

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 1)
O.A. No. 1467 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2126 of 2023

Pradeep Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashish Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. There is delay of 11 years, 06 months and 13 days in filing Original Application.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on delay condonation application and maintainability of the case.</div><div>5. List on 28.02.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 2)
O.A. No. 1468 of 2023

Sep/ MT Krishna Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Akar Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>3. List for hearing on admission on 28.02.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 4)
O.A. No. 1470 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2128 of 2023

Smt Vidyavati W/o Shri Sishupal Singh Nephew of Rect Bhikham Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra and
Mrs Supriya Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 4 is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 when the case is listed next.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate put his appearance on behalf of the respondents No. 5 & 6. He prays for and is granted a week time to file his Vakalatnama.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 are present.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Learned counsel for the respondents no. 1 to 4 prays for and is granted three weeks time to file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>List on 28.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> <div>SB</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 5)
O.A. No. 1471 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2129 of 2023

Nk Zafar Salam (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh and
Shri Sunil Kumar Shukla, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>M.A. No. 2129 of 2023</div><div><div>3.</div><div>There is delay of 01 year, 11 months and 27 days in filing Original Application.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons shown in affidavit annexed alongwith delay condonation application.</div></div><div><div>6.</div><div>Heard learned counsel for the parties.</div></div><div><div>7.</div><div>We are of the view that ground shown is sufficient to condone the delay. Accordingly, application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div><div><div>O.A. No. 1471 of 2023</div><div><div>8.</div><div>This Original Application has been filed for grant of ACP 1 & 2.</div></div><div><div>9.</div><div>Matter needs adjudication.</div></div><div><div>10.</div><div>Admit.</div></div><div><div>11.</div><div>Respondents are granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div></div><div><div>12.</div><div>List on 28.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div></div><div>SB</div></div>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 1472 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2130 of 2023

Ex Sub Yogendra Pratap Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Santosh Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>21.12.2023</div> <div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div> <div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div> <div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>M.A. No. 2130 of 2023</div> <div> <div>3. There is delay of 07 months and 12 days in filing Original Application.</div> <div>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</div> <div>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons shown in affidavit annexed alongwith delay condonation application.</div> <div>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.</div> <div>7. We are of the view that ground shown is sufficient to condone the delay. Accordingly, application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div> <div>O.A. No. 1472 of 2023</div> <div> <div>8. This Original Application has been filed for grant of increment and refund of Rs. 1,88,104/- with interest.</div> <div>9. Matter needs adjudication.</div> <div>10. Admit.</div> <div>11. Respondents are granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div> <div>12. List on 28.02.2024.</div> </div> <div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div> <div>Member (A)</div> </div> <div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>Member (J)</div> </div> </div> </div>

SB

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 1476 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2134 of 2023

Malti Sharma W/o Late Harilal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:

Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>21.12.2023</div> <div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div> <div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div> <div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>M.A. No. 2134 of 2023</div> <div>3. There is delay of 01 year, 03 months and 16 days in filing Original Application.</div> <div>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</div> <div>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action. Hence, delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons shown in affidavit annexed alongwith delay condonation application.</div> <div>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.</div> <div>7. We are of the view that ground shown is sufficient to condone the delay. Accordingly, application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div> <div>O.A. No. 1476 of 2023</div> <div>8. This Original Application has been filed for grant of family pension.</div> <div>9. Matter needs adjudication.</div> <div>10. Admit.</div> <div>11. Respondents are granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div> <div>12. List on 28.02.2024.</div> <div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div> <div>Member (A)</div> </div> <div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>Member (J)</div> </div> </div>

SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 8)
O.A. No. 1478 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2136 of 2023

SWR Satya Dev Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 17 years and 11 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. List on 28.02.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
 {See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 9)
O.A. No. 1479 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2137 of 2023

Smt Preeti W/o Late Rfn Ajay Kumar By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:	Applicant Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka and Shri Rama Kant, Advocates Versus Respondents Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:	

