

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 1)**

**DY-No. 2739 of 2023 Inre T.A. No. 24 of 2012**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants-Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Gnr Yogendra Singh** Respondent-Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri ....., Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the applicants-respondents is not present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Registry has observed some defects.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents is directed to remove the defects within a week.</p> <p>4. List on <b>06.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 2)**

**M.A. No. 1513 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1514 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 234 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants-Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Sep Nayan Singh** Respondents-Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the applicants–respondents on the application for leave to appeal.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No.1513 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 06 months and 05 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-respondents is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that delay occurred due to time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, hence, delay of 06 months and 05 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1514 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 08.02.2023 passed by this Tribunal in Original Application No.234 of 2022.</p> <p>7. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-respondents is that respondent-applicant while joining the Territorial Army (Ecological Task Force) was apprised that he will not be able to earn service pension for the services rendered in Ecological Task Force and in regard to this applicant had signed a certificate dated 28.04.1994. His further submission is that this Tribunal while passing the order did not consider the fact that as per para 1 (d) (v) of Govt of India, Min of Def letter dated 31.03.2008, personnel enrolled in Territorial Army (Ecological Task Force) are not entitled to any pensionary benefits therefore,</p>

the respondent-applicant after discharge from Territorial Army (Ecological Task Force) is not entitled to service pension as per rules.

8. His concluding submission is that matter is related with general public importance and a point of law is also involved in the matter, therefore, there is a need to prefer an appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. He pleaded for grant of leave to appeal.

9. We have given due consideration to the submissions made by learned counsel for the applicants-respondents and we find that Original Application No 234 of 2022 was allowed by this Tribunal on 08.02.2023 after hearing both the parties and following order was passed:-

“27. In view of the above, the O.A. deserves to be allowed, hence allowed. The impugned orders passed by the respondents rejecting the claim of the applicant for grant of service pension are set aside. The applicant is entitled for grant of second service pension for his services rendered in the Territorial Army as per Pension Regulations. Respondents are directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% p.a.”

10. The Tribunal has passed the order after duly considering the facts on record and the law to which applicants-respondents have no satisfactory reason to justify that order passed by this Tribunal is against rule position/factual position of the case. Hence, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.

11. Accordingly, leave to appeal is **rejected**.

**(Lt Gen Anil Puri)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
**Member (J)**

*rathore*

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 3)**

**M.A. No. 1523 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1524 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 332 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants-Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Sep Yogendra Prasad Singh**

Respondent-Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents on application for leave to appeal.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No.1523 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 07 months and 04 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India &amp; Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that delay occurred due to time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, hence, delay of 07 months and 04 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1524 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 10.01.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No.332 of 2022.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved in this case for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 1090 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1485 of 2023**

**Ex CPO COM(EW) Yogendra Prasad Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1485 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 01 year and 06 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that day-to-day delay has not been explained. He further submits that there is no recurring cause of action in the matter.</p> <p>6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay is condoned. M.A. No. 1485 of 2023 is disposed off.</p> <p>8. Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1090 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next.</p> <p>12. Let notice be issued to respondent No 6 returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</p> <p>13. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 1092 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1487 of 2023**

**Ex (PORTEL) Manoj Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh., Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This O.A. has been filed with delay of 03 months and 02 days. Learned counsel for the applicant has also sought interim relief. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file objection on interim relief sought by learned counsel for the applicant. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on <b>04.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)**

**EX-A. No. 163 of 2017 Inre O.A. No. 229 of 2014**

**Ramvir Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col Ashok Kumar (Retd)  
and Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocates

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief for Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Order under implementation has been stayed by the Hon'ble Apex Court.</p> <p>3. List on <b>04.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/--</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX.A. No. 91 of 2021 with M.A. No. 328 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 628 of 2020

**Smt. Asha Devi (M/O Late Ex Sigmn Kapil Kumar) & another** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka  
and Shri Rama Kant, Advocates  
**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed on the respondents has not been deposited.</li><li>3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that Govt sanction has already been accorded on 16.02.2023.</li><li>4. As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to learned counsel for the respondents to file compliance report.</li><li>5. List on <b>18.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 151 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 666 of 2017

**Ex Nk (Reservist) Awadh Narain** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava(Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has already been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents that order of this Tribunal has been complied with.</p> <p>3. Applicant was granted time to file objection on compliance report but no objection has been filed and none is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, it is presumed that there is no objection on affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, execution application is dismissed as order of this Tribunal has been complied with.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 275 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 686 of 2021

Ex HFO Man Bahadur Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that appeal filed against order of this Tribunal has been dismissed by the Hon'ble Apex Court and review is pending.</li><li>3. Two weeks further time is granted to learned counsel for the respondents to file affidavit of compliance failing which officer responsible shall be present before the Tribunal on next date to clarify the reason for non implementation of order of this Tribunal.</li><li>4. List on <b>16.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

