ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1467 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2126 of 2023

Pradeep Kumar Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashish Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Orde	wa of the Tribunal	
0.00	rs of the Tribunal	
04.44	2 2022	
		er (A)
1.0		- 17-7
1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Namit S	sharma, Advocate on behalf of
the r	espondents is taken on record. His name s	hall be shown as counsel for
the re	espondents when the case is listed next.	
2.	On the case being taken up for hearing, Sh	nri Ashish Kumar, Ld. Counsel
for th	ne applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Co	unsel for the respondents are
present.		
3.	There is delay of 11 years, 06 months a	and 13 days in filing Original
Application.		
4.	Learned counsel for the respondents pra	ays for and is granted three
weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on delay condonation		
appli	cation and maintainability of the case.	
5.	List on 28.02.2024.	
SB	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	Hon' Hon' 1. the reference of the refer	the respondents is taken on record. His name so the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Sh for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Co present. 3. There is delay of 11 years, 06 months at Application. 4. Learned counsel for the respondents provided weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, application and maintainability of the case. 5. List on 28.02.2024. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1468 of 2023

Sep/ MT Krishna Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Akar Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>21.12.2023</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of
	the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for
	the respondents when the case is listed next.
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the
	applicant. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.
	3. List for hearing on admission on 28.02.2024.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1469 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2127 of 2023

Ex Spr Ram Ji Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra and

Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocates

	Versus		
	ndia & Others Respondents		
	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate		
Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>21.12.2023</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of		
	the respondents No. 1 to 4 is taken on record. His name shall be shown as		
	counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 when the case is listed next.		
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for		
	the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to		
	4 are present.		
	M.A. No. 2127 of 2023		
	3. There is delay of 01 year, 03 months and 14 days in filing Original		
	Application.		
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning		
	the delay.		
	5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay in filing Original		
	Application is not deliberate but for the reasons shown in affidavit annexed		
	alongwith delay condonation application.		
	6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.		
	7. We are of the view that ground shown is sufficient to condone the delay.		
	Accordingly, application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned.		
	Delay condonation application stands disposed off.		
	O.A. No. 1469 of 2023		

- 8. Matter needs adjudication.
- 9. Admit.
- 10. Issue notice to respondents No. 5 & 6 returnable within six weeks. Step to be taken within a week.
- 11. Respondents are directed to file their counter affidavit within six weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 12. List on 28.02.2024.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 1470 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2128 of 2023

Smt Vidyavati W/o Shri Sishupal Singh Nephew of Rect Bhikham Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra and

Mrs Supriya Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	1	ers of the Tribunal	•
the Registry			
regiony	21.1	2.2023	
	Hon	<u>'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u>	
	<u>Hon</u>	<u>'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Mem</u>	ber (A)
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Alo	k Kumar Mishra, Advocate on
	beha	alf of the respondents No. 1 to 4 is taker	on record. His name shall be
	shov	vn as counsel for the respondents No. 1 to	4 when the case is listed next.
	2.	Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate put his	appearance on behalf of the
	resp	ondents No. 5 & 6. He prays for and is	granted a week time to file his
	Vaka	alatnama.	
	3.	On the case being taken up for hearing,	Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for
	the a	applicant and Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. C	Counsel for the respondents No.
	1 to 4 are present.		
	4.	Learned counsel for the respondents no	. 1 to 4 prays for and is granted
	three	e weeks time to file objection, if any, on dela	ay condonation application.
	5.	List on 28.02.2024.	
		(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)	(lustice Anil Kumar)
		(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB	, <i>,</i>	. ,

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 1471 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2129 of 2023

Nk Zafar Salam (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh and

Shri Sunil Kumar Shukla. Advocates

	_	Versus	1103
Union of I	ndia & Others	V 0.1040	Respondents
By Legal F	Practitioner for Respondents: S	Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate	'
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry	24 42 2022		
	21.12.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kui	mar Member (I)	
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul K		
		<u> </u>	
	1. Memo of Appearance f	filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advo	cate on behalf of
	the respondents is taken on	record. His name shall be show	n as counsel for
	the respondents when the cas	se is listed next.	
	2. On the case being tak	ken up for hearing, Shri Mahend	lra Kumar Singh,
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	M.A. No. 2129 of 2023		
	3. There is delay of 01	year, 11 months and 27 days	in filing Original
	Application.		
	4. Learned counsel for th	ne applicant has moved applicati	on for condoning
	the delay.		
	5. Learned counsel for the	he applicant submits that delay	in filing Original
	Application is not deliberate	but for the reasons shown in a	affidavit annexed
	alongwith delay condonation a	application.	