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2137 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. There is delay of 05 years, 10 months and 29 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action. Hence, delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons shown in affidavit annexed alongwith delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>7. We are of the view that ground shown is sufficient to condone the delay. Accordingly, application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1479 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been filed for grant of special family pension.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Respondents are granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 28.02.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">SB</p>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Smt Poonam Singh W/o Late Sep Ajay Kumar Singh Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry

Orders of the Tribunal

21.12.2023

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)

Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)

1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.
2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Angrej Nath Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, through virtual mode and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.
3. There is delay of 09 months and 17 days in filing Original Application.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.
5. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to clarify as to whether he has filed any compassionate application earlier of this O.A. or not.
6. List for hearing on admission on **28.02.2024**.
7. In the meantime, objection, if any, on delay condonation application may be filed by the respondents till next date of listing.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

SB

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Smt Gani Magarni Alias Ganisara Rana

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and
Shri TK Shukla, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 03 months and 24 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on maintainability of the case and delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. List on 29.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of
the
Registry

Orders of the Tribunal

21.12.2023

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)

Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)

1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.
2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.
3. There is delay of 05 months and 11 days in filing Original Application.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.
5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on maintainability of the case and delay condonation application.
6. List on **29.02.2024.**

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

SB

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Applicant

Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>3. List for hearing on admission on 29.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p>SB</p>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Ex Smt Kosalai P W/o Ex Sep Late Gurusamy Perumalsamy Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 06 years and 18 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents raised objection on maintainability of this O.A. and also submitted that legible copy of annexures has not been provided to him.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on delay condonation application and maintainability of the case.</p> <p>7. Applicant is directed to provide legible copy of annexures to learned counsel for the respondents within a week.</p> <p>8. List on 29.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1494 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2159 of 2023

CPLSatyendra Kumar (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Kapil Sharma
and Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 3. There is delay of 12 years, 07 months and 02 days in filing Original Application. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay. 5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on delay condonation application and maintainability of the O.A. 6. List on 29.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Smt Jhupa Maya aliea Rupa Rana Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey
and Shri TK Shukla, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 3. There is delay of 03 months and 24 days in filing Original Application. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay. 5. Learned counsel for the respondents has also raised objection on maintainability of the O.A. and submitted that applicant is not originally resident of territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal and therefore, this O.A. is not maintainable before this Tribunal. 6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on delay condonation application and maintainability of the O.A. 7. List on 29.02.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1498 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2163 of 2023

Ex Hav Ram Rup Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div> <div>21.12.2023</div> <div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> </div> <div> <div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div> <div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</div> <div>M.A. No. 2163 of 2023</div> <div>3. There is delay of 09 years, 10 months and 15 days in filing Original Application.</div> <div>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</div> <div>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action. Hence, delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons shown in affidavit annexed alongwith delay condonation application.</div> <div>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.</div> <div>7. We are of the view that ground shown is sufficient to condone the delay. Accordingly, application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div> <div>O.A. No. 1498 of 2023</div> <div>8. This Original Application has been filed for grant and released of pension.</div> <div>9. Matter needs adjudication.</div> <div>10. Admit.</div> <div>11. Respondents are granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div> <div>12. List on 29.02.2024.</div> <div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div> <div>Member (A)</div> </div> <div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>Member (J)</div> </div> </div>

SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 18)
EX.A. No. 501 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1122 of 2022

Sub Maj (Hony Lt) Satya Narayan (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. It is fresh execution application.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>5. List on 29.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 19)
M.A. No. 2145 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2146 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 863 of 2022

Union of India & OthersApplicants-respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Versus
Smt Hemlata W/o Late Ex Sep Manoj KumarRespondents-applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others is present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others submits that he wants to withdraw this leave to appeal application.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicants is permitted to withdraw this LTA.</div><div>4. Accordingly, this LTA is dismissed as withdrawn.</div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