EX-A. No. 327 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 238 of 2019

**Ex L/Nk Awadhesh Kumar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh and Mohd. Zafar Khan,  
Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed by respondent No 5 and 6. Learned counsel for the applicant was directed to file objection which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time as last opportunity to file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 3 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 844 of 2021

**Ex Sgt Amarnath Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri AK Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of respondent No 6 and 7 is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 and Shri AK Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondent No 6 to 7 are present. Mohd Imran, Branch Manager, Central Bank of India is also present.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance filed by learned counsel for the respondent No 6 and 7 is taken on record. Objection, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>17.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 221 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 428 of 2022

Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Dharendra Singh Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Govt sanction has been accorded. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

EX.A. No. 225 of 2023 Inre O.A.(A). No. 667 of 2020

**Smt Firoz Bano wife of Mohd Yunus Khan (Deceased)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. This execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 10.04.2023 passed in O.A.(A) No 667 of 2020. This is a fresh execution application.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on <b>06.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

EX.A. No. 234 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 264 of 2018

**Smt Vinita Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri SK Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the case is pending with PIFA. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on <b>06.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

M.A. No. 1307 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 811 of 2022 with M.A. 1007 of 2022

Smt Ratnamani Sahu. M/O Late Cfn Durga Charan Sahu & another Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Gitanjali Nayak, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Gitanjali Nayak, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the Respondents</li><li>2. Registry has reported that cost has not been deposited. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that she has deposited the cost. She has provided copy of the receipt for deposition of cost.</li><li>3. In view of the above, registry is directed to restore the case at its original number on receipt of deposit receipt.</li><li>4. List on <b>06.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 96 of 2023**

**Ex Hony Capt Ashok Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has prepared counter affidavit and it shall be filed shortly. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>07.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 517 of 2023**

**Ex L/Nk Darshan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar(Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant within a week.</li><li>4. Sh. Manoj Kumar Awasthi appearing for the applicant submits that he has filed his Vakalatnama in this case but his name is not being shown in the Cause List. Registry is directed to show his name as Ld. Counsel for the applicant in the Cause List.</li><li>5. List on <b>08.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 525 of 2023 with M.A. No. 634 of 2023

**Wg Cdr Gaurav Saxena**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari  
and Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application as well as maintainability of the O.A. filed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Reply, if any, will be filed within a week.</p> <p>3. List on <b>06.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 840 of 2023**

**Ex Sep Ram Nath Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 1 to 3 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondent prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. Notice issued to respondent No. 4 is deemed to be sufficient. None is present on behalf of respondent No. 4. Case shall proceed ex-parte against respondent No. 4.</p> <p>4. List on <b>07.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.17)

O. A. No. 842 of 2023

**Ex Sgt Sri Saran Srivastava** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh  
and Maj SM Mustafa(Retd), Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</p> <p>2. Objection on maintainability of this O.A. has not been filed. Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file objection, if any.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O. A. No. 863 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1092 of 2023**

**Ex LD Arun Prakash Trivedi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Keshav Sharma , Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that copy of O.A. has not been provided.</p> <p>3. List is revised. None is present for the applicant. Ld. Counsel for the applicant was not present on date previous to it. It appears that the applicant is not interested to proceed with the case. The case is dismissed for non prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

T.A. No. 44 of 2022

**Ex Cpl SP Dubey**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri ....., Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Notice has not been served on the petitioner. Today Ld. Counsel for the respondents has provided permanent address of the petitioner.</li><li>3. Registry is directed to issue notice to the petitioner on his permanent address returnable with four weeks.</li><li>4. List on <b>08.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 106 of 2013 with M.A. No. 464 of 2020**

**Mahabir Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. In this case, Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate was appointed as Amicus Curie to represent the applicant and assist the court. On previous date Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate was not present. Direction was issued to argue the case on next date but today he is also not present.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to appoint next Amicus Curie from the Panel to represent this case. Registry is also directed to issue notice to Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate as to why his name may not be deleted from the Panel of Amicus Curie as he has failed to represent this case.</p> <p>4. Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate is directed to hand over Paper Book to next appointed Amicus Curie within a week.</p> <p>5. List on <b>30.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 245 of 2018**

**Dharmendra Singh Tomar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded. Judgement reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O.A. No. 68 of 2019**

**Ex Sub Nand Lal Gupta**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to argue the case on next date fixed or submit the written arguments for consideration of the Bench.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)**

**O.A. No. 99 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Tirth Nath Ojha** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd) Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivatava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. On previous date respondents were directed to produce Original CRs for perusal of the Bench. Today, respondents have produced some documents pertaining to this case but comparative chart and ACR has not been produced.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to produce Original CRs and comparative chart on the next date for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 415 of 2022

**Ex Hav Suresh Kumar Sharma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Col AK  
Srivastava (Retd) and Shri Shyam  
Sunder Bajpai, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the parties. Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of Departmental Representative for the respondents, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>17.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 25)

T.A. No. 41 of 2017

**Sushil Kumar Rangawa**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Col Ashok Kumar(Retd) and  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of brief holder, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rpm/-</i></p>