is granted a week time to file vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No. 9 & 10 and objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 8 prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 3)

M.A. No. 1781 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1782 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 692 of 2021

Union of India & Others Applicants-Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Versus

Col SK Dhawan (Retd) Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : Shri , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 28.08.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 692 of 2021.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 24 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 91 of 2021 with M.A. No. 328 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 628 of 2020

Smt Asha Devi, (M/o Late Ex Sigmn Kapil Kumar) & another Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Advocate
Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual hearing.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which respondents shall deposit additional cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 22.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 70 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 61 of 2022

Ex Hav Rajendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that instructions have been issued to Treasury Officer, Farrukhabad (UP) to release the amount of the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that amount has not been released in favour of the applicant.</p> <p>5. In this Execution Application, Senior Treasury Officer, Farrukhabad is respondent No. 4. Let notice be issued to respondent No. 4 returnable within four weeks. Step to be taken within a week.</p> <p>6. List on 15.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 88 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 133 of 2010

Ex Nk Yogendra Singh Tomar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that this is second Execution Application. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection on Execution Application.</p> <p>3. List on 22.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 7)

EX-A. No. 269 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 618 of 2022

Ex Sgt Ashish Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 18.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 608 of 2022

B Ekta Suman Srinivas W/o Late Wg Cdr Bhamidipati Srinivas Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. List revised.2. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present for the applicant. Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.3. Perusal of record shows that Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant is not appearing in this case for the last three dates. It seems that he is not interested to contest this case.4. Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed on non prosecution. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 946 of 2022

Ex Sep Ram Autar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Jitendra Bahadur Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Jitendra Bahadur Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present, however, Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Notice was issued for respondent No. 3, 4 & 5 and it is reported by the Registry that notice is deemed to be sufficient but none is present today for respondent No. 3, 4 & 5. Case proceeds ex-parte against them.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for respondent No. 1, 2 & 6 prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No. 1, 2 & 6.</p> <p>4. List on 22.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 969 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1178 of 2022

Smt Omwati Devi M/o Late Sep Bijendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On 09.08.2023, amendment application was allowed and learned counsel for the applicant was granted time to incorporate amendment during the course of day. Since then three dates have been passed but he has not incorporated amendment in the O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week further time, as last opportunity, to incorporate the amendment otherwise case will be dismissed on non prosecution.</p> <p>4. List on 06.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 220 of 2023

Maj Sunand Kumar Chaturvedi (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi, (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 01.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 635 of 2023

Ex Sep Rajeev Kushawah Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate
Shri Pramod Kumar Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present for the applicant. Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit has been sent for vetting. She prays for and is granted a week time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 19.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 636 of 2023

Ex Sep Brajendra Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate
Shri Pramod Kumar Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the parties.</p> <p>2. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present and submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 19.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 680 of 2023

Brijesh Kumari W/o Late Ex Hav/MT Rambir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that she will file counter affidavit within four weeks.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he intends to implead bank as respondent in array of the parties for which he will move application within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 04.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 706 of 2023

Ex Hav Satyendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 754 of 2023

Ex Sgt Ravi Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present for the applicant. Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has prepared counter affidavit. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 01.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 980 of 2023

Ex Sub Prem Chand Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 01.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1198 of 2023

Lt Col Kulbhushan Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Lohitaksha Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Lohitaksha Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List for hearing on admission and interim relief on 06.11.2023.</p> <p>4. Interim relief granted earlier is extended till next date of listing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. (A) No. 383 of 2023

Ex Nk Durgesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 06.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 241 of 2018

Ex Anuj Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. A message has been received on behalf of learned counsel for the applicant to adjourn the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 08.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 661 of 2021

Smt Mithlesh Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate
Shri Vinod Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Brief holder prays for adjournment of the case. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 01.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. (A) No. 420 of 2017

Mohd Meraj Khan (Through Wife Smt Tarannum Nisha) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. During the course of hearing, Bench wanted to peruse some original documents but learned counsel for the respondents submitted that today original documents are not available with her.3. Respondents are directed to produce original documents pertaining to this case for perusal of the Bench on next date of listing.4. List on 06.12.2023 for hearing. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. (A) No. 205 of 2021

Sep Pawan Chandra Bhatt through mother Smt Kamla Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. List for further hearing on 02.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 24)

T.A. No. 50 of 2017

Hari Ram

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Mukesh Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mukesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not prepared to argue the case and prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 01.12.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 25)

T.A. No. 4 of 2021

Sub Maj (Hony Lt) Santosh Kumar Pandey (Retd) Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri R Chandra, Advocate
Shri KC Ghildiyal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that a huge amount has been deducted by the respondents at the time of Final Settlement of Account (FSA) and his basic salary has also been reduced.</p> <p>3. Respondents were granted time to clarify the reason for which alleged recovery has been made from the applicant and his basic salary has been reduced.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that it may be explained by Pay Account Office (Other Ranks).</p> <p>5. Respondents are directed to seek instruction and file explanation for alleged recovery reducing the salary of the applicant within two weeks failing which PAO (OR), i.e. respondent No. 4 shall be personally present before the court on the next date fixed or file his affidavit explaining recovery and reducing amount of the salary of the applicant.</p> <p>6. List on 22.11.2023.</p> <p>7. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">SB</p>