

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1113 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1518 of 2023

Ex Sep Mahesh Kumar Shrestha Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate
Shri Kritendra Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. There is delay of 04 years, 02 months and 21 days in filing Original Application.4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection on delay condonation application.6. List on 10.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1114 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1519 of 2023

Smt. Laxmi Devi, W/o Late rifleman Soban Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Taj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1519 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. There is delay of 03 years, 08 months and 29 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>5. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay in filing Original Application has not been properly explained on day to day basis.</p> <p>7. We are of the view that grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being sufficient, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1114 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 20.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)
O.A. No. 1103 of 2023

Sundra Devi W/o Ex MES Late Ram Laxhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Niteesh Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Niteesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection, if any, on maintainability of the case.</p> <p>4. List on 06.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

EX-A. No. 14 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 753 of 2020

Ex Nb Sub Ramesh Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KC Ghildiyal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri KC Ghildiyal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by Departmental representative that subject matter pending before the Hon'ble Apex Court has been finally disposed off.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file copy of the final order of the Hon'ble Apex Court by the next date fixed.</p> <p>4. List on 10.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 175 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 629 of 2017

Ex JWO Vishnu Deo Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this Execution Application, affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the PDA Bank and as per order of the Tribunal, compliance has already been made and payment has also been made as per PCDA (P) Prayagraj Circular No. 568 dated 13.10.2016.</p> <p>3. He further submits that now nothing is left to be complied with.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has vehemently opposed the contention of the respondents and submitted that order has not been complied with in letter and spirit of the order passed by the Tribunal for which a detailed objection has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>5. After considering the submission of learned counsel for the parties and perusal of record, we are of the view that before passing any order on compliance report and objection, it will be appropriate to give an opportunity to the respondents to file parawise reply of the objection filed by the applicant.</p> <p>6. Respondents may file their parawise reply of objection filed by the applicant within four weeks. No further opportunity will be given for filing the reply.</p> <p>7. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 176 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 58 of 2018

Ex JWO Ashok Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this Execution Application, affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the PDA Bank and as per order of the Tribunal, compliance has already been made and payment has also been made as per PCDA (P) Prayagraj Circular No. 568 dated 13.10.2016.</p> <p>3. He further submits that now nothing is left to be complied with.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has vehemently opposed the contention of the respondents and submitted that order has not been complied with in letter and spirit of the order passed by the Tribunal for which a detailed objection has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>5. After considering the submission of learned counsel for the parties and perusal of record, we are of the view that before passing any order on compliance report and objection, it will be appropriate to give an opportunity to the respondents to file parawise reply of the objection filed by the applicant.</p> <p>6. Respondents may file their parawise reply of objection filed by the applicant within four weeks. No further opportunity will be given for filing the reply.</p> <p>7. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB/RSP</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 177 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 119 of 2018

Ex MWO Surendra Kumar Srivastava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate
Shri Avaneesh Pal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh and Shri Avaneesh Pal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this Execution Application, affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the PDA Bank and as per order of the Tribunal, compliance has already been made and payment has also been made as per PCDA (P) Prayagraj Circular No. 568 dated 13.10.2016.</p> <p>3. He further submits that now nothing is left to be complied with.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has vehemently opposed the contention of the respondents and submitted that order has not been complied with in letter and spirit of the order passed by the Tribunal for which a detailed objection has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>5. After considering the submission of learned counsel for the parties and perusal of record, we are of the view that before passing any order on compliance report and objection, it will be appropriate to give an opportunity to the respondents to file parawise reply of the objection filed by the applicant.</p> <p>6. Respondents may file their parawise reply of objection filed by the applicant within four weeks. No further opportunity will be given for filing the reply.</p> <p>7. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB/RSP</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 178 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 635 of 2017