- 6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
- 7. We are of the view that ground shown is sufficient to condone the delay. Accordingly, application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

O.A. No. 1471 of 2023

- 8. This Original Application has been filed for grant of ACP 1 & 2.
- 9. Matter needs adjudication.
- 10. Admit.
- 11. Respondents are granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 12. List on **28.02.2024.**

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 1472 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2130 of 2023

Ex Sub Yogendra Pratap Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Santosh Singh, Advocate

Versus

	Versus	
Union of I	ndia & Others	Respondents
By Legal F	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun K	umar Sahu, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry	24.42.222	
	21.12.2023	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Memb	
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain	, Member (A)
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by S	Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on
	behalf of the respondents is taken on	record. His name shall be shown as
	counsel for the respondents when the ca	se is listed next.
	2. On the case being taken up for	or hearing, Shri Santosh Singh, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Aru	ın Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.	
	M.A. No. 2130 of 2023	
	3. There is delay of 07 months and 1	2 days in filing Original Application.
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant	has moved application for condoning
	the delay.	

- Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons shown in affidavit annexed alongwith delay condonation application.
- 6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
- We are of the view that ground shown is sufficient to condone the delay. 7. Accordingly, application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

O.A. No. 1472 of 2023

- This Original Application has been filed for grant of increment and 8. refund of Rs. 1,88,104/- with interest.
- Matter needs adjudication. 9.
- 10. Admit.
- 11. Respondents are granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 12. List on 28.02.2024.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 1476 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2134 of 2023

Malti Sharma W/o Late Harilal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Narendra Kumar

Mishra and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

the Registry	
	21.12.2023

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)

- Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.
- 2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.

M.A. No. 2134 of 2023

- 3. There is delay of 01 year, 03 months and 16 days in filing Original Application.
- Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.
- 5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action. Hence, delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons shown in affidavit annexed alongwith delay condonation application.
- 6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
- 7. We are of the view that ground shown is sufficient to condone the delay. Accordingly, application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

O.A. No. 1476 of 2023

- 8. This Original Application has been filed for grant of family pension.
- 9. Matter needs adjudication.
- 10. Admit.
- 11. Respondents are granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 12. List on 28.02.2024.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 1478 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2136 of 2023

SWR Satya Dev Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of		oner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, A rs of the Tribunal	Advocate	
the	Orac	13 of the Hibariai		
Registry				
		21.12.2023		
		ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	or (A)	
	ПОП	ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Membe	er (A)	
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri GS Si	ikarwar, Advocate on behalf of	
	the r	espondents is taken on record. His name s	shall be shown as counsel for	
	the re	espondents when the case is listed next.		
	2.	On the case being taken up for hearing, S	Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld.	
	Cour	nsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sik	karwar, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respo	ondents are present.		
	3.	There is delay of 17 years and 11 days in	filing Original Application.	
	4.	Learned counsel for the applicant has mo	oved application for condoning	
	the d	elay.		
	5.	Learned counsel for the respondents pr	rays for and is granted three	
	week	s time to seek instruction and file obje	ection on delay condonation	
	appli	cation.		
	6.	List on 28.02.2024.		
	SB	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 1479 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2137 of 2023

Smt Preeti W/o Late Rfn Ajay Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:

Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka and

Shri Rama Kant, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry Orders of the Tribunal

21.12.2023

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)

- 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.
- 2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.

M.A. No. 2137 of 2023

- 3. There is delay of 05 years, 10 months and 29 days in filing Original Application.
- 4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.
- 5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action. Hence, delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons shown in affidavit annexed alongwith delay condonation application.
- 6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
- 7. We are of the view that ground shown is sufficient to condone the delay. Accordingly, application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

O.A. No. 1479 of 2023

- 8. This Original Application has been filed for grant of special family pension.
- 9. Matter needs adjudication.
- 10. Admit.
- 11. Respondents are granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 12. List on 28.02.2024.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 1481 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2139 of 2023