EX. A. 202 of 2017 Inre T.A. No. 15 of 2014 & Inre T.A. No. 1368 of 2010

Maj Kunwar Ambreshwar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh and
Shri Rahul Kapoor, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</div><div>2. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, the case is passed over for the day.</div><div>3. List on 15.02.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Applicant

Respondents

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry

Orders of the Tribunal

21.12.2023

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)

Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)

1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that subject matter is pending before the Hon'ble Apex Court.
3. List on **01.03.2024.**

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

rathore

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with PS-4, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit on payment of cost of Rs 5,000/-.</p> <p>4. List on 15.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Objection, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 15.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)
EX.A. 399 of 2023 Inre R.A. 9 of 2022 & Inre O.A. No. 107 of 2021

Ex Sep Dhananjay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the parties. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Notice has not been issued to respondent No 5 as steps have not been taken by learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>4. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr submits that matter relates to Sparsh.</p> <p>5. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that Govt sanction is still awaited. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to seek instructions and file compliance report.</p> <p>6. List on 15.02.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)
EX.-A. 426 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 567 of 2022

Ex Hav Sanjeev Kumar Tomer
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>On request of learned counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is allowed to file affidavit compliance.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 15.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)
O.A. No. 133 of 2023

Smt Sanjeev, W/o Late Sep Mange Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocates

Versus

Applicant
Respondents
Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that rejoinder affidavit has already been filed.</div><div>3. On learned counsel for the parties, the case is passed over for the day.</div><div>4. List on 16.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

O.A. No. 361 of 2023

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that relief sought by the applicant has been redressed.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record.</p> <p>4. List on 16.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

O.A. No. 381 of 2023

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on amendment application has not been filed by learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Head learned counsel for the parties on amendment application moved by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to bring on record certain facts in O.A. which will not change nature of the application. Respondents have no objection.</p> <p>5. Application is allowed.</p> <p>6. Let amendment be incorporated within 15 days and copy of amended O.A. be provided to learned counsel for the respondents who will file supplementary counter affidavit within a months.</p> <p>7. List on 16.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)
O.A. No. 394 of 2023

Ex Hav Rajiv Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan,
and Manoj Kumar Gupta, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</div><div>2. Arguments completed.</div><div>3. Judgment reserved.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 510 of 2023 with M.A. No. 609 of 2023 & M.A. No. 610 of 2023

Nb Sub Birendra Singh

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri DS Kauntae, Advocate

Versus

Smt. Monika Tyagi & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection on maintainability filed by the respondents has not been filed by learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Reply be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 23.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)
O.A. No. 574 of 2023

Mrs Devaki Maya Gurung W/o Late Ex Rfn Dhan Bahadur Gurung Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Shri Dharam Raj Singh
and Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 23.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)
O.A. No. 610 of 2023

Ex Sub (Opr) Pradeep Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, a week’s further time is allowed for filing rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 23.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is allowed for filing counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 15.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)
O.A. No. 703 of 2023

Ex Sub Maj (RT) Krishan Baldev Tiwari Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, two weeks further time is allowed for filing rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 23.02.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)
O.A. No. 718 of 2023

Ex Nk Shiv Kishor Gupta
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla
and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Versus

Applicant
Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 26.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 26.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Ex Hav (OPR) Suresh	Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate	
Versus	
Union of India & Others	Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate	

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is allowed for filing counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 26.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)
O.A. No. 834 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub (PA) AK Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Applicant
Respondents
Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.12.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is allowed for filing counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 26.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)

EX.A. No. 195 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 352 of 2017

Hony Nb Sub Suresh Prasad **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending before the Larger Bench of AFT, PB, New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on 19.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)
O.A.(A). No. 3 of 2013

Shyambir Singh Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri DS Kauntae, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Applicant present before the court prays for adjournment on the ground that his counsel is not present today. Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>4. List on 26.02.2024 for hearing.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh and
Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 14.03.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>