Ayodhya Tiwari S/o Late Ex Sub Brij Behari Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this Execution Application, affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the PDA Bank and as per order of the Tribunal, compliance has already been made and payment has also been made as per PCDA (P) Prayagraj Circular No. 568 dated 13.10.2016.</p> <p>3. He further submits that now nothing is left to be complied with.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has vehemently opposed the contention of the respondents and submitted that order has not been complied with in letter and spirit of the order passed by the Tribunal for which a detailed objection has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>5. After considering the submission of learned counsel for the parties and perusal of record, we are of the view that before passing any order on compliance report and objection, it will be appropriate to give an opportunity to the respondents to file parawise reply of the objection filed by the applicant.</p> <p>6. Respondents may file their parawise reply of objection filed by the applicant within four weeks. No further opportunity will be given for filing the reply.</p> <p>7. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB/RSP</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

EX-A. No. 179 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 621 of 2017

Ex JWO Bachcha Jee Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate
Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani and Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this Execution Application, affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the PDA Bank and as per order of the Tribunal, compliance has already been made and payment has also been made as per PCDA (P) Prayagraj Circular No. 568 dated 13.10.2016.</p> <p>3. He further submits that now nothing is left to be complied with.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has vehemently opposed the contention of the respondents and submitted that order has not been complied with in letter and spirit of the order passed by the Tribunal for which a detailed objection has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>5. After considering the submission of learned counsel for the parties and perusal of record, we are of the view that before passing any order on compliance report and objection, it will be appropriate to give an opportunity to the respondents to file parawise reply of the objection filed by the applicant.</p> <p>6. Respondents may file their parawise reply of objection filed by the applicant within four weeks. No further opportunity will be given for filing the reply.</p> <p>7. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB/RSP</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 180 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 624 of 2017

Ex JWO Raj Nath Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this Execution Application, affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the PDA Bank and as per order of the Tribunal, compliance has already been made and payment has also been made as per PCDA (P) Prayagraj Circular No. 568 dated 13.10.2016.</p> <p>3. He further submits that now nothing is left to be complied with.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has vehemently opposed the contention of the respondents and submitted that order has not been complied with in letter and spirit of the order passed by the Tribunal for which a detailed objection has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>5. After considering the submission of learned counsel for the parties and perusal of record, we are of the view that before passing any order on compliance report and objection, it will be appropriate to give an opportunity to the respondents to file parawise reply of the objection filed by the applicant.</p> <p>6. Respondents may file their parawise reply of objection filed by the applicant within four weeks. No further opportunity will be given for filing the reply.</p> <p>7. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB/RSP</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 181 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 623 of 2017

Arun Kumar Tiwari S/o Ex Sub Deo Nandan Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present.2. Objection on compliance report filed by the applicant is taken on record.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this Execution Application, affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the PDA Bank and as per order of the Tribunal, compliance has already been made and payment has also been made as per PCDA (P) Prayagraj Circular No. 568 dated 13.10.2016.4. He further submits that now nothing is left to be complied with.5. Learned counsel for the applicant has vehemently opposed the contention of the respondents and submitted that order has not been complied with in letter and spirit of the order passed by the Tribunal for which a detailed objection has been filed by the applicant.6. After considering the submission of learned counsel for the parties and perusal of record, we are of the view that before passing any order on compliance report and objection, it will be appropriate to give an opportunity to the respondents to file parawise reply of the objection filed by the applicant.7. Respondents may file their parawise reply of objection filed by the applicant within four weeks. No further opportunity will be given for filing the reply.8. List on 17.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB/RSP</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 182 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 117 of 2018

Smt Sumitra Devi W/o Late Ex WO Shyamala Prasad Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this Execution Application, affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the PDA Bank and as per order of the Tribunal, compliance has already been made and payment has also been made as per PCDA (P) Prayagraj Circular No. 568 dated 13.10.2016.</p> <p>3. He further submits that now nothing is left to be complied with.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has vehemently opposed the contention of the respondents and submitted that order has not been complied with in letter and spirit of the order passed by the Tribunal for which a detailed objection has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>5. After considering the submission of learned counsel for the parties and perusal of record, we are of the view that before passing any order on compliance report and objection, it will be appropriate to give an opportunity to the respondents to file parawise reply of the objection filed by the applicant.</p> <p>6. Respondents may file their parawise reply of objection filed by the applicant within four weeks. No further opportunity will be given for filing the reply.</p> <p>7. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB/RSP</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