Smt Poonam Singh W/o Late Sep Ajay Kumar Singh Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Angrej Nath Shukla, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of		oner for Respondents: Dr. Shallendra Sharl	ma / nan, / navocato
the			
Registry			
		2.2023	
		<u>ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Membe	or (A)
	11011	bie vice Admirai Atdi Kumai Jam, Membe	<u> </u>
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailene	dra Sharma Atal, Advocate on
	beha	If of the respondents is taken on record.	His name shall be shown as
	coun	sel for the respondents when the case is liste	ed next.
	2.	On the case being taken up for hearing,	Shri Angrej Nath Shukla, Ld.
	Cour	nsel for the applicant, through virtual mode	e and Dr. Shailendra Sharma
	Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.		
	3. There is delay of 09 months and 17 days in filing Original Application.		
	4.	Learned counsel for the applicant has mo	oved application for condoning
	the d	elay.	
	5.	Learned counsel for the applicant is direct	ted to clarify as to whether he
	has f	iled any compassionate application earlier of	f this O.A. or not.
	6.	List for hearing on admission on 28.02.202	24.
	7.	In the meantime, objection, if any, on delay	y condonation application may
	be file	ed by the respondents till next date of listing.	
	SB	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	J 0D		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (Supl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 1485 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2147 of 2023

Smt Gani Magarni Alias Ganisara Rana

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri TK Shukla, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

		s time to seek instruction and file object lelay condonation application.	ction on maintainability of the case
		s time to seek instruction and file object	. ,
	the delay.5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three		
	4.	Learned counsel for the applicant has	s moved application for condoning
	3.	There is delay of 03 months and 24 da	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	respondents are present.		
		sel for the applicant and Shri Ashish	Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the
	2.	On the case being taken up for hear	
		sel for the respondents when the case is	
		f of the respondents is taken on reco	
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri A	Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on
		ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Me	
rtogiotiy	21.12	2023	
the Registry			
Notes of	Orde	rs of the Tribunal	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 1489 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2151 of 2023

Ex Nb Munna Prasad Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of		rs of the Tribunal	,
the			
Registry			
		2.2023 blo Mr. Justice Apil Kumer Member (I)	
		<u>ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Mem	her (A)
	11011	bic vice Admiral Atal Ramar Gam, Mem	<u> </u>
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Vishv	esh Kumar, Advocate on behalf
	of the	e respondents is taken on record. His nam	ne shall be shown as counsel for
	the re	espondents when the case is listed next.	
	2.	On the case being taken up for hearing	g, Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey,
	Ld. C	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishve	esh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ondents are present.	
	3.	There is delay of 05 months and 11 days	s in filing Original Application.
	4.	4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning	
	the delay.		
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three		
	weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on maintainability of the case		
	and c	delay condonation application.	
	6.	List on 29.02.2024.	
	SB	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 1491 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2156 of 2023

Ex Sep Kedar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>21.12.2023</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of
	the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for
	the respondents when the case is listed next.
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the
	applicant. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.
	3. List for hearing on admission on 29.02.2024.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2</u> (Supl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1493 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2158 of 2023

Ex Smt Kosalai P W/o Ex Sep Late Gurusamy Perumalsamy Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

		ner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh	, Advocate
Notes of	Order	s of the Tribunal	
the Bogietry			
Registry	21.12.2023		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
		ole Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A	()
			_
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Nar	ayan Singh, Advocate on
	behalf	f of the respondents is taken on record. His	name shall be shown as
	couns	el for the respondents when the case is listed n	ext.
	2.	On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri \	/eer Raghav Chaubey, Ld.
	Couns	sel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan S	singh, Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ndents are present.	
	3.	There is delay of 06 years and 18 days in filing	g Original Application.
	4.	Learned counsel for the applicant has moved	application for condoning
	the de	elay.	
	5.	Learned counsel for the respondents raised of	bjection on maintainability
	of this	s O.A. and also submitted that legible copy of	f annexures has not been
	provided to him.		
	6.	Learned counsel for the respondents prays	for and is granted three
	weeks	s time to seek instruction and file objection	n on delay condonation
	application and maintainability of the case.		
	7.	Applicant is directed to provide legible copy	of annexures to learned
	couns	el for the respondents within a week.	
	8.	List on 29.02.2024.	
		(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
		Member (A)	Member (J)
	SB	. ,	` ,

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1494 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2159 of 2023

CPLSatyendra Kumar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Respondents: Shri Amit laiswal Adv

