

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 588 of 2023 with M.A. No. 717 of 2023

Ex Nk Devi Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 717 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 04 years and 01 month in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri KKS Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 588 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 07.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

M.A. No. 722 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 452 of 2022

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Bholu Shankar Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate,

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Priyanka Rathore, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 722 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This application has been filed for correction of order dated 09.05.2023.</p> <p>3. On perusal we find that date in aforesaid order has been inadvertently typed as 09.05.2022 instead of 09.05.2023 which is corrected to read as under:- For : 09.05.2022 Read : 09.05.2023</p> <p>4. We further find that since the applicant was discharged from service w.e.f. 31.08.2021 therefore, the increment due on 01.07.2021 has already been included for payment of pension.</p> <p>5. Order dated 09.05.2023 is corrected as under:- (i) Para 11 of the aforesaid order is deleted and following para 11 is substituted as under:- "11. Thus in view of the above, it is made clear that applicant shall be granted all retiral dues after taking into account his basic pay @ Rs 46,200/-." (ii) Para 12 of the aforesaid order is deleted and following para 12 is substituted as under:- "12. In the result, the O.A. succeeds and is allowed directing the respondents to refund Rs 1,46,275/- to the applicant which was deducted on the basis of wrong fixation of his basic pay. The respondents are further directed to revise pay of the applicant @ Rs 46,200/- per month by granting upgradation as per ACP/ MACP Scheme. We also direct the respondents to pay the arrears accrued after fixation of pay to Rs 46,200/- per month within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order failing which it shall carry interest @ 8% per annum from the due date till date of actual payment."</p> <p>6. M.A. No. 722 of 2023 is disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

M.A. No. 728 of 2023 with M.A. No. 726 of 2023 Inre M.A. No. 1518 of 2018 Inre O.A.
No. (Nil) of 2018

Ex Rect Mahendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vishal Bhatnagar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.3. There is delay of 01 year, 11 months and 01 day in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has move application for condonation of delay.4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file objection on application for condonation of delay.5. List on 04.08.2023. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX- A. No. 2 of 2020 Inre O.A. No. 269 of 2015

Ex Nb Radhey Shyam Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. None is present on behalf of the applicant to address the court.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice the case is passed over.</p> <p>4. List on 01.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX - A. No. 111 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 480 of 2017

Ex Sep Devender Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri SS Rajawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief for Shri SS Rajawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Brief holder submits that since payment has been made only on 03.05.2023, he wishes to file objection on affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, learned counsel for the applicant is permitted to file objection on affidavit of compliance within a week as last opportunity.</p> <p>4. List on 10.07.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX - A. No. 86 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 303 of 2019

Rect Beeresh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. As prayed, learned counsel for the respondents is permitted to file affidavit of compliance within three weeks subject to payment of additional cost of Rs 10,000/- which shall be deposited by the next date fixed.</p> <p>4. List on 11.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

EX - A. No. 172 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 428 of 2017

Ex Sep Gaya Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for respondent No 4 and 5 prays for and is granted a week's further time to file Vakalatnama.</p> <p>3. List on 02.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

EX - A. No. 190 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 145 of 2020

Hav Dev Prakash Shukla

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Govt sanction is awaited. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance</p> <p>3. List on 11.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

EX- A. No. 256 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 195 of 2021

Smt Laxmi Kandari W/o Hav Sohan Singh (Missing) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate holding brief for Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that husband of the applicant has appeared and this fact he wants to place on record by way of affidavit.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks and no more further time to bring the aforesaid fact on record.</p> <p>4. List on 07.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

EX- A. No. 291 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 285 of 2013

Smt Sunita Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Govt sanction has been accorded on 02.05.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 02.08.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

EX- A. No. 304 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 550 of 2017

Smt Kalash Kumari, W/o Late Ex Gnr Jagdish Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present on behalf of the applicant to address the court.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on 07.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

EX- A. No. 34 of 2023 with M.A. No. 182 of 2023 Inre T.A. No. 24 of 2012

Ex Gnr Yogendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Advocate
Shri Ramakant Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka and Shri Ramakant Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 182 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is suffering from Cancer. This application has been filed by the petitioner for issue of ECHS Card for availing medical facility.</p> <p>4 We have gone through the above application and order dated 04.08.2022 vide which service pension has been allowed to the applicant.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, applicant is directed to approach concerned ECHS Cell alongwith required papers for issue of ECHS Card. On receipt of requisite papers respondents are directed to issue ECHS Card to applicant within a period of two months.</p> <p>6. List on 02.08.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

M.A. No. 1144 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1145 of 2022

Inre O.A. No. 428 of 2017

Gaya Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Advocate
Shri Ramakant Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka and Shri Ramakant Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1144 of 2022</u></p> <p>2. Steps has not been taken. Let steps be taken within a week and fresh notice be issued to respondent No 4 and 5 returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 02.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 558 of 2021

Sub Maj Uttam Kumar Singh (Through his wife Smt Shail Singh) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Advocate
Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar and Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents has filed supplementary affidavit which has already been taken on record.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that considering the anomaly of pay of the applicant and similarly placed applicants in this regard it was necessary that the calculation sheet and arrears of pay and allowances with all consequential benefits from 01.01.2006 to 31.01.2022 be brought on record for adjudicating the matter by this Tribunal, therefore, supplementary affidavit has been filed enclosing photostat of calculation sheet and letter dated 22.02.2022 of PAO (OR) EME, Secunderabad.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that the O.A. may be disposed off finally in terms of supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant may file his reply, if any, by way of affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>6. List on 14.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 201 of 2022

Hony Nb Sub Madan Pal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that grievance raised in Original Application has been redressed. He further submits that this O.A. may be dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p>3. On submission being made by learned counsel for the applicant, this O.A. is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 695 of 2022

Ex Nk Dalvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 04.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1027 of 2022

Hony Sub Mahboob Ali (Retd) Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Santosh Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate appearing on behalf of the submits that applicant intends to engage him as his counsel.</p> <p>3. He prays for and is allowed a week's time to file Vakalatnama.</p> <p>4. Rejoinder affidavit in this case has not been filed. Let it be filed within two weeks as last opportunity.</p> <p>5. List on 01.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1134 of 2022

Ex Nk/Clk (DSC) Raj Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Goswami, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. On request of learned counsel for the respondents a week's and no more time is granted as last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 02.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 311 of 2023

Ex Sub Raj Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Advocate holding brief for Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have not been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 04.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 312 of 2023

Ex Sgt Mohammad Jamir Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is almost ready and it shall be filed shortly.</p> <p>3. Let counter affidavit be filed within two weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next.</p> <p>4. List on 04.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 316 of 2023 alongwith T.A. No. 62 of 2022

Ex Rect Om Prakash Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, Advocate
Mrs. Jyotsana Srivastava, Adocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pradeep Kumar Srivastava and Mrs. Jyotsana Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents submits that he is counsel in this case but erroneously name of Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate has been mentioned.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to correct his name in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file objection on limitation. Registry is directed to file report with regard to delay.</p> <p>5. List on 02.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 481 of 2021 with M.A. No. 502 of 2021

Abhishek Badoni (Army Cadet)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that objection on delay condonation application and maintainability of this O.A. is ready and it shall be filed during course of the day.</p> <p>3. Let it be filed during course of the day, as prayed.</p> <p>4. List on 07.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>