EX-A. No. 183 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 641 of 2017

Ex WO Mukhtyar Ahmed Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this Execution Application, affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the PDA Bank and as per order of the Tribunal, compliance has already been made and payment has also been made as per PCDA (P) Prayagraj Circular No. 568 dated 13.10.2016.</p> <p>3. He further submits that now nothing is left to be complied with.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has vehemently opposed the contention of the respondents and submitted that order has not been complied with in letter and spirit of the order passed by the Tribunal for which a detailed objection has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>5. After considering the submission of learned counsel for the parties and perusal of record, we are of the view that before passing any order on compliance report and objection, it will be appropriate to give an opportunity to the respondents to file parawise reply of the objection filed by the applicant.</p> <p>6. Respondents may file their parawise reply of objection filed by the applicant within four weeks. No further opportunity will be given for filing the reply.</p> <p>7. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB/RSP</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

EX-A. No. 185 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 653 of 2020

Ex Flt Cadet Charul Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.3. Objection, if any, may be filed within two weeks.4. List on 31.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

EX-A. No. 284 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 98 of 2011

Smt Ram Kumari Pandey W/o Moti Lal Pandey (Deceased) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted two weeks further time to make compliance of the order on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/-.4. Cost imposed on the respondents shall be remitted to the applicant.5. List on 17.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

EX-A. No. 190 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 164 of 2022

Ex Sub Vishawkarma Kripadayal Shyam Bihari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on 21.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.15)

O. A. No. 988 of 2022

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Chhabi Nath Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 21.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O. A. No. 1063 of 2022

Ex Sub (Nur Tech) Shekh Istak

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.4. List on 10.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 360 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Birendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amrendra Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 21.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB/RSP</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 435 of 2023

Ex Hav Trilok Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 813 of 2023

Sub (Clk SD) Kulbinder Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. She prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. (A) No. 1020 of 2023

LAC Ravi Singh Indolia (through wife Smt Anjali) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiva Nand Mishra, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on bail application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that this Original Application has become infructuous.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed as infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)

T.A. No. 37 of 2023

Shweta Singh Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Chandra Kant Jha, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Chandra Kant Jha, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant is directed to provide Bench Copy and a copy for the respondents within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 29.11.2023.</p> <p>4. Registry is directed to show name of Shri Chandra Kant Jha as learned counsel for the petitioner and name of learned counsel for the respondents be corrected as Shri D.K. Pandey in place of Shri D.K. Singh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 202 of 2012

Vijay Kant Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel holding brief of learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>4. List on 27.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. (A) No. 2 of 2017 alongwith O.A. No. 254 of 2016 (Decided)

Ex Sub Maj Rashid Ali

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.2. Due to non availability of Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, hearing of the case is adjourned.3. Departmental Representative is directed to produce Original documents of the case on the next date of listing.4. List on 08.11.2023 for hearing. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/RSP</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 342 of 2020

Dashratha W/O Late Sep Prem Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
Shri Rajesh Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 3 and Shri Rajesh Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 4 are present.</p> <p>2. Amendment application dated 10.07.2023 moved by the applicant is available on record. It will be appropriate to dispose of the amendment application before fixing the date of final hearing.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection on amendment application.</p> <p>4. List on 07.11.2023 for hearing on amendment application moved by the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 167 2021

Smt Prem Bati, W/o Late Ex Nb Sub Ram Sarup Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 5 & 6 are present.2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 4 is taken on record.3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.4. List on 28.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 197 2021

Smt Prem Bati, W/o Late Ex Nb Sub Ram Sarup Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 5 & 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. (A) No. 175 of 2019

Shankar Dutt Bhatt

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate
Shri SK Anand, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>2. List on 21.09.2023 for further hearing as part-heard case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. (A) No. 118 2020

Ex LAC PP Naidu

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, hearing in the case is adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on 28.11.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. (A) No. 205 of 2021

Sep Pawan Chandra Bhatt through mother Smt Kamla Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This is a part-heard case.</p> <p>3. List before the appropriate Bench for hearing on 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A.(A) No. 79 of 2023 with M.A. No. 70 of 2023

Rifmn Tirath Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within a week.</p> <p>4. List on 11.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>