By Legal F	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>21.12.2023</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	Tion ble vice Admiral Atul Kumai Jam, Member (A)		
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of		
	the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for		
	the respondents when the case is listed next.		
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	3. There is delay of 12 years, 07 months and 02 days in filing Original		
	Application.		
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning		
	the delay.		
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three		
	weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on delay condonation		
	application and maintainability of the O.A.		
	6. List on 29.02.2024.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1497 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2162 of 2023

Smt Jhupa Maya aliea Rupa Rana

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri TK Shukla, Advocates

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Re Ohri Arun Kum

By Legal F	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry	24 40 2020		
	21.12.2023		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	Tion ble vice Admiral Atal Ramai Gam, Member (A)		
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on		
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as		
	counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.		
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	3. There is delay of 03 months and 24 days in filing Original Application.		
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning		
	the delay.		
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents has also raised objection on		
	maintainability of the O.A. and submitted that applicant is not originally resident		
	of territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal and therefore, this O.A. is not		
	maintainable before this Tribunal.		
	6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three		
	weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on delay condonation		
	application and maintainability of the O.A.		
	7. List on 29.02.2024.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
	Member (A) (Sustice Amir Rumar) Member (J)		
	SB		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1498 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2163 of 2023

Ex Hav Ram Rup Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	

21.12.2023

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)

- 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.
- 2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.

M.A. No. 2163 of 2023

- 3. There is delay of 09 years, 10 months and 15 days in filing Original Application.
- 4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.
- 5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action. Hence, delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons shown in affidavit annexed alongwith delay condonation application.
- 6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
- 7. We are of the view that ground shown is sufficient to condone the delay. Accordingly, application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

O.A. No. 1498 of 2023

- 8. This Original Application has been filed for grant and released of pension.
- 9. Matter needs adjudication.
- 10. Admit.
- 11. Respondents are granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 12. List on **29.02.2024.**

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 18)

EX.A. No. 501 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1122 of 2022

Sub Maj (Hony Lt) Satya Narayan (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Narayan Dutt

Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry	21.12.2023		
		ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
		ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Mem	ber (A)
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ka	aushik Chatterjee, Advocate on
	behal	f of the respondents is taken on record	I. His name shall be shown as
	couns	sel for the respondents when the case is li	sted next.
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	3. It is fresh execution application.		
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four		
	weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.		
	5. List on 29.02.2024.		
	SB	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No.2</u> (Supl. No. 19) M.A. No. 2145 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2146 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 863 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Smt Hemlata W/o Late Ex Sep Manoj Kumar

Respondents-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Advocate

		oner for Respondents: Snn Ad	vocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	<u>21.12</u>	<u>2.2023</u>		
	Hon'	<u>ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u>		
	Hon'	<u>ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Membe</u>	er (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for			
	the applicants-Union of India & Others is present.			
	2. Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others submits that he			
	wants to withdraw this leave to appeal application.			
	3. Ld. Counsel for the applicants is permitted to withdraw this LTA.			
	4. Accordingly, this LTA is dismissed as withdrawn.			
			(leasting Audit Keepen)	
		(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	
	SB	Mellibel (A)	wellibel (J)	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

EX. A. 202 of 2017 Inre T.A. No. 15 of 2014 & Inre T.A. No. 1368 of 2010

Maj Kunwar Ambreshwar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh and Shri Rahul Kapoor, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>21.12.2023</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld.		
	Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is		
	not present.		
	2. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, the case is passed		
	over for the day.		
	3. List on 15.02.2024.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2</u> (Sl. No. 2)

EX.A. No. 25 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 297 of 2011

Ex Sub Kedar Prasad Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>21.12.2023</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh		
	Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld.		
	Counsel for the respondents are present.		
	2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that subject matter is		
	pending before the Hon'ble Apex Court.		
	3. List on 01.03.2024.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX.-A. 229 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 171 of 2020

Smt Suman Devi W/o Late Ex Lance Nk Ramesh Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	<u>21.12</u>			
		ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	oor (A)	
	HOII L	ole Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Memb	<u>Der (A)</u>	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing,	Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for	
	the ap	oplicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Lo	d. Counsel for the respondents	
	are pr	esent.		
	2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.			
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with			
	PS-4, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence. He prays for and is			
	allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit on payment of cost of			
	Rs 5,000/			
	4. List on 15.02.2024.			
	rathore	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No.2</u> (Sl. No. 4)

