

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 564 of 2023

Major Namita Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present to address the court. In the interest of justice the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>4. List on 08.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 574 of 2023 with M.A. No. 706 of 2023

Mrs Devaki Maya Gurung W/o Late Ex Rfn Dhan Bahadur Gurung Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate, Shri
Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate, Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Shri Dharam Raj Singh, and Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed with delay of 4 years. Learned counsel for the applicant has move application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four two weeks time to file objection on application, if any.</p> <p>5. List on 05.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 575 of 2023 with M.A. No. 707 of 2023

Ex CHEAR Hari Krishan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 5 is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 707 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 08 months in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 575 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 18.07.2023.</p> <p>13. Issue notice to respondent No 6 returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 577 of 2023 with M.A. No. 709 of 2023

Ex POME Mollety Nagesh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 5 is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 709 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 04 months and 05 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 577 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 18.07.2023.</p> <p>13. Issue notice to respondent No 6 returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 578 of 2023 with M.A. No. 710 of 2023

Ex POME Wahid Ansari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 5 is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 710 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 05 months and 13 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 578 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 18.07.2023.</p> <p>13. Issue notice to respondent No 6 returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 579 of 2023 with M.A. No. 711 of 2023

Ex POELP Pankaj Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 5 is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 711 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 08 months and 13 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 579 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 18.07.2023.</p> <p>13. Issue notice to respondent No 6 returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

O. A. No. 580 of 2023 with M.A. No. 712 of 2023

Smt Chandrami Devi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate and Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 5 is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 712 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 02 years and 05 months in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Maj (Retd) SM Mustafa, Advocate holding brief for Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 580 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 08.08.2023</p> <p>13. Issue notice to respondents No 6, 7 and 8 returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 583 of 2023 with M.A. No. 715 of 2023

Ex Nk Tej Bahadur Thapa

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 715 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 04 years and 04 months and 28 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the respondent on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 583 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 08.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

EX- A. No. 2 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 695 of 2020

Col Sanjeev Agnihotri Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Gyanendra Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Gyanendra Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that the matter is still pending with PIFA since 07.03.2023.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to issue notice through Secretary, Min of Def, New Delhi to PIFA to appear before this Tribunal on the date of listing for clarifying the reason for causing interruption of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. List on 19.07.2023.</p> <p>5. Let copy of this order be supplied to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 587 of 2021

Ex Rfn Jai Shankar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week's time to file formal application to change wrongly placed documents in counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 02.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 861 of 2021

Ex Hony Nb Sub Anil Kumar Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 303 of 2022

Smt Uma, W/o Ex Nk Hargovind Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Prasoon Kumar Anjor, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Prasoon Kumar Anjor, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate holding brief for Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of brief holder the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 01.06.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 304 of 2022

Ex Gnr Ram Tej Paney Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ganesh Dutt Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ganesh Dutt Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 are present.</p> <p>2. Steps to issue notice to respondent No 4 and 5 has not been taken.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a weeks and no more further time to take steps. After taking steps let notice be issued to respondent No 4 and 5 returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 14.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 787 of 2022 with M.A. No. 595 of 2023 & M.A. No. 977 of 2022

Maj J Belwal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant wants to withdraw this O.A. alongwith M.As. He is permitted to withdraw his case with liberty to file afresh with condition that point of delay shall remain open.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, this O.A. is dismissed as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 863 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1094 of 2022

Smt Hemlata W/o Lat Ex Sep Manoj Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate,
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 18.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 889 of 2022 with M.A. No. 699 of 2023 & M.A. No. 668 of 2023

Shubhamdeep Pandey,
S/o Late Ex Sub Shashi Bhushan Pandey & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate
Raj Priya Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 8 and Ms Raj Priya Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 9 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No 9 is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 668 of 2023 & 699 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed M.A. No 668 of 2023 for impleadment of respondent No 10 and 11 (bank respondents) and M.A. No 699 of 2023 for grant of interim relief which are taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 8 prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection, if any.</p> <p>5. List on 14.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)
O.A. No. 905 of 2022

Ex L/Nk Sunder

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Shri Ashok Singh n, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments heard.</p> <p>3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)
O.A. No. 39 of 2023

Smt Usha Devi (Widow), D/o Late Ex Hav Jiwa (Jiva) Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Air Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present to address the court.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed even after granting sufficient opportunities, therefore opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit is closed.</p> <p>3. List on 21.08.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)
O.A. No. 149 of 2023

Cpl Pramod Kumar Pathak (Dismissed) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Air Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 21.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)
T.A. No. 67 of 2022 with M.A. No. 271 of 2023

Sep/MT Maiyoor Singh

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Air Staff & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file objection on amendment application.</p> <p>3. List on 14.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)
Diary No. 524 of 2023

Ex Hav Sarjeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Air Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Delay condonation application filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that defects pointed out by the registry have been removed.4. Registry is directed to register the case.5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on delay condonation application.6. List on 05.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)
O.A. No. 265 of 2020

Uday Bahadur Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col BP Singh (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Chief of Air Staff & Others (Army) Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. None is present on behalf of the applicant to address the court.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)
O.A. No. 432 of 2022

Smt Nasiran Bibi W/o Late Sep Pyare Ali Khan
(Through her Daughter Najma Begum) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Faiz Ali Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Faiz Ali Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>4. List on 22.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)
O.A. No. 440 of 2022

Smt Sapna Devi W/o Ex NC (E) K Raju Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr AK Singh (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Wg Cdr AK Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 2. Heard arguments. 3. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 25)

T.A. No. 4 of 2021

Sub Maj (Hony Lt) Santosh Kumar Pandey (Retd) Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri R Chandra, Advocate
Shri KC Ghildiyal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra and Shri KC Ghildiyal, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that a letter has been sent to PAO (OR) Signals through Signal Records, Jabalpur to seek clarification about the deduction made by respondent No 4. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file reply received from PAO (OR), Signals.</p> <p>3. List on 23.08.2023.</p> <p>4. Let copy of this order be supplied to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 26)
T.A. No. 10 of 2022

Sub Rajeshwari Devi, W/o Late Hav Ram Vir Singh Chouhan Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 23.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>