EX.A. 305 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 432 of 2017

Santosh Kumar Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

	3. List on 15.02.2024.			
	Objection, if any, may be filed within two weeks.			
	2. Compliance report filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.			
	respondents are present.			
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld.			
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)			
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
Registry	21.12.2023			
the				
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (SI. No. 5) EX.A. 399 of 2023 Inre R.A. 9 of 2022 & Inre O.A. No. 107 of 2021

Ex Sep Dhananjay Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

rathore

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	21.12.2023		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the		
	parties. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present. Shri		
	Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present through virtual		
	mode.		
	2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.		
	3. Notice has not been issued to respondent No 5 as steps have not been		
	taken by learned counsel for the applicant.		
	4. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr submits that matter relates to Sparsh.		
	5. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that Govt		
	sanction is still awaited. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to		
	seek instructions and file compliance report.		
	6. List on 15.02.2024.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No.2</u> (Sl. No. 6)

EX.-A. 426 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 567 of 2022

Ex Hav Sanjeev Kumar Tomer

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal			
Registry				
	<u>21.12</u>			
		ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (、 ole Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Me		
	11011 k	ne vice Admiral Atul Rumai Jam, Me	midel (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hear	ring, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the			
	respondents are present.			
	2.	2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.		
	3.	3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, four weeks further		
	time is allowed to file affidavit compliance.			
	4. List on 15.02.2024.			
		(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)	(Justice Anil Kumar)	
	rathore	Member (A)	Member (J)	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 7)

O.A. No. 133 of 2023

Smt Sanjeev, W/o Late Sep Mange Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)		
	4. List on 16.02.2024.			
	3. On learned counsel for the parties, the case is	s passed over for the day.		
	already been filed.			
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits	that rejoinder affidavit has		
	are present.			
	the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Co	ounsel for the respondents		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri	KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (<u>4)</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	A \		
rtogiony	21.12.2023			
Registry				
Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal			
	Orders of the Tribunal			

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 8)

O.A. No. 361 of 2023

Ex Nk (ACP Hav) Jaya Prakash

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>21.12.2023</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Departmental Representative for the		
	respondents are present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.		
	2. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that relief		
	sought by the applicant has been redressed.		
	3. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record.		
	4. List on 16.02.2024.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 9)

O.A. No. 381 of 2023

Hav/NA Abhay Kumar PandeyBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry	04.40.0000		
	21.12.2023		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	Tion ble vice Admiral Atal Ramai bain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents		
	are present.		
	2. Objection on amendment application has not been filed by learned		
	counsel for the respondents.		
	3. Head learned counsel for the parties on amendment application moved		
	by the applicant.		
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to bring on		
	record certain facts in O.A. which will not change nature of the application.		
	Respondents have no objection.		
	5. Application is allowed.		
	6. Let amendment be incorporated within 15 days and copy of amended		
	O.A. be provided to learned counsel for the respondents who will file		
	supplementary counter affidavit within a months.		
	7. List on 16.02.2024.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	rathore		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 394 of 2023

Ex Hav Rajiv Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan,

and Manoj Kumar Gupta, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	3. Judgment reserved.	
	2. Arguments completed.	
	and Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Represen	tative for the respondents.
	1. Heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan,	Ld. Counsel for the applicant
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member	<u>(A)</u>
	21.12.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
Registry	24.42.2022	
the		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)
O.A. No. 510 of 2023 with M.A. No. 609 of 2023 & M.A. No. 610 of 2023

Nb Sub Birendra Singh Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri DS Kauntae, Advocate

Versus

Smt. Monika Tyagi & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

	ractitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shallendra Sharma Atal, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>21.12.2023</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld		
	Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is		
	not present.		
	2. Reply to objection on maintainability filed by the respondents has no		
	been filed by learned counsel for the applicant.		
	Reply be filed within two weeks.		
	4. List on 23.02.2024.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 12)

O.A. No. 574 of 2023

Mrs Devaki Maya Gurung W/o Late Ex Rfn Dhan Bahadur Gurung Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Shri Dharam Raj Singh

and Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	3. List on 23.02.2024.	
	opportunity, to file counter affidavit.	
		٠.
	respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last	st
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for th	ne
	respondents are present.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for th	ne
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Lo	d.
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	21.12.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Apil Kumar Member (1)	
Registry		
the		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 610 of 2023

Ex Sub (Opr) Pradeep Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and

Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	<u>21.12.2023</u>			
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld			
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the			
	respondents are present.			
	2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.			
	3. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, a week's further time is			
	allowed for filing rejoinder affidavit.			
	4. List on 23.02.2024.			
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore			

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No.2</u> (SI. No. 14)

O.A. No. 649 of 2023

Sub (Pharm) Pawan Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	<u>21.12</u> .			
		ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J		
	Hon'b	<u>ole Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Men</u>	nber (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing	, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for	
	the a	oplicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. C	ounsel for the respondents are	
	present.			
	2.	Counter affidavit has not been filed.		
	3.	On request of learned counsel for the	respondents, four weeks further	
	time is	allowed for filing counter affidavit.		
	4.	List on 15.02.2024 .		
		(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	
	rathore			

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No.2 (</u>SI. No. 15)

O.A. No. 703 of 2023

Applicants

Ex Sub Maj (RT) Krishan Baldev TiwariBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>21.12.2023</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present		
	2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, two weeks further time		
	is allowed for filing rejoinder affidavit.		
	4. List on 23.02.2024.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 718 of 2023

Ex Nk Shiv Kishor Gupta

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	<u>21.12.2023</u>			
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld			
	Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is			
	not present.			
	Counter affidavit has not been filed.			
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four			
	weeks further time to file counter affidavit.			
	4. List on 26.02.2024.			
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)			

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 811 of 2023

Hav Sharda Prasad Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Orders of the Tribunal		
<u>21.12.2</u>	<u>2023</u>	
<u>Hon'bl</u>	<u>e Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u>	
<u>Hon'bl</u>	e Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member	<u>(A)</u>
4	On the case being taken on feel beginning. Ohn	: Dahad Dali I di Oassa al fan
1.	On the case being taken up for hearing, Shr	Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for
the app	olicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Cour	nsel for the respondents are
present.		
2.	Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the response	ondents is taken on record.
Rejoind	der affidavit, if any, may be filed within two we	eeks.
3.	List on 26.02.2024.	
(•	(Justice Anil Kumar)
rathore	Member (A)	Member (J)
	21.12.2 Hon'bl Hon'bl 1. the app presen 2. Rejoine 3.	21.12.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member 1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shr the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Courpresent. 2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondence affidavit, if any, may be filed within two well. 3. List on 26.02.2024. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 812 of 2023

Ex Hav (OPR) Suresh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the	Jidei	o of the Hiburian		
Registry				
1109.01.7	21.12	.2023		
	Hon'b	ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'b	ole Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Memb	oer (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing,	Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for	
	the ap	the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.		
	2.	Counter affidavit has not been filed.		
	3.	On request of learned counsel for the r	espondents, four weeks further	
	time is	s allowed for filing counter affidavit.		
	4.	List on 26.02.2024.		
	rathore	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 834 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub (PA) AK Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal					
the						
Registry						
	<u>21.12.2023</u>					
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)					
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)					
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld.					
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents					
	are present.					
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.					
	3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, four weeks further					
	time is allowed for filing counter affidavit.					
	4. List on 26.02.2024.					
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)					
	rathore					

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (SI. No. 20)

EX.A. No. 195 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 352 of 2017

Hony Nb Sub Suresh Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal						
the							
Registry							
	21.12.2023						
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)						
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)						
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld.						
	Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Learned counsel						
	for the applicant is not present.						
	2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending						
	before the Larger Bench of AFT, PB, New Delhi.						
	3.	List on 19.03.2024.					
	rathore	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)				

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A.(A). No. 3 of 2013

Shyambir Singh Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri DS Kauntae, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal				
the					
Registry					
	<u>21.12.2023</u>				
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)				
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)				
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld.				
	Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is				
	not present.				
	2. Applicant present before the court prays for adjournment on the ground				
	that his counsel is not present today. Accordingly, the case is passed over for				
	the day.				
	4. List on 26.02.2024 for hearing.				
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)				
	rathore				

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

T.A. No. 2 of 2021 with T.A. No. 1230 of 2010

Ex Hav Suresh Chandra

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh and

Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocates

	Snii Sunii Snarma, Advocates						
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal						
the							
Registry							
	<u>21.12.2</u>	<u>2023</u>					
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)						
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)						
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for						
	the petitioner and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents						
	are present.						
	2. Due to paucity of time, proceedings could not be held.						
	3.	List on 14.03.2024 for hearing.					
	rathore	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)				